

COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE, AND RELATED AGENCIES  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2010

---

JUNE 12, 2009.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of  
the Union and ordered to be printed

---

Mr. MOLLOHAN, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 2847]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for Commerce, Justice, Science, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes.

INDEX TO BILL AND REPORT

	<i>Page Number</i>	
	<i>Bill</i>	<i>Report</i>
Title I—Department of Commerce .....	2	10
Title II—Department of Justice .....	22	51
Title III—Science .....	66	129
Office of Science and Technology Policy .....	66	130
National Aeronautics and Space Administration .....	67	131
National Science Foundation .....	73	153
Title IV—Related Agencies .....	76	160
Commission on Civil Rights .....	76	160
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission .....	77	161
International Trade Commission .....	78	162
Legal Services Corporation .....	78	162
Marine Mammal Commission .....	79	163
Office of the United States Trade Representative .....	80	163
State Justice Institute .....	80	164
Title V—General Provisions .....	81	165

## BILL TOTALS

The President's fiscal year 2010 budget request for activities funded in the Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies Appropriations Act totals \$64,637,341,000 in new obligation authority, of which \$222,300,000 is for mandatory programs. The amounts recommended by the Committee in the accompanying bill total \$64,415,041,000, \$197,270,000 below the budget request and \$6,763,530,000 above the sums available to the departments and agencies funded in this bill for fiscal year 2009, excluding emergency supplemental appropriations.

## COMMITTEE BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

During its review of the fiscal year 2010 budget request and execution of appropriations for fiscal year 2009, the Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies held a total of 24 budget hearings. The Subcommittee received testimony from Members of Congress and some 68 outside witnesses. In addition, officials from the Administration representing the departments and agencies funded in this bill testified before the Subcommittee. This year, in particular, the Subcommittee focused a series of hearings on investments for all facets of the science enterprise, climate science and mitigation, as well as prisoner reentry programs, recidivism reduction, and criminal justice reform.

In developing its recommendations, the Committee has drawn upon work and analysis of the Government Accountability Office, the Congressional Research Service, offices of Inspectors General, the National Academies and the Committee's own surveys and investigations staff. The Committee appreciates the assistance and contributions of the men and women of these organizations.

## OVERVIEW

## INVESTMENTS IN SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

Regaining our economic strength is critical to our national security. In order to improve the economic condition and to ensure long-term American prosperity, investments in science and technology are required and will underpin future economic growth. As clearly stated in the *Rising Above the Gathering Storm* report of the National Academy of Sciences, healthy levels of investment in scientific research are the key to long-term economic growth that exceeds population growth. These investments improve the quality of life for all Americans and improve our national security.

The America Competes Act (Public Law 110-69) authorized major increases in funding for the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), and the Department of Energy Office of Science. This legislation has led to major funding increases for these programs in both Public Law 111-8, the Omnibus Appropriations Act for 2009, and Public Law 111-5, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA). However, missing from this plan of increased investments in science and technology funding are critical elements of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), which equally support the science enterprise of our Nation. In testimony

before the Subcommittee, both Dr. Ralph Cicerone and Dr. Lennard Fisk noted that NASA's science activities and NOAA research are not markedly different or less important than other science disciplines funded by the NSF and NIST, and that there was little reason for their exclusion from the doubling path envisioned in the America Competes Act. The Subcommittee recommendation considers the robust budget requests for those activities authorized in the America Competes Act in this context; accordingly, the Subcommittee provides appropriate increases for NSF and NIST, while also providing appropriate and necessary increases for critical science activities of NASA and NOAA. These agencies, collectively, underpin our Nation's science enterprise, and their collective research investment addresses the clear national needs.

While the economy is the major crisis confronting our Nation today, climate change is a longer lasting threat to our future well being. Through congressional testimony and numerous research papers, the science community has made clear that anthropogenic climate change has begun. The 40 percent increase in emissions of greenhouse gases since 1990 is accelerating these changes, and as the world economy recovers, these emissions will grow further. While other legislation currently under consideration by the House addresses mitigation, the responsibility for observing, understanding, predicting, and monitoring climate change falls primarily to NOAA, NSF, and NASA—which are funded in this appropriations bill—and the United States Geological Service.

For the U.S. Government, NOAA leads the U.S. efforts in climate study and has begun to form a National Climate Service that will work in federation with other U.S. federal agencies and nations. NOAA's responsibility will continue to include long-term observations from space and ground-based systems but will also extend beyond NOAA's traditional role in weather and predictions of climate. While NOAA's climate products and services must guide and monitor mitigation, adaptation will be required. NOAA requires increased resources to implement the National Climate Service and mitigate the risks to the operational satellite observing systems in polar and geostationary orbits. The Subcommittee recommendation endorses and advances these critical efforts.

The Subcommittee recommendation also supports the work of the NSF and NASA in climate change study and mitigation. NSF supports significant research in a broad range of research on climate change and critical continuing observations through its long-term ecological research sites and oceanographic cruises. While NSF funding has been growing over the past several years, Earth science funding at NASA has decreased more than one-third during the past eight years. NASA's Earth science programs and activities seek to understand how Earth is changing and determine the consequences of those changes for life on our planet. Restoring the Earth science budget continues to be a priority for the Subcommittee.

#### PRISONER REENTRY AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE REFORM

In 1974, American Sociologist Robert Martinson co-authored a report concluding that rehabilitative efforts had little impact on reducing recidivism, and that report formed the basis of a school of thought referred to as "nothing works." The "nothing works" con-

cept has informed much of this country's approach to criminal justice over the following decades, as the Federal and State governments came to rely on lengthy incarceration and truth-in-sentencing at the expense of rehabilitation. The result has been an increasing number of Americans under some form of criminal justice supervision.

According to the Pew Center on the States, the number of people imprisoned in the United States grew by approximately three percent per year between 1995 and 2005, compared to an overall population growth rate of one percent. In March 2009, the Pew Center on the States released a report stating that the incarcerated population in the United States in 2008 reached 2.3 million, meaning that one in 100 adults was in prison or jail. In addition, according to that report, one in 31 Americans (7.3 million) in 2008 was under some form of correctional supervision—either in jail, on probation, or under some form of supervised release—compared to one in 77 in the early 1980s. The tables have turned, and it is now clear that a primarily punitive approach to criminal justice does not work. Moreover, the Federal and State governments can no longer afford a criminal justice approach that is not based on clear evidence about what does work.

More recent research, referred to as “what works,” has largely debunked the conclusion that rehabilitation programs are ineffective. The determinative factor in whether rehabilitation is successful is whether it is evidence-based, fully implemented, and whether it coordinates a full complement of rehabilitative services designed to meet the needs of individual offenders, including drug abuse treatment, vocational training, and educational services. Drug abuse treatment is particularly important because reducing the cravings associated with addiction is a prerequisite for allowing an offender to make productive use of other rehabilitation services and other opportunities for becoming productive members of society.

The Subcommittee conducted seven hearings focused on the challenges facing offenders as they reenter communities, programs that facilitate reentry and reduce recidivism, and the state of research on “what works” for offender reentry. An eighth hearing focused on efforts by many states to reform fundamentally their criminal justice systems in a way that responsibly reduces prison populations while reinvesting a portion of the associated savings on services to prevent crime and reduce recidivism.

Witness testimony from researchers, practitioners, and corrections officials formed a consensus that insufficient resources and attention are currently invested, at both the Federal and State levels, in evidence-based strategies for employing alternatives to incarceration; helping offenders transition back to society following incarceration; and reducing recidivism. As a result, State and Federal prison populations continue to grow at an unsustainable rate, with many of the same offenders being repeatedly recycled through the country's prisons at great expense to the taxpayer. Resources provided in this bill are intended to spur and support evidence-based strategies and programs, at both the Federal and State levels, to reduce recidivism and improve the criminal justice system.

## MAJOR THEMES AND INITIATIVES

The bill recommends funding for a diverse set of essential government activities that address the present needs of our country and economy while preparing for and investing in our future as a Nation.

*Addressing law enforcement priorities.*—In total, the bill provides in excess of \$26,861,981,000 for law enforcement activities, an increase of \$1,595,043,000 over the 2009 enacted level. Investments recommended by the Committee provide for:

- more than \$585,000,000 to enhance and expand national security programs at the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Drug Enforcement Administration, Bureau of Prisons and others;
- \$62,600,000 in new funding to address white collar crime, including corporate fraud and tax evasion, and return resources to the Federal fisc;
- \$23,700,000 in new funds to reinvigorate and expand civil rights enforcement against racial, ethnic, sexual preference, religious and gender discrimination;
- \$3,423,988,000 for grants to state and local law enforcement agencies, including \$298,000,000 to put new police officers on the beat and \$100,000,000 for ex-offender reentry initiatives;
- \$70,600,000 for new correctional officer staffing at Federal prisons to improve the safety and security of inmates and guards; and
- \$345,900,000 in new Department-wide activities to safeguard the southwest border, address Mexican cartel violence and support activities of the Department of Homeland Security.

*Science and technology.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$30,571,851,000 to support investments in science and technology, including science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) education, an increase of \$1,049,255,000 over last year. The Committee bill provides:

- \$6,936,510,000 for the National Science Foundation, an increase of 6.9 percent over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, which maintains NSF on a doubling path. Within this level, \$862,900,000 is recommended for education programs of NSF, such as Noyce Scholarships, the math and science partnership program, and support for hands-on, inquiry-based instruction;
- \$18,203,300,000 for NASA, an increase of \$421,915,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Investments in NASA contribute to economic vitality, science and technology, national security and an improved quality of life. Within the funds recommended for NASA, over \$500,000,000 is provided for critical investments in aeronautics research and development to maintain U.S. leadership in aeronautics, to plan for and implement the Next Generation Air Transportation System and to invest in “green” aircraft technologies. Further, over \$175,000,000 is recommended for education programs, an increase of nearly \$50,000,000 over the request;
- more than \$4,600,000,000 for activities of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, an increase of

\$237,745,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$129,125,000 over the budget request. Within the funds recommended for NOAA, nearly \$400,000,000 is provided for climate change research; regional climate assessments; enhanced modeling capabilities; ocean observations and coral reef conservation; climate data records, and data access and archiving; and a more robust education program focused on climate, including the transition of the GLOBE program from NASA to NOAA. Full funding is also provided for acquisition, construction and operations of the GOES-R, NPOESS and NPP satellites, and Jason 3, which will provide sea surface elevation and ocean circulation measurements. Within the recommendation, the requested increase of \$56,500,000 for Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act activities to end overfishing by 2012 is fully funded. Funding is provided above the request in areas of critical need such as protected species recovery, habitat restoration and coastal and estuarine land conservation.

- over \$781,000,000 for the National Institute of Standards and Technology, which includes an 8 percent increase for science research and technical services over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Within the recommendation, \$124,700,000 is provided for the Manufacturing Extension Partnerships program and \$69,900,000 for the Technology Innovation Program, the same as the request, which leverage private funds to invest in research and development and improve manufacturing productivity and job creation.

- increases above the budget request to strengthen NSF, NOAA and NASA education programs. The Committee recognizes that sustained enhancement of the scientific and technical capability of the American workforce requires more than increased support for research and graduate education. Investments must be made in effective STEM education for students in grades K–12 in both formal and informal settings.

*Global climate change and sustainability.*—The Committee has provided over \$2,000,000,000 in resources to address the reality of global warming climate change and its effect on Earth’s environments, over \$120,000,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Specifically, the bill provides:

- nearly \$1,300,000,000 in climate change programs at NASA, including nearly \$150,000,000 to develop and demonstrate space-based climate measurements identified by the National Academy of Sciences and the science community;

- nearly \$400,000,000 for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, of which more than \$200,000,000 is to enhance climate change research and regional assessments; \$90,000,000 enhances climate data records and data access and archiving requirements; and \$29,300,000 is for climate change educational programs;

- nearly \$310,000,000 for climate change programs at the National Science Foundation;

- over \$52,000,000 for educational programs directed at climate change as recommended by the National Academy of Sciences;

- at least \$25,000,000 for “green” building initiatives within the Economic Development Administration;

- \$14,500,000 for greenhouse gas emission standards development by the National Institute for Standards and Technology; and
- additional resources above the request to enhance the protection of endangered and threatened species, increase habitat restoration and coastal and estuarine land conservation, and monitor mammal adaptation to climate change.

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION BY MAJOR AGENCY

*Department of Commerce.*—In title I of this bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$13,847,433,000, an increase of \$4,579,916,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Highlights of the Committee’s recommendation include:

- \$293,000,000 for the Economic Development Administration, an increase of \$9,000,000 over the request;
- \$7,374,731,000 for the Bureau of the Census, an increase of \$4,234,881,000 above fiscal year 2009, and the same level as the budget request;
- \$781,100,000 for the National Institute of Standards and Technology, which includes \$194,600,000 for the Manufacturing Extension Partnerships and the Technology Innovation Program. The bill includes \$510,000,000 for scientific and technical research, which is \$38,000,000 over fiscal year 2009; and
- \$4,602,941,000 for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, which is \$237,745,000 above fiscal year 2009 and \$129,125,000 over the request.

*Department of Justice.*—In title II of this bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$27,746,672,000, an increase of \$672,762,000 over the budget request and \$1,659,051,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Highlights of the Committee’s recommendation include:

- \$7,851,537,000 for the Federal Bureau of Investigation, including sufficient funding to annualize terrorism supplemental costs into the Bureau’s base budget;
- \$2,019,682,000 for the Drug Enforcement Administration and \$1,105,772,000 for the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, including more than \$42,000,000 in new resources to fight narcotics and firearms trafficking at the southwest border;
- \$6,176,675,000 for the Federal Prison System, an increase of \$97,400,000 over the request, to address overburdened conditions in our federal prisons; and
- \$3,423,988,000 for state and local law enforcement assistance, including the Office on Violence Against Women, the Office of Justice Programs, and the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

*Science.*—In title III of this bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$25,146,964,000, an increase of \$868,876,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Highlights of the Committee’s recommendation include:

- \$6,936,510,000 for the NSF, an increase of \$446,100,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level, maintaining NSF on a doubling path;

- \$18,203,300,000 for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, an increase of \$420,915,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level; and
- \$7,154,000 for the Office of Science and Technology Policy of the Executive Office of the President.

*Related agencies.*—In title IV of this bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$956,160,000, roughly equivalent to the budget request and almost \$83,763,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Highlights of the Committee's recommendation include:

- \$440,000,000 for the Legal Services Corporation, an increase of \$50,000,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level;
- \$367,303,000 for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, which is \$23,378,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level; and
- funding for the Commission on Civil Rights, United States Trade Representative, International Trade Commission and State Justice Institute at levels equal to the budget request.

#### TERMINATIONS, REDUCTIONS AND OTHER SAVINGS

In order to invest in the critical priorities identified in this bill, and in an effort to build an economy on a solid foundation for growth and put the Nation on a path toward prosperity, the Committee has proposed herein a number of program terminations, reductions and other savings from the fiscal year 2009 level totaling over \$1.3 billion. In addition, over \$1 billion in other program terminations, reductions and other savings from the budget request are recommended. These adjustments, no matter their size, are important to setting the right priorities within the spending allocation, for getting the deficit under control, and creating a government that is as efficient as it is effective.

#### REPROGRAMMING AND SPENDING PLAN PROCEDURES

The reprogramming process is based on comity between the Congress and the Executive branch. This process is intended to provide departments and agencies sufficient flexibility to meet changing circumstances and emergent requirements not known at the time of Congressional review of the budget, while preserving Congressional priorities and intent. In the absence of comity and respect for the prerogatives of Congress, the Committee may choose to restrict further or eliminate reprogramming authority. Under such circumstances, programs, projects and activities become more strictly defined and the executive branch loses its ability to propose changes in the use of appropriated funds.

The Committee expects that each department and agency funded in this Act shall follow the directions set forth in this Act and the accompanying report, and shall not reallocate resources or reorganize activities except as provided herein. Reprogramming procedures shall apply to funds provided in this Act, unobligated balances from previous appropriations Acts that are available for obligation or expenditure in fiscal year 2010, and non-appropriated resources such as fee collections that are used to meet program requirements in fiscal year 2010. In reiterating the Committee's reprogramming procedures here, which are codified as section 505 of this Act, the Committee expects that the Appropriations Sub-

committees on the Departments of Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies of the House and Senate will be notified by letter a minimum of 15 days prior to—

- (1) reprogramming of funds, whether permanent or temporary, in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, between programs or activities. This provision is also applicable in cases where several activities are involved with each receiving less than \$500,000. In addition, the Committee is to be notified of reprogramming actions which are less than these amounts if such actions would have the effect of committing the agency to significant funding requirements in future years;
- (2) increasing funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted;
- (3) relocating offices or employees;
- (4) reorganizing offices, programs, or activities;
- (5) contracting out or privatizing any functions or activities presently performed by Federal employees; or
- (6) proposing to use funds directed for a specific activity by either the House or the Senate for a different purpose.

Furthermore, the reprogramming authorities do not allow the obligation of funds to create or initiate any new program, project or activity.

Any reprogramming request shall include any out-year budgetary impacts and a separate accounting of program or mission impacts on estimated carryover funds. No changes shall be made to any program, project or activity, except as provided by the Committee, if it is to be construed to be a change in policy. Any program, project or activity cited in the reports of the House or Senate accompanying this Act or the conference agreement shall be construed as the position of the Committee and shall not be subject to reductions or reprogramming without prior approval of the Committee. The Committee further expects any department or agency funded in this Act which plans a reduction-in-force to notify by letter the Appropriations Committees of the House and Senate 30 days in advance of the date of any such planned personnel action.

The Committee notes that when a department or agency submits a reprogramming or transfer request to the Appropriations Committees of the House and Senate and does not receive identical responses from the House and Senate, it shall be the responsibility of the department or agency seeking the reprogramming to reconcile the differences between the two bodies before proceeding. If reconciliation is not possible, the items in disagreement in the reprogramming or transfer request shall be considered unapproved.

Lastly, the Committee directs the Departments of Commerce and Justice, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation to submit spending plans, signed by the respective department or agency head, for the Committee's review within 120 days of enactment of this Act.

## TITLE I—COMMERCE

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

## OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	\$429,870,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request <sup>1</sup> .....	449,704,000
Recommended in the bill <sup>1</sup> .....	444,504,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+14,634,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	– 5,200,000

<sup>1</sup>Includes \$9,439,000 in offsetting fee collections.

The mission of the International Trade Administration is to create economic opportunity for U.S. workers and firms by promoting international trade, opening foreign markets, ensuring compliance with trade laws and agreements, and supporting U.S. commercial interests at home and abroad.

The Committee recommendation includes \$444,504,000 in total resources for the programs of the International Trade Administration (ITA) for fiscal year 2010, which is \$14,634,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and provides for the agency's highest priorities.

The Committee understands the difficulties of attempting to balance the positive and negative effects of a free trade agenda. The Committee is determined to ensure that the United States Government upholds its responsibility to enforce trade laws, particularly with China. If trading partners do not abide by the rules that are set in the global trading system, United States firms are unable to compete on a level playing field. The United States Government has an obligation to ensure that U.S. companies are not forced to compete with foreign companies that are engaged in unfair trading practices.

The Committee continues to direct ITA to submit to the Committee, not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, a spending plan for all ITA units that incorporates any carryover balances from prior fiscal years and expects this plan to be updated to reflect the obligation of funds on a quarterly basis.

*Import Administration.*—This program investigates antidumping and countervailing duty cases to ensure compliance with applicable U.S. statutes and administers certain other statutory programs relating to imports and foreign trade zones. The Committee urges the ITA to implement proactive trade enforcement activities, including textile safeguard actions with respect to China's World Trade Organization Accession Agreement, bilateral quotas on non-WTO members, and textile provision of the United States preferential programs and agreements.

*China Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duty Activities.*—The Committee recommendation designates funding of no less than \$7,000,000 for the Office of China Compliance, and no less than \$4,400,000 for the China Countervailing Duty Group within Import Administration. The Office of China Compliance is dedicated to the enforcement of anti-dumping law with respect to China and other non-market economy countries. The Committee expects to be notified of any impediments to hiring or retaining the required staff expertise to conduct this work. The China Countervailing Duty Group was established in fiscal year 2009 to accommodate the workload that has resulted from the application of countervailing duty law

to imports from non-market economy countries. The Committee also encourages ITA to allocate additional resources above the request to the activities of both these offices.

*Market Access and Compliance (MAC).*—The Committee continues to support the efforts of the ITA to enforce trade agreements aggressively. MAC develops strategies to overcome market access obstacles faced by U.S. businesses by monitoring foreign country compliance with multilateral and bilateral trade-related agreements and identifying compliance and other market access obstacles.

*Manufacturing and Services.*—This program focuses on both the domestic and international aspects of U.S. industrial competitiveness by working with U.S. industries to evaluate the needs of the U.S. manufacturing and service sectors; conducting economic and regulatory analysis to strengthen U.S. industry; obtaining input and advice from U.S. industries for trade policy setting; and participating, as appropriate, with ITA trade policy and negotiation advancement initiatives.

*Executive Direction and Administration.*—These programs provide policy leadership, information technology (IT) support and administration services for all of ITA. Executive Direction includes the Office of the Under Secretary for International Trade and subordinate offices covering Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, Public Affairs, and the Office of the Chief Information Officer.

*Trade promotion and the U.S. & Foreign Commercial Service.*—The Commercial Service conducts trade promotion programs intended to broaden and deepen the base of U.S. exports, particularly of small and medium-sized firms; provides American companies with reliable advice on the range of public and private assistance available and knowledgeable support for all other Federal trade promotion services; offers export assistance through information, referral and follow-up services through its integrated global field network; ensures adequate support for compliance and leads inter-agency advocacy efforts for major overseas projects, including early involvement in project development and assistance to resolve post-export transaction problems.

*Travel expenditures.*—The Committee expects the Department to submit quarterly reports to the Committee regarding ITA's travel expenditures, including separate breakouts of funding, number of trips and the purposes of travel to both China and India.

*Human rights training.*—An understanding and appreciation of human rights issues and impacts is essential to the pursuit of international trade and commercial opportunities. The Committee continues to support ITA's efforts to ensure that American commercial officers and locally-engaged staff receive training on human rights. The Committee expects ITA to devote no less than \$500,000 for such training to ensure that, when counseling U.S. businesses on market conditions with a particular country, employees include information on human rights, rule of law, and corporate responsibility. In addition, ITA shall report to the Committee sixty days after the enactment of this Act with an annual human rights training plan.

*Facilitating exports with the People's Republic of China.*—Not later than 45 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce shall submit to the Committee on Appropria-

tions of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the status of the current program and the feasibility of providing additional assistance to small- and medium-sized businesses in the United States for purposes of facilitating exports of United States goods to the People's Republic of China (the PRC). The report shall include, but is not limited to the Department's ability to provide small- and medium-sized businesses with: (1) assistance to find and utilize Federal and private resources to facilitate entering into the market of the PRC; (2) continuous direct and personal contact with businesses that have entered the market of the PRC; (3) assistance to resolve disputes with the Government of the United States or the PRC relating to intellectual property rights violations, export restrictions, and additional trade barriers; (4) the location and recruitment of businesses to enter the market of the PRC; (5) trade missions to the PRC; and (6) consolidation of fees charged by the Department for Gold Key matching services provided for businesses that export goods or services produced in the United States to more than one market in the PRC. The report shall include cost estimates for any additional services not currently provided, the effect of any fee reductions, and the number of additional personnel required.

Within the appropriation, \$3,715,000 is provided for the following activities:

International Trade Administration  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Clemson University, Clemson, SC	Textile Research at Clemson University	350
National Textile Center, Raleigh, NC	Textile Research	1,800
St. Francis University and St. Vincent College, Loretto, PA	Saint Francis University Center for Global Competitiveness	350
Textile/Clothing Textile Corporation, Raleigh, NC	Textile Research Programs	965
UC Davis, Davis, CA	Textile Research at UC Davis	250

## BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY

### OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$83,676,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	100,342,000
Recommended in the bill .....	100,342,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+16,666,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The mission of the Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) is to advance U.S. national security, foreign policy, and economic objectives by ensuring an effective export control and treaty compliance system and promoting continued U.S. strategic technology leadership.

The Committee recommends \$100,342,000 for BIS, which is \$16,666,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the same as the budget request. Of the amount provided, \$14,767,000 is for inspections and other activities related to national security. The recommendation includes \$10,000,000 for the cyber espionage and sys-

tem modernization initiative and \$1,800,000 for the WMD and IED nonproliferation initiative.

*Management and Policy Coordination (MPC).*—The Management and Policy Coordination program supports all Bureau performance goals. MPC develops, analyzes, and coordinates policy initiatives within BIS and on an interagency basis.

*Export Administration (EA).*—The Export Administration carries out BIS programs related to export control regulations, export licenses, treaty compliance, treaty obligations relating to weapons of mass destruction, and the defense industrial and technology base to meet national security needs. EA regulates the export of dual-use items determined to require export licenses for reasons of national security, nonproliferation, foreign policy, or short supply; ensures that approval or denial of license applications is consistent with U.S. economic and security concerns; promotes an understanding of export control regulations within the business community; represents the Department in interagency and international fora relating to export controls, particularly multilateral regimes; monitors and seeks to ensure the availability of industrial resources for national defense under the authority of the Delegation of Procurement Authority; analyzes the impact of export controls on strategic industries; and assesses the security consequences for the United States of certain foreign investments.

*Export Enforcement (EE).*—The Export Enforcement program detects and prevents the illegal export of controlled goods and technology. EE investigates and helps sanction violators of U.S. export control, anti-terrorist and public safety laws and regulations; educates the business community to help prevent violations; and administers the Export Administration Act (EAA) (which expired on August 19, 2001, but the provisions of which remain in force under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), Executive Order 13222, as extended most recently by the Notice of August 3, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 44551 (August 7, 2006)) provisions restricting participation in foreign boycotts.

#### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$272,800,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	284,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	293,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+20,200,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+9,000,000

The mission of the Economic Development Administration (EDA) is to lead the Federal economic development agenda by promoting innovation and competitiveness, preparing American regions for growth and success in the worldwide economy.

The Committee recommendation includes \$293,000,000 for the programs and administrative expenses of the EDA for fiscal year 2010, which is \$20,200,000 above fiscal year 2009, and \$9,000,000 above the request. The total recommendation for EDA consists of the amounts appropriated in the following two accounts.

## ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION PROGRAMS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	\$240,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	246,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	255,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+15,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+9,000,000

<sup>1</sup>Up to \$4 million may be transferred to S&E for grants administration and management.

Economic Development Assistance Programs promote regional economic development efforts that benefit distressed communities, especially distressed rural communities. The Committee recommendation includes \$255,000,000 for the Economic Development Assistance programs, \$15,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level.

*Public Works.*—The Committee provides \$158,280,000 for Public Works, \$110,480,000 above the request and \$25,000,000 above fiscal year 2009. The Committee believes that, given the current economic climate, these funds are critical to local communities and industries faced with increased economic pressures and dislocations in the rapidly changing global economy.

*Global Climate Change Mitigation Fund.*—The Committee notes the increased construction costs associated with the incorporation of technologies and strategies that reduce energy consumption and harmful gas emissions and contribute to sustainability. Within the funds provided for Public Works, the Committee recommends no less than \$25,000,000 for the Global Climate Change Mitigation Incentive Fund, \$8,500,000 above the request and \$10,300,000 above fiscal year 2009. The Committee emphasizes that to be successful in today's environment, economic development must address the effects of climate change, and directs EDA to expand the program beyond Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification. This can include renewable energy; energy efficiency; reuse, restoration and recycling; green buildings; the development of green products; the greening of an existing function, process or activity; and the creation or renovation of a green building. The Committee directs EDA to provide a report within 60 days of enactment of this Act, detailing the scope of the fund, the criteria for approval of fund expenditures, and the methodology EDA will employ when reviewing grants.

*Planning.*—The Committee provides \$31,000,000, the same as the request, and directs the agency to continue to allocate funding to the existing network of Economic Development Districts (EDDs), consistent with last year's direction. The continued support provides EDDs with the flexibility needed to engage local government, business, and community leadership in setting and pursuing strategic job creation and retention initiatives effectively.

*Technical Assistance.*—The Committee provides an increase of \$400,000 over fiscal year 2009, and directs EDA to compete two additional University Centers each year, with the goal of ensuring that each State has at least one Center. This will ensure that economically distressed communities are not further burdened by the lack of representation and the need to share and stretch resources.

*Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA).*—While the Committee supports this program and the recently enacted authorization, EDA must undertake efforts to improve overall organization, implementation and evaluation of its program before significant new invest-

ments can be recommended by the Committee. In 2007, the Congressional Research Service reported that 61 percent of program funds were spent on administration and TAA center (TAAC) operations, not on the projects for which firms applied for assistance. The report states that EDA is unable to monitor and evaluate the performance of either firms assisted or the TAACs, resulting in limited evidence to determine program successes. The Committee therefore directs EDA to institute such an evaluative process and provide quarterly reports on the number of firms assisted, how that assistance is quantified, and the value each TAAC adds to the process.

*Economic adjustment assistance.*—Within funding provided, the Committee supports the efficiency gains of regional innovation clusters for applicants and the agency. The Committee directs EDA to provide quarterly reports on progress and funding awards, and expects proven performance to continue the initiative. Funds are not included for the proposed business incubator initiative as these activities are duplicative of programs administered by the Small Business Administration.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$32,800,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	38,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	38,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+5,200,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The administration of EDA’s Economic Development Assistance Programs is carried out through a network of headquarters and regional offices. These activities include pre-application assistance and development, application processing, and project monitoring as well as general support functions such as economic development research, technical assistance, information dissemination, legal and environmental compliance, financial management, budgeting and debt management. The appropriation provides for the staffing levels as proposed in the budget request.

MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MINORITY BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$29,825,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	31,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	31,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,175,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The mission of the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) is to achieve entrepreneurial parity for Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) by promoting their ability to grow and to compete in the global economy. MBDA helps minority-owned businesses obtain access to public and private debt and equity financing, market opportunities, and management and business information to increase business growth in the minority business community.

The Committee recommendation includes \$31,000,000 for the MBDA for fiscal year 2010. The recommendation is the same as the

request and \$1,175,000 above fiscal year 2009. Within the appropriation, the Committee provides not less than \$200,000 for the Office of Native American Business Development. The Committee expects the office to utilize the assistance of the Native American Business Enterprise Centers to help fulfill its obligations to expand business development, trade promotion and tourism opportunities for Indian tribes and other Native American entities. Within the appropriation, \$900,000 is provided for the following activities, and the agency is directed to work with the recipients to ensure that projects meet the agency's mission:

Minority Business Development Agency  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Jamaica Chamber of Commerce, Jamaica, NY	Jamaica Export Center	100
McAllen Economic Development Corporation, McAllen, TX	McAllen Manufacturing Research and Education Park	300
West Liberty State College, West Liberty, WV	Emerging Minority Business Leaders	500

## ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS ADMINISTRATION

### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$90,621,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	105,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	97,255,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+6,634,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-7,745,000

The mission of the Economics and Statistics Administration (ESA) is to provide leadership and critical oversight of the Census Bureau and Bureau of Economic Analysis. The mission of the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) is to promote the understanding of the U.S. economy by providing timely, relevant, and accurate economic accounts data. These statistics are key ingredients in decisions affecting interest and exchange rates, tax and budget projections, business investment plans, and the allocation of federal funds, including programs such as Medicaid and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) among others. BEA prepares national, regional, industry and international accounts that present essential information on such issues as economic growth, regional economic development, inter-industry relationships and the Nation's position in the world economy.

The Committee recommendation provides \$97,255,000 for the ESA, which is \$6,634,000 above fiscal year 2009. This level provides funding for two new initiatives, including \$1,500,000 to develop new estimation models for financial services statistics in order to more accurately reflect the critical changes that are occurring in the financial sector of the U.S. economy; and \$2,000,000 to improve, accelerate, and expand county-level economic statistics.

## BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$3,139,850,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	7,374,731,000
Recommended in the bill .....	7,374,731,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+4,234,881,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The mission of the Bureau of the Census (Census) is to serve as the leading source of quality data about the Nation's people and economy. To accomplish this mission, Census measures those trends and segments of our population and economy most critical to continued American success and prosperity. The Committee recommendation includes a total operating level of \$7,374,731,000 for the Bureau of the Census, which is \$4,234,881,000 above fiscal year 2009, excluding the \$1,000,000,000 provided in the fiscal year 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act.

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$233,588,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	259,024,000
Recommended in the bill .....	259,024,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+25,436,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

This appropriation provides for the collection, compilation, and publication of a broad range of current economic, demographic, and social statistics, to include current economic, general economic, foreign trade, and current demographic statistics, and survey development and data services. The Committee recommendation includes \$259,024,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Bureau of the Census for fiscal year 2010, which is the same as the request and \$25,436,000 above fiscal year 2009.

*Current economic statistics.*—The business statistics program provides current information on sales and related measures of retail and wholesale trade and selected service industries. Construction statistics are provided on significant construction activity, while manufacturing statistics survey key industrial commodities and manufacturing activities, providing current statistics on the quantity and value of industrial output. Within this subactivity, an increase of \$11,661,000 allows for the expansion of an existing pilot program into Longitudinal Employer Dynamics (LED), to provide comprehensive and timely national, state, and local information on worker job flows and businesses.

*Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP).*—The Committee provides \$50,279,000, the same as the request and an increase of \$4,579,000 over fiscal year 2009. Funds provided will ensure a full sample size and allow for improvements to the SIPP system by fiscal year 2012, including updating computer programming; improving survey content; investigating, testing, and as appropriate, implementing an Event History Calendar; and security enhancements to laptops used in the field.

## PERIODIC CENSUSES AND PROGRAMS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$2,906,262,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	7,115,707,000
Recommended in the bill .....	7,115,707,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+4,209,445,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The Committee recommendation includes a total of \$7,115,707,000 for all periodic censuses and related programs in fiscal year 2010, which is \$4,209,445,000 above fiscal year 2009 and the same as the request.

*2010 Decennial Census Program.*—The subactivity consists of three highly integrated components: the American Community Survey (ACS), which collects detailed characteristics, which used to be collected on the decennial long form, every year throughout the decade; the Master Address File/Topographically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (MAF/TIGER) Enhancement Program (MTEP), which realigns the TIGER map to take advantage of global positioning system capabilities, modernizes the processing system, and expands geographic partnerships; and the 2010 Census. Fiscal year 2010 is dedicated to final preparations and completing the 2010 Census enumeration.

*Field Data Collection Automation (FDCA) Contract Replan.*—The replan of the FDCA contract resulted in a significant overall increase in the life cycle cost of the Decennial Census, now estimated at \$14.7 billion. The Committee directs the Census Bureau to provide monthly status reports and obligation rates on this contract and other large contracts related to the 2010 Decennial, and include the status of known risks to the success of the Decennial.

*Communications.*—A recent Joint Advisory Advertising Review Panel (JAARP) characterized the decennial ad campaign as “obsolete.” Among its findings, the panel noted the ad campaign lacked integration and coordination; failed to emphasize the security of the data provided in response to the decennial questionnaire; did not identify the consequences of failing to fill out the survey; and lacked diversity among focus groups providing feedback on the campaign materials. Census is, therefore, directed to work closely with the communications provider to ensure that the decennial’s outreach activities are targeted to ensure high rates of survey responses in all communities, particularly within hard-to-reach communities.

*Paid media.*—The Committee directs the Census Bureau to allocate sufficient funding to support robust paid media efforts in preparation for the 2010 Decennial Census, with specific focus on hard-to-reach populations, and to ensure that these efforts surpass the effectiveness of such efforts in 2000. The Committee takes note of testimony by the Census Bureau that increasing the mail-back response rate through promotional efforts such as paid media—in combination with partnership efforts—results in substantial savings in the non-response follow-up enumeration.

*Partnership.*—The Committee urges the Census Bureau to ensure it hires a diverse pool of employees, particularly for partnership specialist and enumerator positions for the 2010 Decennial. These indigenous workers bring language skills and neighborhood and cultural knowledge to bear on the task, and are thus more

likely to be accepted by communities during outreach and non-response follow-up, resulting in a more accurate count. The Census Bureau is also encouraged to strive to maintain a more diverse, full-time workforce, including at the senior management level.

*Other languages.*—The Committee is concerned that the Census Bureau has not adequately planned for assistance in languages other than Spanish for the 2010 Census and the ACS, which may result in an inaccurate count of limited English proficient and immigrant communities. The Census Bureau should provide the Committee with updates on a semi-annual basis on its language assistance program, including information on how it will reach and assist respondents who speak Asian, American Indian and Alaska Native languages, Spanish, and other languages spoken by ethnic immigrants in the United States for the ACS and the 2010 Census.

*Data on small population groups.*—The Committee is concerned about the availability of data on small population groups, especially Asian, Hispanic, Pacific Islander, and other national origins subgroups, from the American Community Survey and Decennial census. In many ethnic and immigrant communities, gathering accurate information about smaller subgroups is extremely important for policymakers, as the needs among the various populations vary significantly. The Committee urges the Census Bureau to ensure that reliable information about these subgroups, especially numerically smaller groups, is collected and published, and directs the Bureau to report back within 90 days of enactment of this Act describing the steps it will take to ensure the availability and accuracy of these data.

*Offshore U.S. jurisdictions.*—The Committee urges the Census Bureau to consider new options for reporting aggregate data reflecting all citizens of the United States, including Puerto Rico and other offshore jurisdictions.

*Additional outreach.*—The Committee directs the Bureau to ensure full funding of Census in Schools and adult education materials. The Committee notes that Census in Schools provides significant outreach to hard-to-reach populations through the medium of take-home materials that educate school children and their parents and extended families. Similar results are obtained by providing adult education materials that also reach neighbors and friends in these communities.

*Non-decennial programs.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$214,581,000 for non-decennial periodic census programs, the same as the request, and \$8,119,000 below fiscal year 2009. Funds are distributed as follows:

[In thousands of dollars]

Other Periodic Programs:	
Economic Censuses .....	\$111,678,000
Census of Governments .....	8,253,000
Subtotal, Other Periodic Programs .....	119,931,000
Demographic Statistics Programs:	
Intercensal Demographic Estimates .....	\$10,357,000
Demographic Survey .....	11,493,000
Geographic Support .....	41,681,000
Data Processing Systems .....	31,119,000
Subtotal, Demographic Programs .....	94,650,000
Total, Non-Decennial Programs .....	\$214,581,000

*Economic Census.*—Conducted every five years, the economic census is integral to the Bureau of Economic Analysis’ estimates of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), and is the foundation of the Nation’s economic statistics programs. Fiscal year 2010 is the sixth year of the six-year 2007 Economic Census cycle, and the first year of the 2012 Economic Census cycle, and funding reflects a planned reduction of \$5,200,000.

*Census of Governments.*—The Census of Governments is also integral to the BEA’s estimates of GDP. It is the only source of comprehensive and uniformly classified data on the economic activities of more than 90,000 State and local governments, which account for about 12 percent of GDP and nearly 16 percent of the U.S. workforce. Fiscal year 2010 is the first year in the five-year cycle of the 2012 Census of Governments and funding provided reflects a planned decrease of \$702,000.

NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION  
ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$19,218,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	19,999,000
Recommended in the bill .....	19,999,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+781,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) serves as the Administration’s principle adviser on telecommunications and information policy matters and develops forward-looking spectrum policies that ensure efficient and effective spectrum access and use. NTIA manages all spectrum use by Federal government departments and agencies and examines how the radio frequency spectrum is used and managed in the United States. NTIA advocates for policies and conducts technical research that supports delivery of the latest telecommunications technology and services to all Americans. The Committee recommendation includes \$19,999,000 for the salaries and expenses of the NTIA, which is the same as the request and \$781,000 above fiscal year 2009.

*Domestic and international policies.*—NTIA develops and advocates policies to improve and expand domestic telecommunications services and markets. NTIA provides advice to White House officials, coordinates with other Executive Branch agencies, and participates in relevant Congressional actions and interagency and Federal Communications Commission (FCC) proceedings on a host of issues. NTIA advocates the advancement of U.S. priorities in international telecommunications policy and regulatory areas.

*Spectrum management.*—NTIA manages the Federal Government’s use of the radio frequency spectrum, both domestically and internationally.

*Telecommunication sciences research.*—NTIA develops improved spectrum measurement techniques to address the increasing use of broadband technologies, including digital signals, spread-spectrum, and frequency agile systems.

*Digital Television Transition And Public Safety Fund.*—The Digital Television Transition and Public Safety Fund, created by the

Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, as amended, received offsetting receipts from the auction of electromagnetic spectrum recovered from discontinued analog television signals and provides funding for several one-time programs from these receipts. The amended Act also provided borrowing authority to the Department of Commerce to commence specified programs prior to the availability of auction receipts. Amounts borrowed from the Treasury have been repaid using earned revenues from the auction. At the end of fiscal year 2009, NTIA will deposit in the General Fund \$7,363,000,000 of the earned revenues (receipts) for deficit reduction purposes, as required by law. Additional deposits of revenues to the General Fund, estimated to be \$8,688,000,000, will be initiated as program activities are completed. During fiscal year 2010, the following programs are authorized to use receipts from the fund:

- Digital-to-Analog Converter Box Voucher Program;
- Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grants;
- Assistance to Low-Power Television Stations; and
- National and Remote Alert Programs.

PUBLIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES, PLANNING AND  
CONSTRUCTION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$20,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....
Recommended in the bill .....	20,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	.....
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+20,000,000

The Public Telecommunications Facilities, Planning, and Construction (PTFPC) program awards competitive grants that help public broadcasting stations, State and local governments, Indian tribes and nonprofit organizations construct facilities to bring educational and cultural programming to the American public using broadcasting and non-broadcasting telecommunications technologies.

The Committee recommendation includes \$20,000,000 for PTFPC, which is \$20,000,000 more than the request, and the same level as provided in fiscal year 2009. The budget request proposed to eliminate the PTFPC program in fiscal year 2010, citing funding available through the Corporation for Public Broadcasting (CPB). However, it is not clear that CPB and PTFPC are authorized to fund the same types of projects. PTFPC has been the primary source for telecommunications infrastructure assistance for public radio and television stations seeking assistance, particularly in under-served rural areas. The Committee therefore directs that NTIA work with CPB to provide a report to the Appropriations Committees of the House and Senate within 30 days of enactment of this Act, that clarifies the funding authority of the two agencies. This report will ensure that any proposed changes in account structures that may be sought in subsequent budget requests will result in efficiencies, not the elimination of a critical program.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
CURRENT YEAR FEE FUNDING

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$2,010,100,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	1,930,361,000
Recommended in the bill .....	1,930,361,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	- 79,739,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) is charged with administering the patent and trademark laws of the United States. USPTO examines patent applications, grants patent protection for qualified inventions, and disseminates technological information disclosed in patents. The USPTO also examines trademark applications and provides federal registration to owners of qualified trademarks. The USPTO advises the Office of the United States Trade Representative on enforcement issues in connection with free trade agreements and participates in consultations with numerous foreign governments on a broad range of issues related to the enforcement of intellectual property rights. The Committee recommendation includes \$1,930,361,000 for the PTO for fiscal year 2010, which is the same as the request.

*Provision of fee collection projections.*—The Committee directs the USPTO to provide quarterly reports on its projected fee collection projections and to notify the Committee during any month when significant changes in such projections prompt serious concern or require drastic budgetary responses.

*Backlog of patent applications.*—Despite the projected decline in patent applications, the backlog of unexamined patent applications at the USPTO remains extraordinarily high. The increasing backlog is largely a consequence of a globalized economy in which applicants are filing in more than one jurisdiction. This means that the USPTO and other national patent offices are duplicating one another's work. The USPTO has recognized the relationship between rising workload and duplication of national examinations. However, the USPTO's efforts have proceeded very slowly and have not yet resulted in significant relief for the USPTO.

The Government Accountability Office has found that the hiring of additional patent examiners has not been sufficient to reverse this trend and the recent and projected decrease in fee collections—12.4 percent lower than the forecast for the fiscal year 2009 budget—makes it clear that the USPTO will not be able to rely on additional hiring as a method to reduce pendency. While a projected decline in patent applications may help to reduce the backlog, the most effective, long-term means of reducing the pendency of patent applications is for the leading patent offices to make more effective use of one another's work. The Committee directs the USPTO to enhance its efforts to reduce duplication of work already performed by another patent office in a manner that does not compromise the quality of the examination or compromise the sovereignty of the United States, such as the Patent Prosecution Highway, the Trilateral Strategic Working Group, and technical cooperative agreements with intellectual property offices in other countries. The Committee directs USPTO to provide a report to the Committee on its progress within 30 days of enactment of this Act.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY  
(INCLUDING TRANSFERS)

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) promotes U.S. innovation and industrial competitiveness by advancing measurement science, standards, and technology in ways that enhance economic security and improve our quality of life.

The Committee recommendation includes \$781,100,000 for NIST for fiscal year 2010.

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL RESEARCH AND SERVICES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$472,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	534,600,000
Recommended in the bill .....	510,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+38,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-24,600,000

To carry out its mission, NIST has an intramural research program made up of laboratories and technical programs and national research facilities. The laboratories and technical programs develop and disseminate measurement techniques, reference data and materials, test methods, standards, and other infrastructural technologies and services required by U.S. industry. National research facilities include the NIST Center for Neutron Research and the Center for Nanoscale Science and Technology. NIST also manages the Baldrige National Quality Program, which promotes U.S. competitiveness in business, health care, education, and non-profit organizations through performance excellence criteria and other information transfer, and management of the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award.

The Committee recommendation includes \$510,000,000 for NIST's scientific and technical core programs, which is \$38,000,000 above fiscal year 2009, an increase of 8 percent. Within available resources, the Committee supports the full funding of the comprehensive national cyber security increase.

The Committee approves NIST's new budget structure consolidating all the functions of its laboratory research program under one budget activity but the Committee will regard any deviation from amounts specified in spend plans or reports for particular labs and technical programs, or the use of deobligated funds, to be subject to reprogramming procedures.

INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$175,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	194,600,000
Recommended in the bill .....	194,600,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+19,600,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

This appropriation provides funding for the Manufacturing Extension Partnerships (MEP) program and the Technology Innovation Program (TIP). The Committee recommendation includes \$194,600,000, which is \$19,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the same as the request. Of this amount, \$124,700,000 is provided for the Manufacturing Extension Partnerships (MEP) and \$69,900,000 is provided for the Technology Inno-

vation Program (TIP). In the current economic climate, these programs are critically important to ensure the competitiveness of U.S. businesses.

MEP consists of a network of centers that provide business support and technical assistance services, and helps improve the productivity and competitiveness of small manufacturers. The centers are funded from matching Federal and State or local resources and fees charged for services. MEP leverages private resources in the creation and retention of jobs, thereby increasing economic output as well as Federal revenues.

TIP was established in section 3012 of the Competes Act and speeds the development of high-risk, transformative research targeted to address key societal challenges. Funding is provided to small and medium-sized businesses, and institutions of higher education or other organizations, such as national laboratories and nonprofit research institutions to support, promote, and accelerate innovation in the U.S.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF RESEARCH FACILITIES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$172,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	116,900,000
Recommended in the bill .....	76,500,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	– 95,500,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	– 40,400,000

This appropriation supports the construction of new facilities and the renovation and maintenance of NIST's current buildings and laboratories to comply with scientific and engineering requirements and to keep pace with Federal, State, and local health and safety regulations. The Committee recommendation includes \$76,500,000, of which \$8,000,000 shall be available for the final year of the Neutron Center Expansion and Reliability Improvements, and \$20,000,000 shall be available for a competitive grant competition. The Committee does not provide funding to begin two new multi-year construction projects, given budget constraints.

*Competitive construction grants.*—Within the appropriation, the Committee provides \$20,000,000 for competitive construction grants for research science buildings in fiscal year 2010. The Committee notes that in just the first year of the program, the fiscal year 2008 call for proposals yielded 93 requests, of which only three were funded within available appropriations. The Committee expects the Administration to include funding for this program in future requests as these research science buildings leverage additional public and private funding, provide jobs, and improve science research in the Nation.

#### NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

The mission of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is to understand and predict changes in Earth's environment and conserve and manage coastal and marine resources to meet our Nation's economic, social and environmental needs. NOAA supplies information on the oceans and atmosphere, provides stewardship of our coastal and marine environment, and leads scientific research in such fields as ecosystems, climate, global climate change, weather and oceanography.

The Committee recommendation includes a total of \$4,602,941,000 in discretionary appropriations for NOAA, which is \$129,125,000 above the request, and \$237,745,000 above fiscal year 2009.

OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	\$3,045,549,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request <sup>1,2</sup> .....	3,087,537,000
Recommended in the bill <sup>1</sup> .....	3,198,793,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+153,244,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+111,256,000

<sup>1</sup>Excludes \$3,000,000 in discretionary transfer from the Coastal Zone Management Fund.

<sup>2</sup>The budget request proposes to transfer \$50,000,000 from species recovery grants within the National Marine Fisheries Service to fund activities within the Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery Fund.

The Committee recommendation includes a total program level of \$3,317,393,000 under this account for the coastal, fisheries, marine, weather, satellite and other programs of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. This total funding level includes \$3,198,793,000 in direct appropriations; a transfer of \$104,600,000 from balances in the "Promote and Develop Fishery Products and Research Pertaining to American Fisheries" account; a transfer of \$3,000,000 from the Coastal Zone Management Fund; and \$11,000,000 is derived from recoveries of prior year obligations. The direct appropriation of \$3,198,793,000 is \$111,256,000 above the request and \$153,244,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level, and includes \$37,500,000 for congressionally directed activities.

The following narrative descriptions and tables identify the specific activities and funding levels included in this Act:

*National Ocean Service (NOS).*—The recommendation provides \$481,981,000 for NOS operations, research and facilities, which is \$19,310,000 above the request.

*Navigation Services.*—The Committee provides \$48,081,000 for mapping and charting of which, \$750,000 is provided for the development and demonstration of unmanned surface vehicles for hydrographic survey operations, and \$30,000,000 to address survey backlogs.

*Ocean Assessment Program.*—The recommendation provides \$20,000,000 for the Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) regional observations, which is \$5,000,000 above the request, and provides the requested level of \$6,555,000 for NOAA IOOS. Given the effect of climate change on coral reefs worldwide, the recommendation provides \$29,000,000 for the Coral Reef Program, which includes \$2,273,000 in competitive funding above the request. The Committee recognizes the broad value of the consortium of sensor testbeds and the vital services these efforts provide to NOAA and other federal agencies. The agency is directed to report to the Committee within 30 days of enactment of this Act on the feasibility of establishing a cooperative institute for the purpose of advancing and sustaining this essential capability and including it in future requests.

*Response and restoration.*—The recommendation includes \$26,134,000 for Response and Restoration, which is \$1,812,000 above the request, and which provides \$3,000,000 for Estuary Restoration and \$9,300,000 for Damage Assessment and Restoration.

*Ocean and Coastal Management.*—The recommendation includes \$49,946,000 for the Marine Sanctuary Program, an increase of \$4,997,000. Within the increase, the recommendation provides \$2,500,000 for purchase and installation of an integrated vessel electronics bridge system. The Committee directs NOAA to use a portion of the increases provided for Coastal Zone Management grants for efforts to modernize and improve State information systems to assess, track and manage permitting and land-use tracking procedures. An increase of \$1,174,000 above the request is provided for the National Estuarine Research Reserve System operations.

**NATIONAL OCEAN SERVICE  
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**  
(In thousands of dollars)

	Recommended
<b>Navigation Services:</b>	
Mapping & Charting	48,081
Hydrographic Research and Technology Development	7,424
Electronic Navigational Charts	6,128
Shoreline Mapping	2,424
Address Survey Backlog/Contracts	30,000
Geodesy	26,417
National Height Modernization	2,541
Tide & Current Data	29,278
Total, Navigation Services	152,293
<b>Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment:</b>	
Ocean Assessment Program (OAP):	
Ocean Research Priorities Plan/Ocean Acidification	6,000
Integrated Ocean Observing System - Regional Observatories	20,000
NOAA IOOS	6,555
Coastal Services Centers	20,254
Coastal Storms	2,800
Ocean Health Initiative	1,000
Coral Reef Programs	29,000
Gulf Of Mexico Regional Collaboration	4,000
Subtotal, Ocean Assessment Program (OAP)	89,609
Response and Restoration:	
Response and Restoration Base	9,834
Estuary Restoration Program	3,000
Damage Assessment Program	9,300
Marine Debris	4,000
Subtotal, Response and Restoration	26,134
National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS):	
NCCOS Headquarters	4,000
Competitive External Research (HABs, Hypoxia and Regional Ecosystem)	15,801
Center for Coastal Environmental Health & Biomolecular Research	11,300
Oxford Cooperative Lab	3,000
Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research	2,700
Center for Coastal Monitoring & Assessment	5,000
Center for Coastal Fisheries and Habitat Research	5,000
Marine Env. Health Research Lab - MEHRL	2,400
Subtotal, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science	49,201
Total, Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment	164,944
<b>Ocean and Coastal Management:</b>	
CZM Grants	67,500
CZM Program Administration	10,400
National Estuarine Research Reserve System	23,500
Marine Protected Areas	2,128
Marine Sanctuary Program Base	49,946
Energy Licensing and Appeals	1,900
Total, Ocean and Coastal Management	155,374
Congressionally Directed Projects	9,370
GRAND TOTAL NOS	481,981

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
Operations, Research and Facilities  
National Ocean Service  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
California State Coastal Conservancy, Oakland, CA	California Seafloor Mapping Program	300
Caribbean Coral Reef Institute, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR	Caribbean Coral Reef Institute Grant Program	100
Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD	Alliance for Coastal Technologies	500
Department of Lands and Natural Resources, Saipan, MP	Mariana Islands Sanctuary Scoping and Outreach	220
Eastern Kentucky PRIDE, Inc., Somerset, KY	Eastern Kentucky PRIDE, Inc.	1,000
Illinois State Geological Survey, Champaign, IL	Illinois Height Modernization	800
Louisiana State University A&M College, Baton Rouge, LA	The Louisiana Geodetic Spatial Reference Center	700
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS	NOAA Northern Gulf Institute	700
Mobile County Commission, Alabama, Mobile, AL	Coastal tidal gauges	600
Monmouth University, West Long Branch, NJ	The Resilient Coastal Urban Community and Ecosystem (RESCUE) Initiative	250
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Silver Spring, MD	West Coast Governors' Agreement on Ocean Health	500
Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, FL	National Coral Reef Institute	200
Provincetown Center for Coastal Studies, Provincetown, MA	Northeast Coastal Monitoring Collaborative	550
State of Wisconsin, Madison, WI	Wisconsin Height Modernization Program	1,000
Town of Dauphin Island, Dauphin Island, AL	Engineering feasibility study	1,500
University of Guam, Mangilao, GU	Coral Reef Research Institute	300
University of North Carolina-Wilmington, Wilmington, NC	Aquarius Reef Base Program	150

*National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).*—The recommendation provides \$915,865,000 for NMFS operations, research and facilities, which is \$25,223,000 above the request.

*Protected Species Research and Management.*—The recommendation includes \$65,987,000 for an expanded species recovery grants program, which is \$5,000,000 above the request. The Committee concurs with the need for increased funding for endangered, threatened, and at-risk marine and anadromous species. The Committee supports the proposed recovery grants program to focus on conservation and recovery of all marine and anadromous species. Similar to the request, the recommendation provides \$50,000,000 within the species recovery grant program for salmon projects.

The Committee encourages NMFS to provide \$7,100,000 from all appropriated funding sources for the Hawaiian Monk Seal, as it is one of the world's most endangered marine species.

The Committee encourages NOAA to demonstrate and utilize biological pattern recognition technology where appropriate for tagging fish or threatened or endangered animal species.

*Fisheries Research and Management.*—The recommendation provides \$423,967,000 for Protected Species Research and Management which is \$2,500,000 above the request. Within this amount, \$190,133,000 is recommended for Fisheries research and management programs. The recommendation does not include \$7,500,000 for CAMEO and assessments that are not Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act requirements. An increase of \$10,000,000 above the request is provided within Salmon management activities to begin implementation of reforms developed by the Hatchery Scientific Review Group to operate these facilities in a manner more conducive to salmon recovery.

*Magnuson-Stevens Reauthorization Act (MSRA).*—The Committee recommendation includes the requested \$56,500,000 increase for MSRA requirements. These funds are necessary to halt the downward spiral of fisheries collapses and the need for subsequent disaster funding to support those industries which depend on fisheries. The Committee recognizes the need to ensure sustainable fisheries that are more profitable and more efficient for the communities that depend on them economically—and to ensure the Nation can rely on these resources in perpetuity. Of this funding, \$18,600,000 is designated to implement a sector-based management approach for New England and the transition to catch shares, a new tool that provides flexibility for fishermen while maintaining sustainability. Increased funding supports the cost of expanded stock assessments required and is also provided for Regional Councils that make recommendations on annual catch limits (ACLs) to NMFS based on those assessments.

Cooperative research provides a means for commercial and recreational fishermen to become involved in the collection of fundamental fisheries information; \$4,800,000 will continue development of a State-Federal national registry program for marine recreational fishing participants, and expand commercial fisheries' biological sampling programs and electronic reporting of commercial fisheries' landings.

*Economic and social science research.*—The requested increase of \$3,357,000 is provided to address significant economic and social science research data gaps in major Federal fisheries that are cru-

cial to developing support tools to conduct MSRA-mandated cost-benefit analyses of regulatory options, such as ACLs and Limited Access Privilege Programs (LAPPs). These resources will allow the affected regions to provide input and engage in solutions in their own sectors, and to forge new relationships between the regions and NMFS.

*Enforcement and observers/training.*—The funding provided will increase NMFS' capacity to monitor compliance with annual catch limits, increase investigations of violations, and encourage voluntary compliance. Observer coverage will be expanded in three fisheries, including the multi-species fishery in New England and the Gulf of Mexico Reef Fish Observer Program, and pilot programs will begin in three other fisheries. In addition, systems will be developed to monitor landings and discards; track allocations, balances and transfers; and ensure the long-term sustainability and profitability of the fisheries.

*Habitat Conservation and Restoration.*—The recommendation provides a total of \$57,343,000 for Habitat Conservation and Restoration. Within this amount, \$34,967,000 is provided for Fisheries Habitat Restoration.

*Other activities.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of ongoing surfclam and ocean quahog surveys to sustainable fisheries management and encourages NOAA to work closely with stakeholders on this matter.

**NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE  
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**  
(In thousands of dollars)

	Recommended
Marine Mammals, Marine Turtles, and Marine Protected Species:	
Protected Species Research and Management Base	39,850
Species Recovery Grants	65,987
Marine Mammal Protection (MMP)	47,351
Other Protected Species	8,375
Marine Turtles	10,228
Atlantic Salmon	9,000
Pacific Salmon (Salmon Management Activities)	67,000
Total, Marine Mammals, Marine Turtles, and Marine Protected Species	247,791
Fisheries Research and Management:	
Fisheries Research and Management Programs	190,133
Expand Annual Stock Assessments - Improve Data Collection	50,995
Economics and Social Sciences Research	10,744
Salmon Management Activities	50,942
Regional Councils and Fisheries Commissions	31,855
Fisheries Statistics	21,068
Fish Information Networks	22,066
Survey and Monitoring Projects	23,759
Fisheries Oceanography	1,999
American Fisheries Act	5,503
Interjurisdictional Fisheries Grants	2,574
National Standard 8	1,060
Reduce Fishing Impacts on Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)	529
Reducing Bycatch	3,398
Product Quality and Safety	7,342
Total, Fisheries Research and Management	423,967
Enforcement and Observers:	
Enforcement and Surveillance	65,073
Observers / Training	38,059
Total, Enforcement and Observers/Training	103,132
Habitat Conservation & Restoration:	
Sustainable Habitat Management	22,376
Fisheries Habitat Restoration (Open Rivers & CBRP)	34,967
Total, Habitat Conservation & Restoration	57,343
Other Activities Supporting Fisheries:	
Antarctic Research	2,718
Aquaculture	2,052
Climate Regimes & Ecosystem Productivity	4,811
Computer Hardware and Software	3,460
Cooperative Research	17,567
Information Analyses & Dissemination	19,905
Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment & Prediction Program (MarMap)	842
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	8,336
NMFS Facilities Maintenance	6,535
Southwest Fisheries Center	1,000
Regional Studies	7,206
Total, Other Activities Supporting Fisheries	74,432
Congressionally Directed Projects	9,200
GRAND TOTAL NMFS	915,865

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
Operations, Research and Facilities  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Dauphin Island Sea Lab, Dauphin Island, AL Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources, Honolulu, HI	Biological and economic consideration of coastal fisheries population  Protected Species Habitat at Kure Atoll (HI)	750  100
Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Maine Lobster Research and Inshore Trawl Survey	200
Marine Mammal Center, Sausalito, CA Morgan State University Estuarine Research Center, St. Leonard, MD	Emergency Response and Health Investigations for Endangered and Threatened Pinniped Populations in the Pacific  Oyster Hatchery Economic Pilot Program	300  200
Mote Marine Laboratory, Sarasota, FL	Science Consortium for Ocean Replenishment (SCORE)	1,500
National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, MD Nicholls State University Department of Biological Sciences, Thibodaux, LA	Shrimp Industry Fishing Effort Research Continuation  Institute for Seafood Studies	700  325
NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office, Annapolis, MD	Native Oyster Restoration	700
NOAA Office of Protected Resources, Silver Spring, MD	Hawaiian Monk Seal Recovery Plan Implementation	275
Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR Partnership for Mid-Atlantic Fisheries, Point Pleasant Beach, NJ	Disease Reduction in Klamath River Salmon  Summer Flounder and Black Sea Initiative	600  600
The Alaska Sea Otter and Steller Sea Lion Commission, Old Harbor, AK	Steller Sea Lion Comanagement, Biosampling and Outreach/Education	500
The Nature Conservancy, Middletown, CT The Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association, Anchorage, AK	Ecosystem Vitality Through Habitat Restoration  The Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association	200  100
University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, Baltimore, MD	Metagenomic Analysis of Chesapeake Bay	100
Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, VA	Virginia TRAWL Survey	300
Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, NY West Virginia Department of Natural Resources, Charleston, WV	Bronx River and South Bronx Waterfront  Natural Stream Design and Restoration	1,000  750

*Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR).*—The recommendation includes \$426,675,000 for OAR operations, research and facilities, which is \$32,470,000 above the request. The Committee supports NOAA's efforts toward the creation of a National Climate Service and directs the agency to accelerate its current efforts with the additional funding provided in OAR and elsewhere within the bill.

The recommendation provides \$229,040,000 for Climate Research, which is \$19,200,000 above the request. Within that amount, \$5,000,000 is provided to fund a research grant competition relating to understanding and forecasting climate. The competition is to be open to all researchers at colleges, universities, corporations, other private sector entities, and NOAA and other government agency laboratories, and may cover field and laboratory measurements, modeling, data analysis, and data assimilation. Following a process similar to that employed by the National Science Foundation, proposal selection shall be based on research manager judgments of science priorities and peer reviews. Within the recommendation, the Committee provides \$12,000,000 for regional climate assessments through OAR's Regional Integrated Sciences and Assessment program, and directs NOAA to expand the program to cover additional regions. The recommendation also includes \$2,200,000 to continue chemical climate research important to climate modeling and atmospheric science research.

*Weather and Air Quality research.*—The recommendation includes \$650,000 above the request for instrumentation and operation of state of the art monitoring of nutrients and mercury speciation measurement stations and laboratories.

*Ocean, Coastal and Great Lakes research.*—The recommendation provides \$59,085,000 for the Sea Grant program, which is \$4,000,000 above the request. The Committee encourages NOAA to conduct long-term ice cover and water level outlooks for the Great Lakes to report on the impact of climate change on the habitats, fish and wildlife, commerce, recreational opportunities and water supply of the Great Lakes.

The Committee is aware that ocean fertilization for the purpose of drawing carbon into the oceans has the potential to be used for climate change mitigation in the future, but that further research is needed. Within the funds provided for oceanic research, the Committee encourages NOAA to support research into carbon sinks through ocean fertilization.

**OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH  
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH AND FACILITIES**  
(In thousands of dollars)

	Recommended
<b>Climate Research:</b>	
Laboratories & Cooperative Institutes	54,848
Climate Data & Information	12,080
Competitive Research Program	149,199
Climate Operations	913
Regional Climate Assessments	12,000
Total, Climate Research	229,040
<b>Weather &amp; Air Quality Research Programs:</b>	
Laboratories & Cooperative Institutes	55,075
U.S. Weather Research Program	5,500
Tornado Severe Storm Research/Phased Array Radar	3,972
Total, Weather & Air Quality Research	64,547
<b>Ocean, Coastal &amp; Great Lakes Research:</b>	
Laboratories & Cooperative Institutes	21,840
National Sea Grant College Program	59,085
Ocean Exploration & Research	21,816
NOAA's Undersea Research Program	9,000
Other Ecosystems Programs	2,621
Total, Ocean, Coastal & Great Lakes Research	114,362
<b>Info Tech, R&amp;D, &amp; Science Education</b>	
High Performance Computing Initiatives	13,081
<b>Congressionally Directed Projects</b>	
	5,645
<b>GRAND TOTAL OAR</b>	<b>426,675</b>

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
Operations, Research and Facilities  
Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Bowling Green State University , Bowling Green, OH	Monitoring of Lake Erie Water Quality with Remote Sensing	500
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI	Hyperspectral Remote Sensing and Science-Based Management of Invasive Species in the Detroit River International Wildlife Refuge	500
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL	Aviation and Hurricane Research Utilizing Unmanned Aerial Systems	300
Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID	Boise Center Aerospace Laboratory Watershed Modeling Utilizing LiDAR	500
State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY	Carbon Sequestration and Climate Change Models for NY State Forests	100
University of Maryland, College Park, MD	Development of Earth System Information Delivery and Assessment	150
University of Massachusetts-Amherst, Amherst, MA	Climate System Research Center	495
University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK	National Weather Radar Testbed Phased Array Radar	2,000
University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN	Atmospheric Science Research	1,000
University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Lake Erie Hydrological & Climate Modeling	100

*National Weather Service (NWS).*—The recommendation provides \$857,218,000 for National Weather Service operations, research and facilities. This includes an increase of \$15,966,000 for Local Warnings and Forecasts base above the fiscal year 2009 level and provides \$11,000,000 for the Center for Weather and Climate Prediction operations, as requested.

**NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE**  
**OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**  
(In thousands of dollars)

	Recommended
Observations, Forecasts, and Communications:	
Local Warnings and Forecasts:	
Local Warnings and Forecasts Base	617,842
Air Quality Forecasting	5,445
Alaska Data Buoys	1,683
Sustain Cooperative Observer Network	1,871
NOAA Profiler Network	4,756
Pacific Island Compact	3,515
Strengthen U.S. Tsunami Warning Network	23,264
Subtotal, Local Warnings and Forecasts	658,376
Operations and Research:	
Advanced Hydrological Prediction Services	6,037
Aviation Weather	11,363
WFO Maintenance	7,316
Central Forecast Guidance (includes Hurricane Center)	69,525
Subtotal, Operations and Research	94,241
Total, Observations, Forecasts, and Communications	752,617
Systems Operation & Maintenance:	
NEXRAD	46,121
ASOS	11,000
Weather Radio Transmitters and Communications	2,297
AWIPS	39,346
NWSTG Backup - CIP	5,512
Total, Systems Operation & Maintenance	104,276
Congressionally Directed Projects	325
GRAND TOTAL NWS	857,218

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
Operations, Research and Facilities  
National Weather Service  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

<b>Recipient</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Amount</b>
City of Hardy, Hardy, AR	Upper Spring River Flood Warning System	125
Delaware River Basin Commission , West Trenton, NJ	Delaware River Enhanced Flood Warning System	200

*National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service (NESDIS).*—The recommendation provides \$199,504,000 for NESDIS operations, research and facilities, which is \$27,767,000 above the request. The recommendation for NOAA's Data Centers and Information Services is \$71,056,000, which is \$23,917,000 above the request, and includes \$7,000,000 above the request for climate data records (CDRs) and additional environmental data archiving, access and assessment activities. The recommendation also provides a total of \$3,850,000 for Regional Climate Centers.

The Committee directs NOAA, together with NASA which is similarly directed, to continue co-funding joint studies within available funds that should lead to a fiscal year 2011 request to build and fly an operational scatterometer providing sea surface vector wind measurements. NOAA should aggressively pursue negotiations to secure a flight opportunity for this instrument that is both reliable and timely.

**NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE, DATA &  
INFORMATION SERVICE  
OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**  
(In thousands of dollars)

	Recommended
Environmental Satellite Observing Systems:	
Satellite Command and Control Base	39,562
NSOF Operations	7,810
Product Processing and Distribution	32,698
Product Development, Readiness & Application	20,671
Product Development, Readiness & Application (Ocean Remote Sensing)	3,979
Joint Center/Accelerate Use of Satellites	3,320
Commercial Remote Sensing Licensing & Enforcement	1,301
Office of Space Commercialization	649
Group on Earth Observations (GEO)	500
Total, Environmental Satellite Observing Systems	110,490
Data Centers & Information Services:	
Climate Data Records and Archive, Access & Assessment	71,056
Coastal Data Development	4,597
Regional Climate Centers	3,000
Environmental Data Systems Modernization	9,511
Total, NOAA's Data Centers & Information Services	88,164
Congressionally Directed Projects	850
GRAND TOTAL NESDIS	199,504

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
Operations, Research and Facilities  
National Environmental Satellite Data and Information Service  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Southern Regional Climate Center, Baton Rouge, LA	NOAA Regional Climate Center program	850

*Program Support.*—The recommendation provides \$436,020,000, which is \$16,360,000 above the request for Program Support.

*Corporate Services.*—The recommendation provides \$228,549,000 for Corporate Services and includes requested funding to support acquisition and grants services for NOAA. The agency is directed to strengthen grants and contract oversight. The Committee acknowledges the requested increase in facilities funding and continues to direct NOAA to meet the out-year funding profile necessary to reverse the growing backlog of restoration projects, as well as the backlog of maintenance and minor repair projects.

*NOAA Education Program.*—The NOAA Education program recommendation includes \$31,323,000 to ensure a robust program. NOAA education is particularly powerful in grades K–12 and the Committee received testimony that children need to be reached by the age of ten if they are to consider technical and scientific occupations. The Committee thus provides an increase of \$7,000,000 above the request for competitive educational grants, to ensure that at least 15 percent of applications are successful. In addition, \$3,000,000 is provided for the GLOBE Program. NOAA is instructed to work with NASA, which is similarly directed, during fiscal year 2010 to transition program responsibility for GLOBE from NASA to NOAA. The Committee expects the budget request for fiscal year 2011 to include full funding for GLOBE as a NOAA education program.

*Justification improvement.*—The Committee directs NOAA to work with the Committee to reformat the justification into a more useable and user-friendly document, starting with the fiscal year 2011 submission. While the Committee understands the pressures of the past year in producing its budget, the Committee notes the lack of justification for base program requests and other cross-cutting information. The Department is similarly directed.

*Research and Development (R&D) tracking and outcomes.*—The Committee continues its direction that NOAA track the division of R&D funds between intramural and extramural research, and assure consistency and clarity in the collection and reporting of data. In addition, NOAA is directed to clearly state its expected research outcomes and available funding in order to provide transparency into the competitive grant process for extramural researchers. The Committee further directs NOAA to increase extramural research funding in future requests to build broad community support and leverage external funding for mission-oriented research.

**PROGRAM SUPPORT OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES**

(In thousands of dollars)

	Recommended
Corporate Services:	
Under Secretary and Associate Offices Base	28,438
Facilities	30,346
NOAA Wide Corporate Services & Agency Management	115,561
Commerce Business System	10,171
IT Security	2,089
DOC Working Capital Fund	41,944
Total, Corporate Services	<u>228,549</u>
NOAA Education Program:	
Education Program / Initiative	2,000
Competitive Educational Grants	16,000
Educational Partnership Program/Minority Serving Institutions (EPPMSI)	14,323
GLOBE	3,000
Total, NOAA Education Program	<u>35,323</u>
Marine Operations & Maintenance:	
Marine Services	117,625
Fleet Planning and Maintenance	17,034
Aviation Services	29,509
Total, Marine Operations & Maintenance	<u>164,168</u>
Congressionally Directed Projects	<u>7,980</u>
GRAND TOTAL PS	436,020

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
Operations, Research and Facilities  
Program Support  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Blue Guardians, Philadelphia, PA	Coastal Environmental Education Outreach	500
Great Lakes Science Center, Cleveland, OH	Great Lakes Water Project	250
NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office, Severn, MD	Chesapeake Bay Interpretive Buoy System	350
	California Bay Watershed Education and Training	
NOAA Office of Education, Monterey, CA	Program (B-WET)	2,500
San Jose State University, San Jose, CA	Training the Next Generation Weather Forecasters	180
The JASON Project, Ashburn, VA	Science education through exploration	4,000

## PROCUREMENT, ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$1,243,647,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	1,391,279,000
Recommended in the bill .....	1,409,148,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+165,501,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+17,869,000

This account funds capital acquisition, construction, and fleet and aircraft replacement projects that support NOAA's operational mission across all line offices. Capital acquisition projects include satellite procurements and surface weather observation equipment for NOAA's weather and climate programs. Construction projects include new buildings or major modification of existing facilities. Fleet and aircraft replacement includes acquisition of new and upgrades to existing aircraft and vessels.

The Committee recommendation includes a total program level of \$1,411,148,000 in direct obligations under this heading, of which \$1,409,148,000 is appropriated from the general fund and \$2,000,000 is derived from recoveries of prior year obligations. The direct appropriation of \$1,409,148,000 for the Procurement, Acquisition and Construction (PAC) account is \$17,869,000 above the request and \$165,501,000 above fiscal year 2009.

The bill includes language making any deviation from amounts designated for specific activities in this report, or any use of deobligated balances of funds provided under this heading in previous years shall be subject to the reprogramming procedures set forth in section 505.

*National Ocean Service (NOS).*—The recommendation includes \$41,385,000 for NOS acquisition and construction. This includes \$6,500,000 above the request for the Coastal and Estuarine Land Conservation (CELCP) program. As a result of the Omnibus Public Lands Act, no less than 15 percent of CELCP funds shall be available for acquisitions benefitting National Estuarine Research Reserves (NERRS). The recommendation funds the NERRS Acquisition and Construction account at the request of \$3,890,000, which provides a total of \$7,115,000 for NERRS, an increase of \$72,000 above fiscal year 2009, and provides \$3,275,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level for non-NERRS CELCP projects, for a total of \$18,275,000. The recommendation also provides \$15,995,000 for the Marine Sanctuaries Construction and Acquisition programs, \$10,500,000 above the request.

*Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR).*—The recommendation includes \$10,379,000 for OAR systems acquisition, the same as the request.

*National Weather Service (NWS).*—The recommendation includes \$88,527,000 for NWS acquisition and construction.

*National Environmental Satellite, Data and Information Service (NESDIS).*—The recommendation includes \$1,268,857,000 for NESDIS acquisition and construction. Of the \$12,000,000 increase, \$2,000,000 is in support of the National Climate Service. This provides full funding for GOES-R and NPOESS. The Committee remains concerned about the impact of the GOES-R out-year costs on NOAA's traditional mission areas. However, the Committee is particularly concerned with NPOESS' management structure and projected life-cycle cost growth of \$1 to \$2 billion dollars, as well

as additional schedule slips. The Committee notes that this situation has been developing for some time and is the result of a dysfunctional tri-agency management approach. The Committee anticipates a change in management structure to be announced by the Administration soon. Accordingly, the Committee recommendation deletes the 50/50 NOAA/DoD funding split requirement from the bill language. This step will allow for more creative funding decisions to avert the critical climate and weather data gaps that are sure to occur if a management solution is not identified soon.

The Committee acknowledges and fully supports the significant step represented by the inclusion in the budget request of \$20,000,000 for Jason-3 to provide radar altimetry measurements of sea surface elevation and ocean circulation.

*Program Support (PS).*—The recommendation includes \$2,000,000 for PS Construction.

**PROCUREMENT, ACQUISITION AND CONSTRUCTION**

(In thousands of dollars)

	Recommended
National Ocean Service:	
Coastal and Estuarine Land Protection Program	21,500
National Estuarine Research Reserve Construction & Land Acquisition	3,890
Marine Sanctuaries Construction/Acquisition	15,995
Total, National Ocean Service - PAC	<u>41,385</u>
Oceanic and Atmospheric Research:	
Research Supercomputing/CCRI	10,379
Total, Oceanic and Atmospheric Research - PAC	<u>10,379</u>
National Weather Service:	
Systems Acquisition:	
ASOS	1,635
AWIPS	20,064
NEXRAD	7,576
NWSTG Legacy Replacement	1,195
Radiosonde Network Replacement	4,014
Weather and Climate Supercomputing	29,169
Cooperative Observer Network Modernization (NERON)	3,734
Complete and Sustain NOAA Weather Radio	10,000
NOAA Profiler Network	7,636
Subtotal, NWS Systems Acquisition	<u>85,023</u>
Construction:	
WFO Construction	3,504
Subtotal, NWS Construction	<u>3,504</u>
Total, National Weather Service - PAC	<u>88,527</u>
NESDIS:	
Systems Acquisition & Construction:	
Geostationary Systems - N	57,601
Geostationary Systems - R	737,000
Polar Orbiting Systems - POES	43,135
Polar Orbiting Systems - NPOESS	382,200
Jason - 3	20,000
EOS & Advanced Polar Data Processing, Distribution & Archiving Systems	990
CIP - single point of failure	2,772
Comprehensive Large Array Data Stewardship System (CLASS)	18,476
NPOESS Preparatory Data Exploration	4,455
Satellite CDA Facility	2,228
Total, NESDIS - PAC	<u>1,268,857</u>
Program Support:	
OMAO Fleet Replacement:	
Temporary Berthing for HENRY B. BIGELOW	1,000
Ship Acquisition, Conversion & Maintenance	1,000
Total, Program Support - PAC	<u>2,000</u>
GRAND TOTAL PAC	1,411,148

## PACIFIC COASTAL SALMON RECOVERY

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$80,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	(1)
Recommended in the bill .....	
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	- 80,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

<sup>1</sup>The budget request proposes to transfer \$50,000,000 from species recovery grants within the National Marine Fisheries Service to fund activities within this account.

The Committee recommendation proposes to fund the activities of this program with the competitive protected species recovery fund of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The new species recovery fund specifically targets endangered, threatened, and at-risk marine species, including salmon.

## COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT FUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	-\$3,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 3,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	- 3,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

This fund was established by the Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments of 1990 (CZARA). The fund consists of loan repayments from the former Coastal Energy Impact Program. The proceeds are to be used to offset the Operations, Research, and Facilities account for the costs of implementing the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended. The Committee recommendation includes language transferring not to exceed \$3,000,000 from the Coastal Zone Management Fund to the "Operations, Research, and Facilities Account."

## FISHERIES FINANCE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	-\$4,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 5,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	- 5,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	- 1,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

This account covers the subsidy costs of guaranteed loans (pre-1997) and direct loans (post-1996) obligated or committed subsequent to October 1, 1991, as authorized by the Merchant Marine Act of 1936 as amended. The recommendation includes language under this heading limiting obligations of direct loans to \$8,000,000 for Individual Fishing Quota loans and \$59,000,000 for traditional direct loans.

## DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$53,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	64,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	60,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+7,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-4,000,000

The salaries and expenses appropriation provides funding for Executive Direction and Departmental Staff Services. Executive Direction funds the development and implementation of policies and coordinates Bureaus' program activities. Departmental Staff Services funds the development and implementation of the Department's internal policies, procedures and other administrative functions.

The Committee recommendation includes \$60,000,000 for Departmental Management, which is \$7,000,000 above fiscal year 2009 and \$4,000,000 below the request. Within the amounts appropriated, the Committee provides \$7,000,000 for the Department's highest priorities.

*Minority serving institutions digital and wireless opportunity program.*—Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and the Senate a report describing the Department's plans to support and assist minority serving institutions (MSIs) in improving their instrumentation, connectivity, hardware and software for instructional and research purposes as contemplated by the amendments to the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980. The Committee also encourages the Secretary to work with MSIs national stakeholder organizations in developing such strategies.

*Justification improvement.*—The Committee directs the Department to work with the Committee to reformat all Commerce justifications into more useable and user-friendly documents, starting with the fiscal year 2011 submission. While the Committee understands the pressures of the past year in producing its budget, the Committee notes the lack of justification for base program requests and other cross-cutting information.

*Departmental oversight.*—The Committee directs the Department to develop oversight capacity of the U.S. Patent and Trademark office, and to track fee collections and other pertinent policy and economic impacts, to avoid budgetary shortfalls, and ensure that the Department and the Committee are fully informed on funding issues.

The Committee continues the direction that the Department provide a report that audits and evaluates all decision documents and expenditures by the Bureau of Census as they relate to the 2010 Decennial. The bill retains the provision restricting \$5,000,000 of the available appropriation from obligation until the Secretary certifies to the Committee that the Bureau of Census has met all standards and best management practices and Office of Management and Budget guidelines related to information technology projects and contract management. This provision ensures that the Department will remain engaged and fully informed of issues regarding the Decennial and will reduce the possibility of further life-cycle cost increases and schedule delays.

HERBERT C. HOOVER BUILDING RENOVATION AND MODERNIZATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$5,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	22,500,000
Recommended in the bill .....	5,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	.....
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 17,500,000

This account provides funding for the Commerce Department's expenses associated with the modernization of the Herbert C. Hoover Building (HCHB). The Committee recommendation includes \$5,000,000 for continued renovation of the HCHB, the same level as fiscal year 2009.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$25,800,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	27,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	27,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,200,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) is to provide a unique, independent voice to the Secretary and other senior Commerce managers, as well as to Congress, in combating fraud, waste, abuse and mismanagement, and in improving the efficiency, effectiveness and economy of Department operations. These funds provide for OIG's work conducting audits, inspections, and investigations. The Committee recommendation includes \$27,000,000 for the OIG for fiscal year 2009, which is \$1,200,000 above fiscal year 2009 and the same as the request. This includes funds to expand the OIG's cooperation with the Department of Justice in pursuing enforcement against restraint-of-trade and commerce activities that relate to the Department of Commerce programs. The Committee also notes the significant oversight required for the 2010 Decennial and NOAA satellite programs.

GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

The Committee recommends the following general provisions for the Department of Commerce:

Section 101 makes funds available for advanced payments only upon certification of officials designated by the Secretary that such payments are considered to be in the public interest;

Section 102 makes appropriations for the Department for Salaries and Expenses available for hire of passenger motor vehicles, for services, and for uniforms and allowances as authorized by law;

Section 103 provides the authority to transfer funds between Department of Commerce appropriation accounts and requiring notification to the Committee of certain actions;

Section 104 provides that any costs incurred by the Department in response to funding reductions shall be absorbed within the total budgetary resources available to the Department and shall be subject to the reprogramming limitations set forth in this Act;

Section 105 extends Congressional notification requirements for the GOES-R satellite program;

Section 106 provides for the reimbursement for services within Department of Commerce buildings; and

Section 107 provides the Administrator with the authority to avail NOAA of needed resources, with the consent of those supplying the resources, to carry out responsibilities of any statute administered by NOAA.

## TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$105,805,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	178,488,000
Recommended in the bill .....	118,488,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+12,683,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-60,000,000

General Administration (GA) supports the Attorney General (AG) and senior policy level officials in managing Department resources and developing policies for legal, law enforcement and criminal justice activities. GA also provides administrative support services to the legal divisions. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$118,488,000, which is \$60,000,000 below the budget request.

*Post-Guantanamo activities.*—The Committee recommendation does not include the \$60,000,000 requested for the anticipated costs of detaining, prosecuting and incarcerating individuals transferred from the detention facilities at Guantanamo Bay. Instead, the Committee directs the Administration to submit a comprehensive plan and cost estimates, and, if such plan, approved by Congress, involves increased costs to DOJ, to submit a budget amendment or a supplemental request for these activities. That budget amendment or supplemental should consider the possibility of transferring to DOJ the funds currently used by the Department of Defense to operate the Guantanamo Bay facility, as those funds will no longer be needed by DOD.

*Reducing crime in Indian Country.*—The Committee is concerned about the epidemic levels of violent crime in Indian Country, including sexual and domestic violence and crime related to substance abuse, and provides significant additional resources in the bill to help combat this crime. In addition to increased funding, however, combating crime in Indian Country requires an increased level of effort and coordination by Federal, State, and tribal law enforcement agencies.

To help foster such collaboration, the Committee directs the Attorney General, in coordination with the Secretary of the Interior and State and tribal officials, to establish an interagency and tribal working group to clarify and resolve the law enforcement jurisdiction challenges that have hindered criminal investigations and prosecutions in Indian Country and to identify other challenges and needs related to tribal justice systems, including needs associated with secure law enforcement information sharing systems. The working group shall develop recommendations on how to streamline the Federal, State, and tribal response to criminal investigations and prosecutions, and shall submit a report containing such recommendations to the Committee within 90 days of enactment of this Act.

Among the goals established for the working group shall be the development of protocols to ensure that Federal law enforcement agencies which decline to initiate an investigation or which terminate an investigation of an alleged violation of Federal law in Indian Country without referral for prosecution submit to the appro-

appropriate tribal official a report describing the rationale for terminating the investigation; the type of crime alleged; the status of the perpetrator; and the status of the victim. These protocols should also ensure that United States Attorneys coordinate with tribal prosecutors sufficiently well in advance of prosecution deadlines mandated by the statute of limitations to permit tribal prosecutors to pursue cases, as appropriate. The working group should also review and define the responsibilities of Assistant United States Attorneys serving as Tribal Liaisons in order to better coordinate the prosecution of crimes on reservations.

#### NATIONAL DRUG INTELLIGENCE CENTER

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	.....
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	\$44,023,000
Recommended in the bill .....	44,023,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	+44,023,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

<sup>1</sup>Funding for this activity was previously provided through the Intelligence Community Management Account in the defense appropriations bill. In fiscal year 2009, \$44,000,000 was appropriated for NDIC in the defense bill (P.L. 110-329) and transferred to the Department of Justice.

The National Drug Intelligence Center (NDIC) provides strategic drug-related intelligence, document and computer exploitation support, and training assistance to the drug control, public health, law enforcement, and intelligence communities in order to reduce the adverse effects of drug trafficking, drug abuse and other drug-related criminal activities. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$44,023,000, which is equal to the budget request.

#### JUSTICE INFORMATION SHARING TECHNOLOGY

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$80,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	123,617,000
Recommended in the bill .....	109,417,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+29,417,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 14,200,000

The Justice Information Sharing Technology (JIST) fund provides for corporate investments in information technology (IT). As a centralized fund under the control of the DOJ Chief Information Officer, it ensures that investments in information sharing technology and infrastructure are well planned and aligned with DOJ's overall IT strategy and enterprise architecture. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$109,417,000, which is \$14,200,000 below the budget request.

*Litigation Case Management System (LCMS).*—As recently revealed by the DOJ Inspector General (IG), the LCMS is at significant risk of failing to meet the Department's expectations and requirements. Implementation of LCMS is well over budget and behind schedule and is now on indefinite hold at most of the Department's litigating divisions. The recommended level does not include funds for the continued deployment of the system, but the Committee will consider a reprogramming for these funds once the Department has completed all of the IG's LCMS recommendations and submitted a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations detailing the steps taken to contain cost and schedule overruns.

*Unified Financial Management System (UFMS).*—The Committee’s recommendation includes the restoration of funds for the UFMS base program. The Department is directed to submit a list of specific and detailed performance milestones for UFMS development and deployment in fiscal year 2010, including dates for planned completion. These milestones should be reported to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of the enactment of this Act, and quarterly updates should be provided on the Department’s progress in meeting those milestones.

*Cyber security.*—The Committee’s recommendation includes \$27,439,000, as requested, to strengthen DOJ’s cyber security program. The Committee supports the Department’s efforts to better protect its networks and other information technology assets and hopes that these resources will allow DOJ to achieve the same success in its cyber security implementation as it has achieved on its FISMA report card. However, the Committee urges the Department to exercise discretion in testing cyber security protections to ensure that all relevant players have been alerted to the timing of these tests and to minimize any potential negative impact on DOJ employees.

TACTICAL LAW ENFORCEMENT WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$185,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	205,143,000
Recommended in the bill .....	205,143,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+20,143,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The mission of Tactical Law Enforcement Wireless Communications is to provide secure, interoperable and reliable wireless communication services required by DOJ’s law enforcement components and all Federal agents and officers engaged in the conduct of law enforcement, protective services, homeland defense and disaster response missions. This account funds component legacy network requirements, operations of the Wireless Management Office and the acquisition of new equipment and services. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$205,143,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*IWN funding.*—The Committee’s recommendation includes an increase of \$20,143,000 for the continued development and deployment of the Integrated Wireless Network (IWN). Consistent with direction in the explanatory statement accompanying P.L. 111–8, all funds for IWN should be spent on the modernization and improvement of land mobile radio (LMR) systems. Secure cellular or data systems and non-LMR tactical equipment must be budgeted for and requested separately.

*Performance milestone reporting.*—The Committee expects to continue receiving quarterly reports on the achievement of established performance milestones for the use of IWN funds. These reports, which were begun in fiscal year 2009, should reflect the Department’s progress toward each milestone and the extent to which those milestones are being achieved within cost, schedule and performance expectations.

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW AND APPEALS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	\$266,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request <sup>1</sup> .....	296,685,000
Recommended in the bill <sup>1</sup> .....	296,685,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+30,685,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

<sup>1</sup>Total is net of a \$4,000,000 transfer from the USCIS Immigration Examiners Fee account.

This appropriation supports the Executive Office of Immigration Review (EOIR) and the Office of the Pardon Attorney (OPA). The mission of EOIR is to provide the timely and uniform interpretation and application of immigration law, ensuring due process and fair treatment for all parties involved. OPA receives, investigates and considers petitions for all forms of executive clemency. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$296,685,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Personnel and infrastructure increases.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$24,253,000 for personnel and infrastructure investments needed to efficiently process an increasing immigration adjudication caseload. Of this total, \$10,250,000 is for the eWorld document management system to improve EOIR’s ability to store, distribute and archive its files.

*Legal Orientation Program (LOP).*—The recommendation includes \$6,500,000, an increase of \$2,500,000, for the continued implementation and expansion of the LOP. EOIR is encouraged to seek alien-specific detention costs and duration of detention data from ICE in order to develop a more accurate estimate of the cost savings to the government provided by participation in the LOP.

*Competency standards.*—The explanatory statement accompanying P.L. 111–8 urged EOIR to work with experts and interested parties in developing standards and materials for judges to use in conducting competency evaluations of persons appearing in immigration court. EOIR is directed to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 30 days of the enactment of this Act on the status of its efforts to develop this competency bench book. The report should also address the steps DOJ has taken to provide safeguards for the rights of aliens judged to be mentally incompetent, as required by 8 U.S.C. 1229a(b)(3).

*Fraud program.*—EOIR is urged to set written standards and criteria for use in judging potentially fraudulent evidence and testimony provided to an immigration court. The lack of such standards encourages an ad hoc approach to fraud enforcement that may result in inconsistent or inaccurate determinations.

DETENTION TRUSTEE

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$1,295,319,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	1,438,663,000
Recommended in the bill .....	1,438,663,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+143,344,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The Office of the Federal Detention Trustee (OFDT) leads the development of DOJ detention policy and manages Federal detention resources with the goal of maximizing available detention space and containing costs associated with the detention of criminal de-

pendants and aliens awaiting adjudication and/or removal from the United States. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$1,438,663,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Inadequacy of budget requests.*—The Committee remains frustrated by the regular occurrence of funding shortfalls at OFDT, even when the budget request has been fully funded. In the past year, the Committee has twice had to approve emergency reprogrammings to avert deficiencies at OFDT, and it appears that a third will be necessary before fiscal year 2009 is complete. OFDT has either not requested sufficient resources to meet its projected needs or its projections are too inaccurate to serve as predictors of annual funding requirements. The Committee’s recommendation fully supports OFDT’s projections for 2010, but more analytical attention is needed to determine whether OFDT’s methodology for deriving those projections is sound. Accordingly, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) is directed to review OFDT’s methods for projecting population levels, jail day rates and other factors that are translated into the annual budget request for detention capacity. GAO should make recommendations to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on steps OFDT should take to develop more accurate projections of funding requirements no later than August 31, 2010.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$75,681,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	84,368,000
Recommended in the bill .....	84,368,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+8,687,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) conducts independent investigations, audits, inspections, and special reviews of DOJ personnel and programs to detect and deter waste, fraud, abuse, and misconduct, and to promote integrity, economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in DOJ operations. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$84,368,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Counterterrorism oversight.*—The Committee’s recommendation includes an increase of \$4,000,000 for the OIG to continue counterterrorism and national security oversight begun with prior year supplemental funds. With the annualization of these funds into the regular budget process, the Committee expects the practice of requesting annual supplemental appropriations for the OIG to end.

*Grants management.*—The Committee’s recommendation also includes an increase of \$2,000,000 for enhanced auditing and oversight of the Department’s grant programs. In the execution of these funds, the Committee urges OIG to include a focus on financial management issues at the Office of Justice Programs (OJP). The Committee is particularly interested in assessing OJP’s ability to track the disbursement of its appropriations by activity.

UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMISSION  
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$12,570,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	12,859,000
Recommended in the bill .....	12,859,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+289,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The United States Parole Commission is an independent body within the Department of Justice that makes decisions regarding requests for parole of Federal offenders and certain District of Columbia Code offenders. The Committee recommends \$12,859,000 for the United States Parole Commission, which is \$289,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the request.

LEGAL ACTIVITIES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES, GENERAL LEGAL ACTIVITIES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$804,007,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	875,097,000
Recommended in the bill .....	875,097,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+71,090,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

This appropriation supports the establishment of litigation policy, conduct of litigation, and other legal responsibilities of the Department of Justice through the Office of the Solicitor General, the Tax Division, the Criminal Division, the Civil Division, the Environmental and Natural Resources Division, the Civil Rights Division, the Office of Legal Counsel, and Interpol-U.S. National Central Bureau. The Committee recommends a total of \$875,097,000 for General Legal Activities, which is \$71,090,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The funding is provided as follows:

Solicitor General .....	\$10,809,000
Tax Division .....	105,877,000
Criminal Division .....	176,861,000
Civil Division .....	287,758,000
Environment and Natural Resources .....	109,785,000
Office of Legal Counsel .....	7,665,000
Civil Rights Division .....	145,449,000
INTERPOL-USNCB .....	30,091,000
Office of Dispute Resolution .....	802,000
Total .....	875,097,000

The Committee strongly supports the additional resources proposed for the Department's litigation divisions, for which inadequate funding has been proposed in past years. The Committee is particularly supportive of the additional resources proposed for the Civil Rights Division to restore its base capacity to enforce civil rights laws; expand its capacity to prosecute and provide litigation support for human trafficking and unsolved civil rights era crimes; carry out its responsibilities associated with the civil rights of institutionalized persons and the access rights of the disabled; and enhance the enforcement of fair housing and fair lending laws.

In addition to inflationary and other base adjustments for the divisions within this account, the recommendation includes the proposed programmatic increases of \$2,909,000 and 9 FTE for the Tax Division; \$4,430,000 and 13 FTE for the Criminal Division; \$11,760,000 and 37 FTE for the Civil Division; \$4,200,000 and 8 FTE for the Environment and Natural Resources Division; \$15,723,000 and 51 FTE for the Civil Rights Division; and \$2,216,000 and 8 FTE for INTERPOL-USNCB.

#### VACCINE INJURY COMPENSATION TRUST FUND

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$7,833,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	7,833,000
Recommended in the bill .....	7,833,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	.....
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund covers the Department of Justice's expenses associated with litigating cases under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986. The Committee recommends \$7,833,000 for the Vaccine Injury Compensation Trust Fund, the same as the budget request and the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES, ANTITRUST DIVISION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$157,788,000
Offsetting Fee Collections .....	- 157,788,000
Direct Appropriation .....	.....
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	163,170,000
Offsetting Fee Collections .....	- 102,000,000
Direct Appropriation .....	61,170,000
Recommended in the bill .....	163,170,000
Offsetting Fee Collections .....	- 102,000,000
Direct Appropriation .....	61,170,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+5,382,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The Antitrust Division investigates potential violations of Federal antitrust laws, represents the interests of the United States in cases brought under these laws, acts on antitrust cases before the Supreme Court, and reviews decisions of regulatory commissions relating to antitrust law. Appropriations for the Antitrust Division are offset by Hart-Scott-Rodino Act pre-merger filing fee collections.

The Committee recommends \$163,170,000 in budget authority for the Antitrust Division, \$5,382,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request. This appropriation is offset by \$102,000,000 in pre-merger filing fee collections, resulting in a direct appropriation of \$61,170,000.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$1,836,336,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	1,926,003,000
Recommended in the bill .....	1,934,003,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+97,667,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+8,000,000

This appropriation supports the Executive Office for United States Attorneys (EOUSA) and the 94 United States Attorney Offices, which serve as the principal litigators for the U.S. Government for criminal, civil, and debt collection matters.

The Committee recommends \$1,934,003,000 for the United States Attorneys (USA), which is \$97,667,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$8,000,000 above the budget request.

*Pro-IP Act.*—Within the total amount provided, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$2,000,000 above the budget request for activities authorized under Sec. 402 of the Prioritizing Resources and Organization for Intellectual Property Act of 2008 (P.L. 110–403).

*Child exploitation investigations and prosecutions.*—The Committee expects EOUSA to continue to focus on investigations and prosecutions of offenses related to the sexual exploitation of children, as authorized by the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006. Not less than \$36,980,000 shall be available for this purpose in fiscal year 2010.

*Southwest border enforcement.*—Within funds provided, the Committee includes the requested programmatic increase of \$8,127,000 and 75 positions to strengthen prosecutions of criminal enterprises, including human, drug, and weapon smuggling, along the southwest border.

*Combating financial fraud.*—Within funds provided, the Committee approves the programmatic request of \$7,500,000 and 43 positions to enhance efforts in the areas of mortgage fraud, bankruptcy, affirmative civil enforcement, and white collar crime.

*Prosecution of serious crimes in Indian Country.*—The Committee continues to be concerned about the rate of prosecution of serious crimes in Indian Country, and notes that a Resource Plan for such prosecutions was due to the Committee on May 11, 2009. The Attorney General is directed to expedite the submission of that report. Within the total amount provided, the Committee provides an additional \$6,000,000 for the hiring of additional Assistant U.S. Attorneys to increase the rate of needed prosecutions, and provides a proportional increase to the appropriation for the Federal Bureau of Investigation to support additional criminal investigations.

*Terrorist recruitment.*—The Committee is concerned by reports that some young Somali-American men have been recruited by terrorist groups to fight in the Somali civil war. The Committee is aware that the U.S. Attorney for the District of Minnesota has engaged in efforts to help the Somali-American community in Minnesota prevent such recruitment, and urges the continuation and expansion of those efforts.

## UNITED STATES TRUSTEE SYSTEM FUND

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$217,416,000
Offsetting Fee Collections .....	- 160,000,000
Interest on U.S. Securities .....	- 5,000,000
Direct Appropriation .....	52,416,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	224,488,000
Offsetting Fee Collections .....	- 210,000,000
Interest on U.S. Securities .....	- 5,000,000
Direct Appropriation .....	9,488,000
Recommended in the bill .....	224,488,000
Offsetting Fee Collections .....	- 210,000,000
Interest on U.S. Securities .....	- 5,000,000
Direct Appropriation .....	9,488,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+7,072,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The mission of the United States Trustee Program (USTP) is to promote integrity and efficiency in the Nation's bankruptcy system by enforcing bankruptcy laws and providing oversight of private trustees. The USTP is organized into three levels: the Executive Office for United States Trustees in Washington, DC; United States Trustees in 21 regions whose geographic jurisdiction is established by statute; and 95 field offices, which cover 150 court sites and 280 other administrative hearing locations. The Committee recommends \$224,488,000 for the USTP, which is \$7,072,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request. The appropriation is offset by \$210,000,000 in offsetting fee collections and \$5,000,000 derived from interest on investments in U.S. securities, resulting in a direct appropriation of \$9,488,000.

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES, FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$1,823,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	2,117,000
Recommended in the bill .....	2,117,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+294,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The mission of the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission is to protect the property rights of U.S. citizens abroad and promote the international rule of law through adjudication of claims by United States citizens against foreign governments. The Committee recommends \$2,117,000 for the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, which is \$294,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

## FEES AND EXPENSES OF WITNESSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$168,300,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	168,300,000
Recommended in the bill .....	168,300,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

This appropriation, which is considered mandatory for scorekeeping purposes, provides for fees and expenses of witnesses who appear on behalf of the Government in cases in which the United States is a party. Eligible expenses include fees for fact and expert witnesses, mental competency examinations and witness

and informant protection. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$168,300,000, which is equal to the budget request.

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES, COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$9,873,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	11,479,000
Recommended in the bill .....	11,479,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,606,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The Community Relations Service was established by Title X of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to provide assistance to communities in resolving disagreements arising from discriminatory practices.

The Committee recommends \$11,479,000 for the Community Relations Service, which is \$1,606,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the budget request.

#### ASSETS FORFEITURE FUND

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$20,990,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	20,990,000
Recommended in the bill .....	20,990,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The Assets Forfeiture Fund (AFF) provides funds for qualifying investigative expenses of Federal law enforcement agencies and their State or local partners. Funds for these activities are provided from receipts in the AFF resulting from the forfeiture of assets. Expenses related to the management and disposal of the assets are also provided from receipts in the AFF by a permanent indefinite appropriation. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$20,990,000, which is equal to the budget request.

#### UNITED STATES MARSHALS SERVICE

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$950,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	1,138,388,000
Recommended in the bill .....	1,138,388,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+188,388,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The United States Marshals Service (USMS) is responsible for providing physical security to Federal judges and U.S. courthouses; protecting witnesses, jurors and members of the public; safely and humanely transporting and detaining Federal prisoners; and apprehending fugitives. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$1,138,388,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Sex offender apprehension.*—The Committee appreciates that the Marshals Service has annualized \$15,812,000 of prior year supplemental funds into its fiscal year 2010 budget request for enforcement of the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act. However, the budget request does not provide any additional funds to expand this program beyond the current level of effort. If the Marshals are going to make a significant impact on the estimated caseload of 100,000 non-compliant sex offenders, a concerted, multi-year effort to dedicate additional resources to the program is nec-

essary. Consequently, the Committee's recommendation includes \$20,000,000 to expand Adam Walsh Act enforcement activities in districts across the country.

*Immigration enforcement.*—The recommendation includes \$114,345,000 for new personnel, infrastructure, information technology and other items necessary to address the enormous workload generated by increased enforcement activity in other agencies and bureaus. The Committee hopes that this investment will at least partially alleviate the pressure on other Marshals programs, such as fugitive apprehension, now that more dedicated personnel will be available to handle the immigration-related caseload. Due to the large funding increase, however, the Committee would like to receive updates on the Marshals' execution of these funds, including the amount of money obligated and the number of new hires brought on board. The Marshals Service shall submit this information to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on a quarterly basis.

#### CONSTRUCTION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$4,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	14,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	14,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+10,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

This appropriation provides funds for construction and related expenses in space controlled, occupied or utilized by the Marshals Service for prisoner holding and related support. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$14,000,000, which is equal to the budget request. These funds should be applied to the highest priority renovation projects identified by the Marshals.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY DIVISION

##### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$83,789,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	87,938,000
Recommended in the bill .....	87,938,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+4,149,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The mission of the National Security Division (NSD) is to coordinate the Department's efforts in carrying out its core mission of combating terrorism and protecting national security. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$87,938,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*National Security Reviews.*—NSD's budget justification shows that fewer National Security Reviews than planned were undertaken in fiscal year 2008. NSD is directed to give immediate notice to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations if there are any deviations from the current plan to perform 20 such reviews in fiscal year 2010.

## INTERAGENCY LAW ENFORCEMENT

## INTERAGENCY CRIME AND DRUG ENFORCEMENT

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$515,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	537,507,000
Recommended in the bill .....	528,569,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+13,569,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-8,938,000

The Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement (ICDE) account seeks to combine the investigative and prosecutorial resources of the Department to bring down the “worst of the worst” in multinational drug trafficking organizations. The interagency program of the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Forces (OCDETF) focuses participants on the mission of attacking high-level organizations through coordinated, multi-jurisdictional investigations. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$528,569,000, which is \$8,938,000 below the budget request. The decrease reflects the Committee’s intention to focus new program initiatives for the OCDETF-participating components within those accounts rather than through the OCDETF structure.

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	\$7,065,100,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request <sup>2</sup> .....	7,718,741,000
Recommended in the bill <sup>2</sup> .....	7,718,741,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+653,641,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

<sup>1</sup>Excludes \$82,600,000 in emergency funding.

<sup>2</sup>Includes \$101,066,000 for overseas contingency operations.

The mission of the FBI is to protect and defend the United States against terrorism and foreign intelligence threats; to uphold and enforce the criminal laws of the United States; and to provide leadership and criminal justice services to Federal, State, municipal and international agencies and partners. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$7,718,741,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Materials submitted in support of the budget.*—The FBI’s congressional budget justification requires some additional information in order to better aid the Committee’s review and analysis of the FBI’s needs. First, the FBI is directed to submit all future budget requests with a listing of enhancement proposals in priority order so that the Committee can weigh the relative importance of each new initiative. A prioritization of enhancements within each end state capability or grouped into tiers will not be sufficient to meet this requirement.

Second, the justification needs to include more quantifiable descriptions of the end state capabilities. The Committee understands that the Bureau is currently working to develop more discrete and quantifiable end states and is encouraged by informal indications of progress in this area. When this exercise is complete, the newly refined end state capabilities should be included in justification materials, along with a description of how each new initiative will advance the Bureau toward the achievement of an end state.

Finally, the classified nature of much of the FBI's work necessitates classified descriptions of some of the enhancement requests. However, the classified addendum to the justification is not always made immediately available to the Committee on the date of budget submission. For the fiscal year 2011 process and all future requests, the FBI is directed to submit the classified addendum concurrently with the unclassified justification.

*Hiring challenges.*—The FBI has proposed extremely aggressive hiring goals for both 2009, in which more than 2,200 positions will need to be filled, and 2010, in which the FBI proposes adding 1,389 new positions while simultaneously managing attrition that can also be expected to exceed 1,000 positions. The Committee is skeptical of the FBI's ability to meet these hiring goals, as they exceed what the Bureau was capable of achieving in 2008 (when direct-funded on-board positions only increased by 928 and vacancies increased by 174).

In order to improve the Committee's oversight of the FBI's hiring program, the FBI is directed to provide immediately to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a hiring plan for direct-funded positions in fiscal year 2010. This plan should show on-board start of year staffing, anticipated attrition, planned enhancement hiring, planned vacancy hiring and expected end of year vacancies. This data should be supplemented by quarterly reports showing the number of direct-funded hires and separations in that quarter, as well as a cumulative running total of each.

*Hollow positions.*—The FBI is still carrying at least 450 "hollow" positions, for which funds were appropriated but subsequently diverted by the FBI for other purposes. The Committee discourages the FBI from continuing to carry these existing hollow positions or taking any action that would create additional hollow positions in the future. Consequently, the FBI is directed to prioritize the filling of the 450 existing hollow positions prior to seeking additional new positions in any future budget request. In addition, the FBI is directed to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations prior to taking any action that would divert salary funds away from the positions for which they were appropriated, either for temporary uses (e.g., equipment purchases) or ongoing needs (e.g., pay raise absorption).

*White collar crime.*—The Committee is concerned that the FBI's budget proposal focuses too exclusively on mortgage fraud at the expense of other high priority financial fraud issues, including fraud or abuse associated with the Troubled Asset Relief Program and the investigation of accounting fraud, self-dealing and market manipulation. The Committee's recommendation includes an increase of \$25,491,000, as requested, for mortgage fraud, but the FBI is directed to also make these funds available for other high priority financial fraud cases.

*Civil rights enforcement.*—The recommended level includes an increase of \$8,000,000 for civil rights enforcement, which will complement civil rights initiatives funded in other portions of the Department. The Committee expects these funds to be used for investigations of human trafficking, hate crimes and cases pursued under the Emmett Till Unsolved Civil Rights Crimes Act.

*Intellectual property enforcement.*—Within amounts provided, FBI should dedicate no less than \$8,000,000 for new agents to in-

investigate intellectual property (IP) cases as authorized under section 402 of the PRO-IP Act (P.L. 110-403). These new agents are in addition to the IP-dedicated agents funded in the Fiscal Year 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-8) and identified in the Department's 2009 spend plan. The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with an expenditure analysis of these congressional augmentations to ensure that these agents are solely investigating and supporting the criminal prosecution of violations of those Federal intellectual property laws cited in the statement accompanying P.L. 111-8. The report shall provide an accounting of the agents placed in specific field offices with Computer Hacking and Intellectual Property units and the types of intellectual property investigations pursued by these agents. Corresponding prosecutorial resources have been provided in other portions of this Act.

*Gangs and violent crime.*—Gangs pose a continuing threat to communities across the country and are a leading contributor to rising violent crime rates in many jurisdictions. The recommendation includes an increase of \$25,000,000 to expand the FBI's ability to confront gangs and violent crime in U.S. communities and in Indian Country through the Safe Streets and Safe Trails task force programs. These programs allow each FBI field office to address violent crime, major theft, street gang and drug-related violence through the establishment of FBI-sponsored, long-term, proactive task forces with State, local, tribal, and other Federal law enforcement agencies, focused on the dismantlement and disruption of violent street gangs. The Committee expects this increased funding to be used to expand the resources of existing task forces, and to support the creation of at least 3 new task forces.

*Records management.*—The Committee's recommendation includes requested funding to continue records indexing and other preparations to make FBI's case and administrative files universally searchable and accessible, and to ensure that they are useful intelligence and investigative tools.

*Overseas Contingency Operations.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$101,066,000, as requested, for the annualization of FBI's terrorism supplemental funds. The Committee expects this action to end the process of annual supplemental appropriations for the FBI.

*Next Generation Identification (NGI).*—The Committee remains concerned with the size and scope of the FBI's NGI program. With respect to size, the Committee notes that the Incremental Development Plan (IDP) and the Integrated Master Schedule (IMS) have been rewritten three times over nine months, and the FBI advises that program requirements are still not locked down. The inability to finalize program requirements and a budget and schedule baseline raises the possibility of significant budget overages and schedule delays. The FBI is directed to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations prior to acceptance of any Engineering Change Proposal that will change the IDP or the IMS as they existed on April 14, 2009.

With respect to program scope, the Committee understands that the FBI intends to engage in trade studies to determine the utility of adding new biometric indicators to NGI. However, it is unclear how the FBI will judge the reliability and suitability of these new

biometrics for use as an identification tool. The FBI is directed to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of the enactment of this Act on the standards by which the Bureau will assess the maturity of any biometric indicator being considered for inclusion in NGI.

*National Security Reviews.*—The budget justification for the National Security Division (NSD) indicates that NSD completed fewer National Security Reviews than planned in fiscal year 2008 because the FBI's Office of General Counsel, who must participate in the reviews, was busy with other requirements and commitments. This seems to imply that the FBI has insufficient resources dedicated to assisting in these reviews, which are required as part of the Department's National Security Letter (NSL) audit and remediation plan. The Committee does not understand how such a resource problem exists, however, given that several million dollars of NSL remediation funds remain available from prior supplemental appropriations. If additional resources are required beyond those existing remediation dollars, the FBI is urged to identify those needs and request sufficient funds in fiscal year 2011.

*Retention and relocation bonuses.*—The Committee is aware of continuing concerns about annuity losses and relocation costs associated with the implementation of the Field Office Supervisory Term Limit policy. In light of such concerns, and difficulties in filling leadership positions at the field supervisor level, the FBI revised the policy in December, 2008 to extend the term limit from five to seven years. Title V of this bill continues, and makes permanent, retention and relocation bonus authorities provided to the FBI under 5 U.S.C. 5759. The Committee encourages the Bureau to use these authorities to provide appropriate compensation to agents who were relocated to high-cost areas or have been otherwise negatively affected by the implementation of the Field Office Supervisory Term Limit policy. The Committee expects the FBI to propose legislation or to request appropriations, if appropriate, to address any further impacts attributable to this policy.

#### CONSTRUCTION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$153,491,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	142,796,000
Recommended in the bill .....	132,796,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	– 20,695,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	– 10,000,000

This appropriation provides funds for the construction of FBI facilities and related activities. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$132,796,000, which is \$10,000,000 below the budget request.

*Construction queue.*—The budget proposes funds to begin another new construction project at the FBI Academy even though there are other construction projects pending for which additional funds are required. It is inefficient to continue appropriating funds to begin new construction without first sufficiently funding existing projects. Consequently, the Committee has not provided funds for any new projects this year.

DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION  
SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$1,939,084,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	2,014,682,000
Recommended in the bill .....	2,019,682,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+80,598,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+5,000,000

DEA's mission is to enforce the controlled substances laws and regulations of the United States; bring to the criminal and civil justice system those organizations and principal members of organizations involved in the growing, manufacturing or distribution of controlled substances appearing in or destined for illicit traffic in the United States; and support non-enforcement programs aimed at reducing the availability of illicit controlled substances on the domestic and international markets. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$2,019,682,000, which is \$5,000,000 above the budget request.

*Diversion control program.*—In addition to the direct appropriation, the recommendation provides \$251,790,000 for the Diversion Control program, which is fully offset with fee collections. This funding level includes an increase of \$2,706,000 for expanded forensic support of diversion cases.

*Southwest border enforcement.*—The Committee recommendation includes an increase of \$24,075,000 in support of DEA's enforcement efforts along the southwest border. These resources will help DEA to expand its presence along the border, address increased workload related to narcotics seizures by DHS and respond to special field intelligence priorities.

*Continuing narcoterrorism investments.*—The Committee's recommendation includes \$10,000,000, as requested, for the annualization of DEA supplemental funds that are not otherwise provided for through the Department of State request. The Committee expects this action to end the process of annual supplemental appropriations for DEA.

*Mobile Enforcement Teams (MET).*—The Committee recommendation includes \$5,000,000 above the request to continue the effort to reestablish Mobile Enforcement Teams (MET) in each domestic field office. The activities of MET should continue to include a focus on methamphetamine enforcement.

*Methamphetamine strategy.*—The rise of "smurfing" as a mean of evading the pseudoephedrine purchase limits contained in the Combat Methamphetamine Epidemic Act has created a resurgence in the number of small toxic labs operating in the country. The Committee directs DEA to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 90 days of the enactment of this Act on the smurfing enforcement strategy it intends to pursue. The strategy report should describe both the actions DEA can pursue with current enforcement authorities, as well as any legislative changes that might improve DEA's ability to identify and apprehend individuals engaged in smurfing.

*Medical marijuana policies.*—Within 60 days of enactment of this Act, the Department shall provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations clarification of the Department's policy

regarding enforcement of federal laws and use of federal resources against individuals involved in medical marijuana activities.

BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$1,054,215,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	1,114,772,000
Recommended in the bill .....	1,105,772,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+51,557,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-9,000,000

ATF is responsible for enforcing Federal criminal laws and regulating the firearms and explosives industries. The combined efforts of special agents and industry operations investigators allow ATF to identify, investigate and recommend for prosecution violators of the Federal firearms and explosives laws. Additionally, ATF ensures that licensees are operating within established laws and regulations. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$1,105,772,000, which is \$9,000,000 below the budget request.

*Southwest border enforcement.*—The Committee recommendation includes an increase of \$17,989,000 in support of ATF's Project Gunrunner. This brings the total amount available to ATF for weapons trafficking along the border to \$59,929,000. However, \$10,000,000 of that total is one-time money provided through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. Accordingly, these funds need to be built into ATF's regular appropriation no later than fiscal year 2011 in order to avoid a diminution of effort directed to Project Gunrunner. The Committee directs ATF to ensure that these funds are annualized into its fiscal year 2011 request.

*eTrace reconfiguration.*—ATF may need to expand and reconfigure eTrace and related systems in order to efficiently find and package responses to trace data requests as permitted by the bill language associated with this account. If additional resources are necessary for this reconfiguration, ATF is encouraged to use the Department's authorities under P.L. 102-140 to access up to \$8,500,000 of expired prior year funds for this purpose.

*National Center for Explosives Research and Training (NCETR).*—Funds associated with Phase Two of the NCETR project have not been included in the Committee's recommendation. While the Committee supports the work that will be done at NCETR, limited fiscal resources must be first directed to the southwest border firearms trafficking efforts that support the Department's larger Mexican Cartel Strategy.

*Gangs and violent crime.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$10,000,000 for ATF's Violent Crime Impact Team (VCIT) program for violent crime and gang enforcement. VCITs are inter-agency task forces that identify, target, disrupt and refer for prosecution violent criminals and gang members. The Committee expects these resources to be used to expand the activities of existing VCITs and to establish new VCITs in geographic areas of highest need.

## CONSTRUCTION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	\$6,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-6,000,000

This appropriation provides funds for the construction of ATF facilities and related activities. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends no funding for this account.

*NCETR.*—In accordance with the funding recommendation for the ATF Salaries and Expenses account, construction funds requested for Phase Two of the NCETR project have not been included in order to preserve the Committee's immediate focus on southwest border firearms trafficking efforts.

## FEDERAL PRISON SYSTEM

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$5,595,754,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	5,979,831,000
Recommended in the bill .....	6,077,231,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+481,477,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+97,400,000

The Bureau of Prisons (BOP) is responsible for confining offenders in the controlled environments of prisons and community-based facilities that are safe, humane, cost-efficient and appropriately secure, and for providing work and other self-improvement opportunities to assist offenders in becoming law-abiding citizens upon release. The Committee recommends \$6,077,231,000 for the salaries and expenses of the Federal Prison System, which is \$481,477,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$97,400,000 above the budget request.

*Correctional officer staffing.*—The Federal prison population has grown explosively over the last 20 years. Rising from roughly 25,000 prisoners in 1980, the population is estimated to grow to more than 210,000 by the end of fiscal year 2010. Correspondingly, the overcrowding rate is projected to rise to 38 percent in 2010, up from 37 percent in 2009. Chronic underfunding based on inadequate budget requests have forced BOP to rely excessively on correctional officer overtime and the diversion of program staff instead of hiring additional correctional officers, leaving the workforce spread dangerously thin and compromising BOP's ability to operate the Federal Prison System in a safe and efficient manner.

Although Congress provided an additional \$160,000,000 above the budget request for fiscal year 2009, BOP used those additional funds to meet the basic operational needs of its facilities, and plans no net increase in staffing in fiscal year 2009 to begin to address its understaffing problem. The Committee is extremely concerned that the proposed budget for fiscal year 2010 would once again not permit BOP to manage the basic operational needs of its prisons.

It is particularly concerning that the BOP budget justification describes as "program increases" a number of inflationary cost increases that should be considered budget adjustments required to meet BOP's basic operational needs. In addition, while the BOP

budget proposes funding increases to activate two new prisons and to hire a significant number of new correctional officers, the Committee is not convinced that BOP will actually be able to carry out those proposals while its basic operational needs continue to be underfunded.

The Committee believes that the activation of newly constructed prisons and a reduction in the staff-to-prisoner ratio must not be delayed. As a result, the Committee directs that no less than \$70,568,000 of the total salaries and expenses appropriation be used entirely for additional correctional officer staffing. As requested, no less than \$52,696,000 of the total shall be used to activate FCI McDowell and no less than \$49,424,000 of the total shall be used to activate FCI Mendota, including all net new staffing that will be required to operate these facilities. If additional salaries and expenses funding is required to meet BOP's operating needs during fiscal year 2010, the Committee fully expects the Department to propose a reprogramming of funds from outside BOP to meet those needs.

The Committee notes that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) is currently evaluating BOP's budget formulation methodology, and expects BOP and the Department to review carefully any recommendations made by GAO when formulating BOP's fiscal year 2011 budget to ensure that sufficient funding to meet basic operational needs is proposed. The Department should also present the fiscal year 2011 budget for BOP in a way that accurately differentiates non-discretionary, inflationary cost adjustments to BOP's base budget requirements from program and staffing enhancements.

To ensure that BOP's correctional worker staffing needs are clearly defined, the Committee directs BOP to provide a report to the Committee, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, identifying the appropriate number of correctional workers, differentiated by staffing category, required at each BOP prison facility to ensure the safe and effective operation of that facility. The Committee understands that staffing needs vary based on each facility's security level, programming needs, design, and other factors, but strongly believes that assessing staffing needs on a facility-by-facility basis is a more effective way of establishing staffing goals than using a system-wide staff-to-prisoner ratio. The Committee directs BOP to premise its funding proposal for staffing in fiscal year 2011 on such a facility-specific assessment of staffing needs.

*Federal Prison System population.*—Between 2010 and 2014, BOP estimates that it will experience a net growth of 22,500 prisoners while planning to add only an additional 13,000 beds in new prisons during the same time period. With the BOP prisoner population currently exceeding the rated capacity of BOP facilities by 37 percent, the Federal Prison System prisoner population is on an unsustainable path. While the Committee fully supports consequences for convicted offenders that are commensurate with the seriousness of their crimes, it also notes the importance of ensuring that those consequences minimize costs to the American taxpayer and reduce the incidence of recidivism.

The Committee is aware that a number of States, through a reform effort known as *Justice Reinvestment*, have initiated efforts to identify the major drivers of the growth in their prison populations

and implement evidenced-based reforms designed to reduce prison population growth and recidivism while restoring high-crime communities and improving public safety. These States have found that the growth in prison populations is primarily fueled by high recidivism rates, including high probation and parole revocations that are often driven by technical violations. These factors lead to higher prison admissions that require more investments in prison construction and prison operations. With bipartisan support, evidence-based criminal justice reforms have helped a number of States, including Texas and Kansas, significantly reduce recidivism rates and reduce the need for new prison construction, allowing States to reinvest resources in prisoner reentry, crime prevention, and other programs that help to further reduce recidivism.

The Committee is aware that a similar effort is underway at the Federal level through a high level Department task force that is evaluating various legislative and administrative options for reforming the Federal criminal justice system in ways that could help reduce the population of the Federal Prison System. The Committee strongly encourages this effort, and particularly urges the Department and BOP to consider evidence-based policy changes that BOP could make under its current statutory authority that would help it responsibly manage its offender population while reducing recidivism, improving public safety, and reducing future costs to the American taxpayer.

The Committee notes that an American Bar Association Commission on Effective Criminal Sanctions roundtable recently made a number of recommendations for using current legal authorities to reduce the number of nonviolent offenders in BOP facilities in ways that could also contribute to reduced recidivism among those offenders. Among the recommendations were a proposal to change the way BOP calculates good time to make it consistent with the guidelines of the U.S. Sentencing Commission and a proposal to reduce the sentences of nonviolent criminal aliens who agree to be deported, a tool that a number of States are successfully using to reduce their own prison populations and reduce prison expenditures.

The National Institute of Corrections (NIC), an agency within the BOP that provides support and assistance to Federal, State and local correctional agencies, is currently evaluating the potential of various evidence-based criminal justice reforms on State prison populations to quantify the potential cost savings that could be achieved if those reforms were implemented. The Committee directs the NIC to expand its analysis to include the potential effect of reforms on the Federal prison population and to provide a report to the Committee on the results by March 1, 2010.

*Second Chance Act implementation.*—The Second Chance Act (P.L. 110–199) imposed new requirements on BOP to facilitate the successful reentry of offenders back into their communities and reduce the rate of recidivism. Among those requirements are the establishment of recidivism reduction goals and increased collaboration with State, Tribal, local, community, and faith-based organizations to improve the reentry of prisoners. The Committee is aware that BOP is currently developing an Inmate Skills Development (ISD) strategy, as required by the Second Chance Act, to assess a prisoner's skills upon incarceration and provide programming

based on that assessment to fill skill deficits and address other reentry needs. The Committee recommendation includes \$13,778,000 and 61 FTE for ISD and other Second Chance Act activities, as proposed by the Department. The Committee notes, however, that BOP has indicated that approximately \$80,000,000 is required to fully implement its Second Chance Act responsibilities. The Committee expects the Department to propose significant additional funding for this purpose in the fiscal year 2011 budget request.

The Second Chance Act clarified that BOP has the authority to place offenders in community corrections, including residential reentry centers (RRCs), for up to 12 months to facilitate their successful reentry and reduce recidivism. In addition, the Act directed BOP to provide incentives, such as increased time in community corrections, to encourage prisoners to fully participate in skills development programs. The Second Chance Act also makes clear that community corrections may include a period of home confinement for up to the shorter of 10 percent of an offender's term of imprisonment or six months.

BOP has indicated that decisions about length of stay in RRCs are influenced by a higher marginal cost of RRCs compared to the marginal cost of keeping a prisoner in a BOP facility. While the Committee appreciates the short term trade-offs involved in annual budgeting for RRCs, it believes that the increased use of RRCs and home confinement, when implemented as part of a comprehensive prisoner reentry program, can contribute to reduced recidivism, safer and more stable communities, and reduced costs for the Federal Prison System. The Committee strongly urges the Department to propose significant additional funding in the fiscal year 2011 budget submission to increase the use of RRCs as part of a comprehensive prisoner reentry program.

In addition, given that home confinement—with appropriate supervision and services—is significantly less costly than either confinement in BOP facilities or RRCs, BOP should make appropriate use of this option when considering how to provide reentering prisoners with up to 12 months in community corrections. The Committee directs BOP to report quarterly on the average length of stay in community corrections, defined as both RRCs and home confinement.

Critical to prisoner reentry and recidivism reduction are substance abuse, mental health, educational, vocational, and other services provided in prisons or during confinement in community corrections. Substance abuse treatment is particularly essential because 40 percent of inmates enter the Federal Prison System with a substance abuse disorder. Within the amount provided for salaries and expenses, not less than \$90,000,000 is made available for drug abuse treatment services for all eligible inmates as required by law, and not less than \$150,000,000 is made available for inmate education and vocational training programs.

To the greatest extent possible, BOP shall prioritize the participation of nonviolent offenders in the Residential Drug Abuse Treatment Program (RDAP) in a way that maximizes the benefit of sentence reduction opportunities for reducing the prisoner population. In addition, as part of its RDAP, the Committee encourages BOP to conduct a pilot initiative on the use of anti-craving medications as a component of drug abuse treatment. Such an initiative should

continue the use of anti-craving medications through an offender's period of confinement in community corrections and period of supervised release. The Committee encourages BOP to collaborate on this initiative with the National Institute of Drug Abuse, which is currently supporting a research study on the effectiveness of anti-craving medication on probationers and parolees.

As part of its portion of the Department's fiscal year 2010 spending plan, BOP shall include a description of all of its activities related to Second Chance Act implementation, including inmate drug abuse treatment programs, inmate education and vocational training programs, and all other program services designed to facilitate offender reentry, along with the estimated costs associated with those activities in fiscal year 2010. The Committee also directs BOP to include, as part of the Department's fiscal year 2011 budget submission, the estimated costs of full implementation of each of its programs and activities related to offender reentry in fiscal year 2011.

In addition, to ensure that BOP is benefiting from outside expertise and viewpoints, the Committee directs BOP to convene an independent panel to make recommendations for future options for the development of prisoner reentry programs, including options related to the role of faith-based and community programs. The Committee expects the report of this panel to be submitted with the Department's fiscal year 2010 spending plan.

The Committee also directs GAO to evaluate BOP's implementation of *Second Chance Act* requirements, including the adequacy of funding proposals by the Department to carry out those requirements, and to provide recommendations to the Committee by March 1, 2010, on how BOP should adapt its facilities, programs, and budgeting processes to fully implement the provisions of the Act that apply to BOP.

*Counterterrorism activities.*—Within the amount provided in this account, not less than \$14,200,000 is for the full estimated cost of BOP's counterterrorism activities, including monitoring and translating the communications of incarcerated terrorists and disseminating information to law enforcement agencies, as appropriate. These activities have been partially funded through supplemental appropriations in previous fiscal years.

*Reimbursement for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) detainees.*—The Committee directs BOP to work cooperatively with the Department of Homeland Security to transfer expeditiously the estimated 850 ICE detainees who are currently housed in the Federal Prison System, or to obtain prompt and fair reimbursement from ICE for the costs of incarcerating them.

*National Institute of Corrections (NIC).*—The NIC provides valuable training and services, including research and evaluation, technical assistance, information sharing and planning to State and local adult corrections agencies, the BOP and other Federal agencies. To address deficiencies identified by the U.S. Census Bureau in the reporting of inmate address information, the Committee encourages the NIC to work with State corrections agencies to develop better procedures and systems for collecting and maintaining corrections records.

*Stun lethal fences.*—The Committee notes that BOP correctional officers have expressed concerns about the use of "stun lethal"

fences at BOP facilities in lieu of correctional officers in perimeter towers. BOP is directed to submit a report to the Committee, within 60 days of enactment of this Act, on the reliability and effectiveness of these fences.

*Privately-contracted prison facilities.*—Based on cost data provided by BOP, the cost of contracting with private sector companies for the use and operation of low security prison facilities appears to cost more than operating BOP low security facilities when discounting BOP's cost of inmate programming, which is not provided in most private facilities. The Committee directs BOP to submit a report, by March 1, 2010, on its long term strategy for minimizing the cost of incarcerating low security criminal alien offenders, including an assessment of the potential for closed military facilities to be converted to low security prisons operated by BOP.

#### BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$575,807,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	96,744,000
Recommended in the bill .....	96,744,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	– 479,063,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The Committee recommends \$96,744,000 for the construction, modernization, maintenance, and repair of prison and detention facilities housing Federal inmates, which is \$479,063,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the request. Of the total, no less than \$71,358,000 is for modernization and repair (M&R) activities.

*Modernization and repair.*—The Committee is extremely concerned about the growing backlog of health and safety repairs in Federal prison facilities. Thirty-four (one-third) of BOP's 114 institutions are more than 50 years old, and most have not undergone major renovations since they were constructed or acquired by BOP. In addition, the BOP has a backlog of major modernization and repair (M&R) projects valued at nearly \$300,000,000. BOP's budget justification indicates that it "strives to follow" the Federal Facilities Council recommendation of budgeting annually for M&R needs equal to two percent of the asset value of its facilities, which in the case of BOP facilities totals more than \$400,000,000. Unfortunately, the Department has proposed only \$71,358,000 for M&R activities in fiscal year 2010. BOP is directed to provide a report to the Committee, within 30 days of enactment of this Act, itemizing all pending M&R projects for Federal prison facilities, specifying when each project was first identified, and estimating the cost associated with each project. The Committee also directs BOP to provide an updated M&R backlog list as part of its fiscal year 2011 budget request.

*Construction.*—The BOP budget proposes \$25,386,000 for construction-related activities, including \$10,000,000 required for lease payments for the Oklahoma Transfer Center. Although BOP plans to activate four new prisons in 2014, it will be unable to maintain that schedule without a significant new construction appropriation by fiscal year 2011. The Committee notes, however, that even if BOP stays on track in activating planned new prisons, it will add approximately 13,000 new beds between 2010 and 2014 at the same time that the BOP population is expected to grow by 22,500.

The Committee expects the Department to ensure that the fiscal year 2011 budget proposal for BOP contains sufficient resources for BOP to at least stay on track with its current plan to activate new prisons, and for BOP to address its M&R backlog.

LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES, FEDERAL PRISON  
INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$2,328,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	2,700,000
Recommended in the bill .....	2,700,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+372,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

Created by Federal statute in 1934, Federal Prison Industries (FPI) operates as a wholly-owned, self-sustaining Government corporation. FPI employs and provides skills training to Federal inmates.

The Committee recommends a limitation on administrative expenses of \$2,700,000 for FPI, which is \$372,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the request. In testimony before the Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies, the BOP Director stated that FPI is the BOP's most important correctional program because it has been proven to substantially reduce recidivism and it is self-funded from the proceeds of sales. In addition, it keeps prisoners productively occupied, making them substantially less likely to engage in misconduct. The Committee is concerned that the percentage of BOP's inmate population involved with FPI has decreased 30 percent from just six years ago. The Committee urges the Department to explore new program models, and to seek new funding and authorities, as necessary, to create additional inmate work and training programs that prepare inmates for successful reentry into the community. The Department shall submit, within 60 days after the enactment of this Act, a plan describing such options for increasing inmate work opportunities.

STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES

In total, the bill provides \$3,423,988,000 for State and local law enforcement and crime prevention grant programs, which is \$196,888,000 more than the fiscal year 2009 funding level and \$670,500,000 above the budget request. The Committee directs the Department to work closely with recipients of congressionally-designated funding to ensure that funded projects are consistent with authorized criminal justice purposes and goals. No grant funding shall be used for luxury items, real estate, or construction projects.

OFFICE ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN  
VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN PREVENTION AND PROSECUTION  
PROGRAMS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$415,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	414,000,000
Recommended in the bill <sup>1</sup> .....	400,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted <sup>1</sup> .....	- 15,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request <sup>1</sup> .....	- 14,000,000

<sup>1</sup>The recommended level does not include funding for programs that were funded through this account in fiscal year 2009 that are administered by the Office of Justice Programs (OJP). For fiscal year 2010, funding for each of these OJP-administered programs is appropriated directly to OJP at the fiscal year 2009 level.

The Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) coordinates legislative and other initiatives relating to violence against women and administers grant programs to help prevent, detect, and stop violence against women, including domestic and dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. A number of programs that received funding through this appropriation in fiscal year 2009 are funded in this bill through the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) appropriation because they are administered by OJP. These include: the CASA Special Advocates program; the Training for Judicial Personnel program; the Stalking Database program; the Research on Violence Against Women program; Training Programs to Assist Probation and Parole Officers; and the Closed Circuit Television program. The Committee recommends funding through OJP for each of these programs at the fiscal year 2009 level. For grants and programs administered directly by OVW, the Committee recommends \$400,000,000 in fiscal year 2010, \$11,000,000 above the comparable budget request and the comparable fiscal year 2009 level.

The Committee directs OVW, as part of the Department's spending plan for fiscal year 2010, to provide details on OVW's planned training and technical assistance (T&TA) activities and research and statistics activities. The spending plan shall differentiate those activities performed via grant, cooperative agreement, interagency agreement, under contract, and performed directly by OVW. In addition, the Committee directs the Department, as part of the fiscal year 2011 budget submission, to provide similar details on OVW's planned T&TA activities and research and statistics activities for fiscal year 2011.

The funding requested through OVW for the Safe Start program is not provided through OVW because Safe Start is administered by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, within the Office of Justice Programs. The Committee instead recommends funding for Safe Start through the Juvenile Justice appropriation.

[In thousands of dollars]

Program	Recommended
STOP Grants .....	\$200,000
National Institute of Justice (R&D) .....	(3,000)
Transitional Housing Assistance .....	(18,000)
Grants to Encourage Arrest Policies .....	60,000
Rural Domestic Violence Assistance Grants .....	41,000
Violence on College Campuses .....	9,500
Civil Legal Assistance .....	37,000
Sexual Assault Victims Services .....	13,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Program	Recommended
Elder Abuse Grant Program .....	4,250
Safe Havens Project .....	14,000
Education & Training for Disabled Female Victims .....	6,750
Court Training and Improvement .....	3,000
Services for Children/Youth Exposed to Violence .....	3,000
Advocates for Youth/Services for Youth Victims (STARY) .....	3,500
National Tribal Sex Offender Registry .....	1,000
Engaging Men and Youth in Prevention .....	3,000
National Resource Center on Workplace Responses .....	1,000
<b>Total</b> <sup>1</sup> .....	<b>400,000</b>

<sup>1</sup>The recommended level does not include funding for programs that were funded through this account in fiscal year 2009 that are administered by the Office of Justice Programs (OJP). For fiscal year 2010, funding for each of these OJP-administered programs is appropriated directly to OJP at the fiscal year 2009 level. For programs administered by OYW, the total is an increase of \$11,000,000 above the comparable FY 2009 funding level.

*STOP formula grants (grants to combat violence against women).*—The Committee recommendation provides \$200,000,000, which is \$10,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for coordinated, multidisciplinary efforts to improve the criminal justice system's response to violent crimes against women and encourage the development and improvement of related law enforcement and prosecution strategies. Within the funding provided, \$3,000,000 is made available to the National Institute of Justice for research and evaluation of programs to prevent violence against women and \$18,000,000 is available for transitional housing assistance. An additional \$225,000,000 was appropriated for STOP grants through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111–5.

*Grants to encourage arrest policies.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$60,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for grants to encourage jurisdictions to implement mandatory and pro-arrest policies as an effective domestic violence intervention.

*Rural domestic violence assistance.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$41,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for Rural Domestic Violence Assistance Grants, which enhance the safety of victims of domestic violence, dating violence, and child abuse in rural America. This funding is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Violence on college campuses.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$9,500,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, to encourage institutions of higher education to adopt comprehensive, coordinated responses to violent crimes against women on campuses.

*Civil legal assistance.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$37,000,000 to increase the availability of legal assistance necessary to provide effective aid to victims of domestic violence, stalking, sexual assault, or dating violence who are seeking relief in legal matters arising as a consequence of that abuse or violence. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Sexual assault victims services.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$13,000,000, which is \$1,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, to assist state coalitions in coordinating victim services activities, and for collaboration and coordination

among Federal, State, and local entities engaged in activities to prevent violence against women.

*Elder abuse grant program.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$4,250,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for community-based projects designed to improve the justice system's response to elder abuse and violence.

*Safe haven project.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$14,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for efforts to prevent and reduce the impact of children's exposure to family and community violence.

*Education and training for disabled female victims.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$6,750,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, to provide education, consultation and information to service providers to address obstacles encountered by women with disabilities who are victims of domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking.

*Court training and improvements program.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$3,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the budget request, for efforts to improve court responses to adult and youth domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

*Services for children/youth exposed to violence.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$3,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for services for children, including direct counseling, advocacy, and mentoring.

*Advocates for youth/services for youth victims (STARY).*—The Committee recommendation includes \$3,500,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for projects that provide direct counseling, advocacy, legal, and mental health services for children and young adults who have experienced domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.

*National tribal sex offender registry.*—For maintaining a National Tribal Sex Offender Registry, the Committee provides \$1,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Engaging men and youth in prevention.*—For programs to engage men and youth in preventing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking, the Committee provides \$3,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*National resource center on workplace responses.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$1,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for a national resource center on workplace responses to assist victims of domestic and sexual violence.

*Jessica Gonzales victim assistance program.*—The Committee recognizes the critical value of victim assistants who act as liaisons between local law enforcement agencies and victims of domestic and dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking in order to improve the enforcement of protection orders. The placement of these special victim assistants is an eligible purpose for funding under the STOP Violence Against Women formula grant program.

*Salaries and expenses.*—The Committee provides management and administration funding for the Office on Violence Against Women through a salaries and expenses appropriation under OJP. As a result, no administrative overhead costs shall be deducted from the programs funded from this account.

## OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

The Office of Justice Programs (OJP) was established to provide Federal leadership, coordination, and assistance to make the Nation's justice system more efficient and effective in preventing and responding to crime. OJP is responsible for administering grants; collecting statistical data and conducting analyses; identifying emerging criminal justice issues; developing and testing promising approaches to address these issues; evaluating program results; and disseminating these findings and other information to State, tribal, and local governments.

The Committee recommends \$2,221,988,000 for the Office of Justice Programs, which is \$643,500,000 above the budget request and \$155,388,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

The Committee directs OJP, as part of the Department's spending plan for fiscal year 2010, to provide details of its planned training and technical assistance (T&TA) activities and research and statistics activities. The spending plan shall differentiate those activities performed via grant, cooperative agreement, interagency agreement, under contract, and performed directly by OJP. In addition, the Committee directs the Department, as part of the fiscal year 2011 budget submission, to provide details of OJP's planned T&TA activities and research and statistics activities for fiscal year 2011.

*Evidence-based programs.*—The Committee urges OJP to ensure, to the greatest extent practicable, that competitive grants are used for evidence-based programs and activities.

*Services for victims of rape.*—The Committee is aware that an estimated one in six women in the United States will experience a sexual assault in her lifetime, and that the Federal Bureau of Investigation ranks rape as the second most violent crime, second only to murder. The Department of Justice is encouraged to continue supporting programs, including hotline programs, which facilitate the delivery of confidential recovery services to rape victims.

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	\$213,388,000
Recommended in the bill .....	213,388,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+213,388,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

To achieve greater transparency, efficiency, and accountability in the management, administration, and oversight of the Justice Department grant programs, Congress established a new salaries and expenses account for fiscal year 2009 within State and Local Law Enforcement Activities. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee provides this appropriation within the Office of Justice Programs, as requested, to facilitate the allocation of salaries and expenses funding among the grant offices.

A total of \$213,388,000 is provided for salaries and expenses for Department of Justice grant programs in fiscal year 2010, including \$139,218,000 for the Office of Justice Programs (OJP); \$37,462,000 for transfer to the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS); and \$15,708,000 for transfer to the Office on

Violence Against Women (OVW). The Committee expects all activities related to the management and administration of grant programs, grants, and cooperative agreements—including activities related to peer review—to be supported with salaries and expenses funding. Training and technical assistance (T&TA) activities and research and statistics activities performed by OJP, OVW, and COPS, or through interagency agreements or under contract for OJP, OVW, and COPS, may be supported with program funds, subject to the submission of details related to these costs in the Department's fiscal year 2010 spending plan.

Within the funds provided, \$21,000,000 is made available to the Office of Audit, Assessment, and Management (OAAM). The Committee expects OAAM to continue to develop its capacity to evaluate, in coordination with the National Institute of Justice, the effectiveness of programs and projects funded by OJP, OVW, and COPS, using rigorous research and evaluation methods that generate valid evidence on the effectiveness of crime prevention strategies.

#### JUSTICE ASSISTANCE

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$220,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	225,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	226,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+6,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+1,000,000

The Justice Assistance account provides support to State and local law enforcement agencies in the form of grants, contracts and cooperative agreements for research, evaluation, and dissemination of statistical and scientific information. The Committee recommends \$226,000,000, which is \$6,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$1,000,000 above the budget request.

The funds are to be distributed as follows:

(In thousands of dollars)

Program	Recommended
National Institute of Justice .....	\$48,000
Bureau of Justice Statistics .....	60,000
National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) .....	(26,000)
Redesign of the NCVS .....	(15,000)
State Automated Victim Notification System .....	12,000
Regional Information Sharing System (RISS) .....	45,000
Missing and Exploited Children .....	61,000
Total .....	226,000

*National Institute of Justice (NIJ).*—The Committee includes \$48,000,000 in direct funding for the NIJ, which is equal to the budget request and the fiscal year 2009 level. NIJ is the primary Federal source of research and development in the field of criminal justice.

*National crime victimization survey (NCVS).*—Within the funds provided for the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), the Committee directs \$26,000,000, as requested, for the NCVS, which since the 1970s has been a critical tool for understanding the costs and context of crime victimization in the United States. Also within the funds provided, the Committee makes available the requested

\$15,000,000 to implement and test the redesign of the methodology for the NCVS. The redesign of the NCVS methodology was recommended in the National Research Council's report, *Surveying Victims: Options for Conducting the National Crime Victimization Survey*, and BJS is currently conducting a program of research to develop the new methodology. The redesigned survey is intended to provide better sub-national data and more concurrent information on emerging trends and issues, and to improve the cost efficiency of the data collection process.

*Victim notification.*—The Committee includes \$12,000,000 to support the Statewide Automated Victim Information Notification (SAVIN) program, which helps protect the legal rights of crime victims by providing registered victims with timely and accurate information about changes to the status of their offender, including information about offender relocation and release.

*Regional information sharing.*—The Committee recommends \$45,000,000 for regional information sharing to ensure the efficient and effective automated exchange of crime and terrorism information among Federal, State, and local agencies. The recommendation is equal to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the request.

*Missing and exploited children.*—The recommendation includes \$61,000,000 for the Missing and Exploited Children program, \$1,000,000 above the budget request, which is sufficient to provide continued level funding for all ongoing programs funded in fiscal year 2009. An additional \$50,000,000 was provided for the Internet Crimes Against Children Regional Task Force program as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111–5.

*Hate crimes.*—The Committee directs the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) to assess the feasibility and associated costs of establishing a national helpline for victims of hate crimes. In addition, the Committee directs NIJ to evaluate trends in hate crimes against new immigrants, individuals who are perceived to be immigrants, and Hispanic-Americans, and to assess the underlying causes behind any increase in hate crimes against such groups.

*Forensic science.*—The National Research Council report, *Strengthening Forensic Science in the United States: A Path Forward*, called for improving the scientific foundations of the forensic disciplines, particularly those that are dependent on qualitative analyses and expert interpretation of observed patterns. The Committee is aware that NIJ has initiated efforts to respond to the National Research Council's recommendations, and urges NIJ to continue and accelerate those efforts.

*Collaboration among state corrections, alcohol and drug abuse, and mental health program directors.*—The Committee is aware that the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) has worked with the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) to support collaboration among the Association of State Corrections Administrators (ASCA), the National Association of State Alcohol and Drug Abuse Directors (NASADAD), and the National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors (NASMHPD), and encourages BJA to continue efforts to foster such collaboration.

*Threat assessment for state courts.*—The Committee is concerned about reports of a rising trend in violence and threats of violence against State courts and court officials. Within the funds provided,

the Committee encourages BJA to support efforts to establish and maintain threat assessment databases, based on core data elements determined by BJA that facilitate the collection of uniform data.

*Salaries and expenses.*—The Committee provides management and administration funding for Justice Assistance programs through a salaries and expenses appropriation under OJP. As a result, no administrative overhead costs shall be deducted from the programs funded from this account.

#### STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$1,328,500,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	728,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	1,312,500,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	– 16,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+584,500,000

The Committee recommends \$1,312,500,000 for State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance programs, which is \$16,000,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$584,500,000 above the request. For fiscal year 2010, this appropriation includes funding for several programs administered by the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) that were funded for fiscal year 2009 through the Office on Violence Against Women and the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services.

The accompanying table details funding recommended for these programs:

[In thousands of dollars]

Program	Recommended
Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants .....	\$529,000
National Institute of Justice .....	(5,000)
State and Local Intelligence Training .....	(2,000)
State criminal justice reform and recidivism reduction .....	(10,000)
Byrne Discretionary Grants .....	124,000
Byrne Competitive Grants .....	40,000
Tribal Assistance .....	47,000
Detention facilities .....	(10,000)
Courts .....	(25,000)
Alcohol and substance abuse grants .....	(12,000)
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program .....	300,000
Southwest Border Prosecutions .....	30,000
Victims of Trafficking Grants .....	10,000
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment .....	30,000
Mentally Ill Offender Act .....	12,000
Drug Courts .....	45,000
Prescription Drug Monitoring .....	7,000
Prison Rape Prevention and Prosecution .....	15,000
Justice for All—Capital Litigation/Wrongful Conviction Review .....	5,500
Missing Alzheimer's Patient Grants .....	2,000
Economic, High-tech and Cybercrime Prevention .....	20,000
CASA—Special Advocates .....	15,000
Training for Judicial Personnel .....	2,500
Stalking Database .....	3,000
Research on Violence Against Indian Women .....	1,000
Training Program to Assist Probation and Parole Officers .....	3,500
Closed Circuit Television Grants .....	1,000
Violent Gang and Gun Crime Reduction .....	15,000
Bullet-Proof Vests .....	25,000
National Instant Criminal Background Check System grants .....	20,000
Criminal Records Upgrade .....	10,000

(In thousands of dollars)

Program	Recommended
Total .....	1,312,500

*Edward Byrne memorial justice assistance grant program.*—The Committee recommends \$529,000,000 for activities under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program. When excluding one-time fiscal year 2009 costs for reimbursement of State and local governments for security and other expenses related to the presidential transition and inauguration, the funding level for this account is \$10,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the request. When excluding \$10,000,000 made available in fiscal year 2010 for recidivism reduction activities, funding for Byrne-JAG formula grants in fiscal year 2010 is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level. An additional \$2,000,000,000 was provided for this program as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111–5.

Funding under this program is authorized for law enforcement programs; prosecution and court programs; prevention and education programs; corrections programs, including community corrections; drug treatment and enforcement programs; planning, evaluation, and technology improvement programs; and crime victim and witness programs, other than compensation. Funding is not available for luxury items, real estate, or construction projects.

Within the amount provided, \$2,000,000 is for intelligence training for State and local law enforcement entities; \$5,000,000 is for the National Institute of Justice to help local units of government identify, select, develop, modernize, and purchase new technologies for use by law enforcement; and \$10,000,000 is for technical assistance, incentive grants, and other activities in support of comprehensive, evidenced-based criminal justice reform and recidivism reduction efforts by States.

The Committee believes that JAG funding should be targeted by State and local governments to programs and activities that are in conformance with a state-wide, evidenced-based strategic plan developed through broad stakeholder involvement. The Committee strongly urges State Administering Agencies (SAAs) to develop or update existing, statewide plans as soon as practicable and to submit those plans to the Attorney General for review. The Attorney General shall work with States to complete such plans as soon as reasonable and shall make technical assistance available to assist States in constructing such plans.

*Byrne discretionary grants.*—The Committee provides \$124,000,000 for Byrne discretionary grants to prevent crime, improve the criminal justice system, provide victims' services, and for other similar activities. The accompanying table details funding for congressionally-designated activities, which are incorporated by reference in the bill:

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
14th Judicial District Durham County North Carolina, Durham, NC	14th Judicial District of Durham County: New Life Job Court	100
180 Turning Lives Around, Inc, Hazlet, NJ	180 Child and Teen Violence Reduction and Treatment Expansion, Hazlet, NJ	500
9th Judicial District, Koochiching County, International Falls, MN	Koochiching County DUI/Substance Abuse Court	100
A Better Way, Inc., Columbia, SC	Project Gang Out (GO)	250
A Child is Missing, Inc , Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Assist in finding missing children or adults.	300
A Safe Haven, Chicago, IL	Comprehensive Substance Abuse Services to Ex-Offenders	500
ACCESS - Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services, Dearborn, MI	ACCESS Youth Center	200
Advocate Good Samaritan Hospital, Downers Grove, IL	Assisting Victims of Domestic Violence at Advocate Good Samaritan Hospital	75
Alabama District Attorneys Association, Montgomery, AL	Alabama Computer Forensics Labs	900
Alachua County, FL, Gainesville, FL	Management of Offenders with Co-occurring Mental Illness and Addiction Disorders	900
Alamo Area Rape Crisis Center, San Antonio, TX	National Online Hotline and comprehensive services for sexual assault survivors	200
Aliviane, Inc., El Paso, TX	The Border Institute of Excellence	250
Alvernia University , Reading, PA	Criminal Justice Program Initiative	470
American Judges Association , Williamsburg, VA	American Judges Association Judicial Education Initiative	350
Armstrong County Emergency Operating Center, Kittanning, PA	Armstrong County Emergency Operating Center Upgrades	100
Auburn University, Auburn, AL	Auburn University Canine Program	900
Baltimore City Mayor, Baltimore, MD	Baltimore City Reentry Employment Center	500
Baltimore County Executive Office, Towson, MD	9-1-1 Communications Center	500
Bee County Sheriff, Beeville, TX	Equipment for new officers	250
Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Mississippi Valley, Davenport, IA	Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Mississippi Valley Expansion and Enhancement Initiative	200
Billings Clinic, Billings, MT	Billings Clinic Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner	250
Bluegrass Domestic Violence Program, Lexington, KY	Bluegrass Domestic Violence Transitional Housing	325
Borough of Jamesburg, Jamesburg, NJ	Jamesburg Police Communications Modernization Program	95
Breaking Ground, Chicago, IL	Job Training and Placement Services for Ex-Offenders	100
BRIDGES USA, Memphis, TN	BRIDGES SPAN Program for Memphis City Schools	300
Brinkley, AR Police Department, Brinkley, AR	Law Enforcement Equipment	62
Brockton Area Private Industry Council, Inc., Brockton, MA	Gateway Program	250
Buffalo City Mission, Buffalo, NY	Cornerstone Manor Program Expansion	200
California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Gang Suppression Enforcement Team (GSET) Project	100
California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Riverside Gang Suppression Enforcement Team	250

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
CeaseFire Chicago, Chicago, IL	CeaseFire Chicago Project	250
Center for Court Innovation, New York, NY	Enhanced Services for Veterans in Drug Court	100
Center Point, Inc., San Rafael, CA	Re-Entry and Community Integration Services	250
Chabad of Riverside, Riverside, CA	Chabad of Riverside's Project PRIDE (Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Eradication)	400
Chapman University, Orange, CA	Chapman University Domestic Violence Clinic	100
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Charlotte, NC	Gang of One Pilot Program	200
Chicago School of Professional Psychology, Chicago, IL	The Chicago School Partnership with Community Mental Health Council	350
Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA	Child Abuse Treatment Services (VA)	100
Chrysalis House, Lexington, KY	Chrysalis House Substance Abuse Rehabilitation Program	175
Chrysalis-Changing Lives Through Jobs, Los Angeles, CA	Ex-Offender and Homeless Job Training Initiative	500
Citizens for NYC, New York, NY	Community Crime Stoppers	200
City of Adelanto, Adelanto, CA	Emergency Operations Center	375
City of Annapolis, Maryland, Annapolis, MD	Capital City Safe Streets Program	100
City of Austin, Austin, TX	Austin Police Technology	250
City of Baltimore Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Baltimore City Gun Violence Reduction Initiative	500
City of Carlsbad, Carlsbad, CA	Joint First Responders Training Facility	300
City of Chattanooga, Chattanooga, TN	City of Chattanooga Law Enforcement Training Center	500
City of Colton, Colton, CA	City of Colton Police Department Back-up Generator	200
City of Denton, Denton, TX	Denton Public Safety Training Facility	1,000
City of Detroit, Detroit, MI	City of Detroit - Parolees, Technical Parole Violators, and Former Prisoners Project	550
City of Detroit, Detroit Police Department, Detroit, MI	City of Detroit - Firearm Reduction Initiative	500
City of Escondido, Escondido, CA	Mobile Data Computer Infrastructure	200
City of Evanston Police Department, Evanston, IL	Undercover Operations Personnel	35
City of Folsom, Folsom, CA	Folsom Emergency Operations Center	250
City of Glendale, Glendale, AZ	Glendale Computer Aided Dispatch and Records Management System	1,000
City of Glendale, Glendale, CA	Interagency Communications Interoperability System, Glendale/Burbank, CA	500
City of Henderson, Henderson, NV	Regional Law Enforcement Training Facility	300
City of Houston, Houston, TX	Targeted Narcotics Enforcement Team, Houston, TX	350
City of Hutchinson Police Department, Hutchinson, KS	Emergency Response Team Equipment Upgrade Project	200
City of Irondale, Irondale, AL	Law Enforcement Equipment and Technology Upgrades	350
City of Kansas City, Kansas City, MO	Community Justice Program	100

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Lakewood, CO, Lakewood, CO	Substance Abuse Treatment for Youth Offenders	45
City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Domestic Abuse Response Team (DART)	100
City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Gang Reduction Youth Development Zone - Pacoima	100
City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Community Law Enforcement and Recovery Program	500
City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Elimination Program	500
City of Miami Beach, FL, Miami Beach, FL	Miami Beach After School Gang and Drug Prevention Program	500
City of Midland, Midland, TX	Emergency Communications Equipment, Midland, Texas	325
City of Montgomery, Montgomery, AL	City of Montgomery and Montgomery County Interoperable Upgrades	360
City of Moreno Valley, Moreno Valley, CA	City of Moreno Valley Gang Prevention Program	500
City of National City, National City, CA	Homeless Outreach Program and Enforcement (HOPE) Project	95
City of New Haven, New Haven, CT	City of New Haven Re-entry Program	500
City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, , PA	Youth Violence Reduction Partnership (YVRP)	250
City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	City of Phoenix Electronic Prosecutor Records Management	100
City of San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA	San Bernardino Project Phoenix Neighborhood Initiative	500
City of San Fernando, San Fernando, CA	School Resource Officer Program	450
City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	"Skills to Succeed" Prisoner Re-Entry Pilot Project	400
City of Stockton, Stockton, CA	Operation Peacekeeper Gang Outreach Program	200
City of Trenton, New Jersey, Trenton, NJ	YouthStat Violence and Gang Prevention	310
City of Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Tucson Drug Trafficking Suppression Initiative	400
City of Westminster, CA, Westminster, CA	Asian Criminal Enterprise Initiative	290
City of Wrens, Wrens, GA	Wrens city security project	75
City of Yonkers, Yonkers, NY	Yonkers WAVE drug sweep	300
Clafin University, Orangeburg, SC	Clafin Certified Forensics Laboratory	1,000
Claiborne County Sheriff, Port Gibson, MS	County-wide law enforcement programs	100
Clarke County Sheriff, Berryville, VA	Northwest Regional Gang Task Force	1,000
Clearfield City, Clearfield, UT	Digital Technology for Drug Enforcement/Gang- Related Strategic Initiative	425
Coalition for a Drug-Free Lanai, Lanai City, HI	Youth Mentoring Program	25
Community Foundation of Wyandotte County, Kansas City, KS	NeighborhoodsNOW Crime and Safety Initiative	500
Conservation Corps of Long Beach, Long Beach, CA	Environmental Job Training Program for Youth At- Risk in Southeast Los Angeles County	100
Converging Industries Research Foundation , Cambridge, MA	Massachusetts Initiative for Real-Time Wireless Emergency Communications	250
County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Monterey Park, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Reduction Program	1,000

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
County of Merrimack, New Hampshire, Concord, NH	Merrimack County Mental Health Court Pilot Program	250
County of Monterey, Salinas, CA	Monterey County Street and Anti-Gang Project (aka: Gang Task Force)	500
County of San Diego, District Attorney, San Diego, CA	DA Gang and Drug Crime Investigation and Prosecution	200
County of San Diego, Sheriff's Department, San Diego, CA	San Diego County Regional Gang Enforcement Collaborative	350
Covenant House California, Oakland, CA	Covenant House, Oakland Housing and Career Center	250
Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Arkansas School Resource Officer Program	600
Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Rural Executive Management Institute (REMI) Project	200
Cuyahoga County Board of County Commissioners, Cleveland, OH	Cuyahoga County Fatherhood Initiative	300
Cuyahoga County Board of County Commissioners, Cleveland, OH	Cuyahoga County Prisoner Re-entry Program	400
Digital Development Corporation and Oversight Committee (DDCOC) , Chicago, IL	"SECOND CHANCES" Job Training Program	250
Diverse and Resilient, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Diverse and Resilient, Inc. Clean Slate Clinic for Community Re-entry & Reintegration	155
East Bay Community Law Center, Berkeley, CA	East Helena Community Policing Hiring Effort	60
East Helena Police Department, East Helena , MT	The Cyber Crime and Forensics Institute	500
East Stroudsburg University, East Stroudsburg, PA	Emanuel County Emergency Vehicle Acquisition	100
Emanuel County Board of Commissioners, Swainsboro, GA	VA Internet Safety 101	160
Enough is Enough, Great Falls, VA	Jericho Reentry: Workforce Development for Ex-Offenders	100
Episcopal Community Services of Maryland, Baltimore, MD	Essex County District Attorney's Child Abuse, Domestic Abuse and Sexual Assault Specialized Prosecution Program	250
Essex County District Attorney , Salem, MA	Comprehensive Substance Abuse Education and Treatment Program	280
Essex County Sheriff's Department, Middleton, MA	Berks County Emergency Response Team (BCERT)	350
Exeter Police Department, Reading, PA	Fairfield Police Department Emergency Communications and Equipment Upgrades	110
Fairfield Police Department, Fairfield, IL	Family Justice Center	200
Family Justice Center, Hillsborough County, Tampa, FL	Fresh Start Reentry Program	900
Family Reentry, Norwalk, CT	FDRC	250
Father's Day Rally , Philadelphia, , PA	Findlay Police Equipment	10
Findlay Police Department, Findlay, IL	First Step Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program	200
First Step, Taylor, MI	FGCU Law Enforcement and Public Safety	200
Florida Gulf Coast University, Ft. Myers, FL	Fulton County High Intensity Crime Area Task Force	100
Fulton County, Atlanta, GA	Gallatin County Treatment Court	185
Gallatin County, Montana, Bozeman, MT	Integrated Campus Security Initiative	537
Genesee Community College , Batavia, NY		

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Glenville State College, WV Anti-Recidivism Prisoner Education Program	406
Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Glenville State College, WV Electronic Crimes Education and Training Program	200
Grundy County Emergency Telephone System Board, Morris, IL	Grundy County ETSB Consolidation Project E911 System	100
Guilford College, Greensboro, NC	Enhancing Technical Expertise in Forensics in Guilford County, North Carolina	100
Hampden County Sheriff, Ludlow, MA	Integrated Reentry Program	350
Hanover Park Police Department, Sergeant Tim Podlin, Hanover Park, IL	Rapid Response to School Violence	48
Harris County Constable Precinct 4, Springt, TX	Preventing Violence Against Women	90
Harris County Constable Precinct Six, Houston, TX	Gang Identification and Enforcement Unit	110
Hawaii Immigrant Justice Center, Honolulu, HI	Human Rights Alliance	200
Henry C. Lee Institute of Forensic Science, New Haven, CT	Regional Crime Scene Response Team	200
Holmes County Sheriff Department, Lexington, MS	County-wide law enforcement programs	100
Homestretch, Falls Church, Vi	Homelessness and Domestic Violence Programs	300
Hope Through Housing Foundation, Rancho Cucamonga, CA	After School and Beyond -Violence Prevention	850
Houston Police Department, Houston, TX	Mobile AFIS Equipment and LiveScan Equipment	910
Hoxie, AR Police Department, Hoxie, AR	Law enforcement programs and equipment	50
Idaho Department of Corrections, Boise, ID	NCOMS Medical and Mental Health Sharing Software Development	500
Iowa Central Community College, Ft. Dodge, IA	Iowa Central Law Enforcement Training Center	500
Iowa Governor, Des Moines, IA	Drug Endangered Children Program and Drug Diversion Deterrence	300
Iowa State University, Ames, IA	Iowa State Forensic Testing Lab	1,400
Iowa State University, Ames, IA	Internet Scale Event & Attack Generation Environment	400
Izard County, AR Sheriff, Melbourne, AR	Calico Rock, AR Police Program	100
Jefferson County Sheriff, Fayette, MS	County-wide law enforcement programs	100
John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York, NY	National Academy for Reentry Policy and Practice	100
Johnson County Sheriff, Cleburne, TX	Stop the Offender Program	200
Joiner, AR Police Department, Joiner, AR	Law enforcement programs and equipment	100
Justice 2000, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Community justice centers	100
King County Sheriff, Seattle, WA	King County Gang Intervention Initiative	200
King of Kings Foundation, Jamaica, NY	Anti-Drug & Anti-Gang Warriors Program	290
Kokomo Police Department, Kokomo, IN	Kokomo Police Department Forensic Crime Lab	100
Lane County Administration , Eugene, OR	Offender Treatment and Transition Program	500
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas, NV	Information Sharing and Analysis Tools for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	325
Local Initiatives Support Corporation, New York, NY	LISC Community Safety Initiative	225
LIGALY, Baysshore, NY	The Anti-Violence Project	500

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Loudoun County Sheriff's Office, Leesburg, VA	Northern Virginia Regional Gang Task Force	3,000
Lucas County TASC, Inc., Toledo, Ohio, OH	Reentry Coalition Of Northwest Ohio	300
Maryland State Police, Pikesville, MD	Gang Elimination Task Force	1,000
Mattie Rhodes Center, Kansas City, MO	Latino Youth Crime Prevention Project	250
Men Aspiring Leaders through Education, Inc., Houston, TX	M.A.L.E. Reentry Program	250
	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Center for Building	
Men of Valor Academy, Oakland, CA	Our Community Green	100
Miami Dade College North Campus, Miami, FL	Officer Tactical Facility Enhancements	200
Miami-Dade County, Miami, FL	Mortgage Fraud Task Force	150
	Legal services outreach, internet upgrade and immigration services	
Micronesia Legal Services Corporation, Saipan, MP		180
Minot State University, Minot, ND	Rural Methamphetamine Education Project	350
Mississippi Military Department, Jackson, MS	Regional Counterdrug Training Academy	300
	MN 8th District State Patrol In-Squad Digital Cameras	
Minnesota State Patrol, St. Paul, MN		240
Monmouth County Sheriff, Freehold, NJ	Trunk Radio System	120
Monroe County Department of Public Safety, Rochester, NY	Biology/DNA Module	200
Monroe County Department of Public Safety, Rochester, NY	Monroe County Regional Crime Laboratory	100
Montgomery YMCA, Montgomery, AL	Cleveland Avenue YMCA	100
MTSU, Murfreesboro, TN	Training of Law Enforcement Personnel	625
Multi-County Juvenile Attention System (MCJAS), Canton, OH	Tuscarawas Attention Center Project	275
	Multnomah County (OR) Department of Community Justice Re-Entry Services	
Multnomah County, OR, Portland, OR		500
My Home, Your Home Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Lissy's Place	180
Nassau County Executive, Mineola, NY	Family Court Technology Improvements	235
Nassau County Police Department, Mineola, NY	Heroin Abatement Program	385
National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Child Abuse Training Programs for Judicial Personnel: Victims Act Model Courts Project	1,875
	National Advocacy Center State and Local Prosecutors Training Program	
National District Attorneys Association, Alexandria, VA		150
	National Forensic Science Technology Center, Forensic Technology Center of Excellence	
National Forensic Science Technology Center, Largo, FL		2,000
Nevada Department of Public Safety Parole and Probation, Carson City, NV	State of Nevada, Parole and Probation Technological Advancement and Improvement	150
New Jersey Department of Children and Families, Trenton, NJ	Child Forensic Interviewers for Child Advocacy Centers	100
New Man Development Community Corporation, Rehrersburg, PA	Employment Preparation Project at New Man Development Community Corporation	25
North Arlington Borough, North Arlington, NJ	Cops in Schools and Cops on the Street	100
Northampton Community College, Bethlehem, PA	Campus-Wide Security System	300
Northwest Missouri Interagency Team Response Operation, Cameron, MO	Multi-Jurisdictional Drug and Violent Offender Task Force	200
NYC Police Foundation, New York, NY	Project COPE	500
Office of Human Affairs, Newport News, VA	Visual and Performing Arts After School Program, Newport News, VA	100

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Office of the King's County District Attorney, Brooklyn, NY	ComALERT Project	100
Office of the Queens County District Attorney, Kew Gardens, NY	Specialized Effort to Combat Financial Crimes and Mortgage Fraud	100
Oglala Sioux Tribe Department of Public Safety, Pine Ridge, SD	Law Enforcement Programs	165
Ohio Attorney General, Columbus, OH	University Of Toledo and Ohio Attorney General Forensic Crime Lab	100
Oldham County Sheriff's Office, LaGrange, KY	Equipment Upgrades, Oldham County Sheriff, KY	75
Operation Our Town, Altoona, PA	Drug Treatment and Prevention	300
Operation UNITE, Somerset, KY	Narcotics Law Enforcement & Interdiction, Education and Deterrence	4,450
Orange County Human Trafficking Task Force, Westminster, CA	Victim and Outreach Services Enhancements	220
Oregon Partnership, Portland, OR	Rx for Saving Oregon Teens	470
Oriana House, Inc., Akron, OH	Misdemeanant Facility	100
Parents for Megan, Stony Brook, NY	Institute Sex Offender Registration Tips (SORT) and Support Programs	300
PAX/Real Solutions to Gun Violence, New York, NY	SPEAK UP Hotline and Awareness Campaign Access Expansion	240
Pennyrile Narcotic Task Force, Hopkinsville, KY	Law Enforcement Programs and Equipment	750
Penobscot County Sheriff, Bangor, ME	Sheriff Taskforce to collaborate with Maine schools in a comprehensive multi-hazard interoperable response plan	250
Peralta Community College District, Oakland, CA	Oakland Center for Public Safety at Merritt College	125
Perry Police Department, Perry, FL	Perry Police Department Canine Program	105
Persad Center, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA	Community Safe Zone	150
Philadelphia Safety Net, Philadelphia, PA	Safety Net Program	300
Phoenix House, Springfield, MA	Drug Treatment Technology Enhancement Initiative	500
Phoenix House, NY, New York, NY	Drug Treatment Technology Project	500
Portage County Commissioners, Adult Probation Department, Ravenna, OH	Community Integration and Socialization Program	200
Providence Police Department, Providence, RI	Providence Gun Violence and Gang Reduction Initiative	500
Puyallup Tribe of Indians, Tacoma, WA	Puyallup Justice Center Project	400
Ready Willing & Able, Philadelphia, PA	Ready Willing & Able Philadelphia Program	200
Rio Hondo College, Whittier, CA	Regional Homeland Security Training Center Initiative	300
Rockdale County Sheriff's Office, Rockdale County, GA	Rockdale County Sheriff's Office - Gang Intervention Project	250
Sacramento Police Department, Sacramento, CA	Sacramento Police Department Cold Case Justice Project	100
Safe Streets Campaign, Tacoma, WA	Pierce County Regional Gang Prevention Partnership, Safe Streets Campaign	500
Saginaw County Sheriff, Saginaw, MI	Facilitating Justice Information Sharing	280
Salem Police Department, Salem, MA	Regional Approach to Law Enforcement Technology Services	250

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, TX	Sam Houston State University Regional Crime Laboratory	1,000
San Diego Second Chance Program, San Diego, CA	Second Chance Prisoner Reentry Employment Program	400
San Francisco District Attorney, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Reentry Center	750
San Jose State University Research Foundation, San Jose, CA	Community Collaborative Response to Victims of Domestic Violence	440
Sanctuary, Inc., Guam, Chalan Pago, GU	Sanctuary, Inc., Guam At-Risk Youth Services Programs	150
Saving Our Seeds , Chicago, IL	Life Skills Program	51
Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) Center, Anchorage, AK	Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) Center	400
Shelby Township Police Department, Shelby Township, MI	Law Enforcement Programs, Prosecution, Drug Treatment and Enforcement Programs	200
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate, Agency Village, SD	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate Transition Assistance	110
Sisters of Charity, Columbia, SC	Jobs Not Jail: An Alternative to Incarceration	265
South Western Judicial Circuit Family Violence Council, Inc., Americus, GA	Domestic Violence Advocate	75
Southeast Missouri Network Against Sexual Violence, Cape Girardeau, MO	Enhanced Victim Assistance	200
Southwest Tennessee Community College, Memphis, TN	Forensic Science Program	100
St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens, FL	Human Trafficking Initiative	500
Sterling Heights Police Department, Sterling Heights, MI	Law Enforcement Programs, Prosecution, Drug Treatment and Enforcement Programs	300
Stetson University College of Law, Gulfport, FL	National Clearing House for Science, Technology and the Law	400
Suffolk County Police Department, Yaphank, NY	Suffolk County, NY, Police Department Computer Crimes Initiative	250
Superior Police Department, Superior, WI	Forensic Technology Task Force	550
Survivors, Inc., Gettysburg, PA	Legal Advocacy and Hotline Project	25
Synergy Services, Parkville, MO	Community Response to Domestic Violence	140
Tahirih Justice Center, Falls Church, VA	Immigrant Women and Girls Legal Services	500
Tallahassee Community College, Tallahassee, FL	Florida Public Safety Institute	245
Tarleton State University, Stephenville, TX	Tarleton State University Rural Law Enforcement Project	1,500
Taylor County Sheriff, Medford , WI	Law Enforcement Technology	1,000
Texas Border Sheriffs Coalition, El Paso, TX	Operation Linebacker	4,850
Texas Engineering Extension Service, College Station, TX	Forensic Science Academy	300
Texas State University - San Marcos, San Marcos, TX	Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response Training (ALERRT)	1,300
The City of Belton, Texas, Belton, TX	Belton, Texas First Responder Equipment, Technology and Interoperability Upgrades	700
The City of Center Point, Center Point, AL	Center Point Community Policing Project	200
The Criminal Justice Training Center, Golden West College, Huntington Beach, CA	Virtual Interactive Training Simulator	900
The Doe Fund, Inc., New York, NY	Ready, Willing & Able	600

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
The PROGRAM for Offenders, Pittsburgh, PA	Family Reunification Project	100
	St. Petersburg College National Terrorism Preparedness Institute	1,500
The St. Petersburg College, St. Petersburg, FL		
The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL	Model State Partnership for Cybercrime and Security	500
	Texas Cyber Security Research and Training Institute	529
The University of Texas at Tyler, Tyler, TX		
The Women's Center and Shelter of Greater Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA	Emergency Shelter Project	200
Thiel College, Greenville, PA	Thiel College Community Police Partnership	500
Toledo Fair Housing Council, Toledo Ohio, OH	Predatory Lending Program	125
Town of Greenburgh Adult Drug Treatment Court, Greenburgh, NY	Town of Greenburgh, NY, Adult Drug Court Treatment Program	50
Township of North Brunswick, North Brunswick, NJ	North Brunswick Video Surveillance Program	185
Turning Point, Inc., Woodstock, IL	Law Enforcement Advocacy Partnership (LEAP)	300
Uintah County, Vernal, UT	Uintah County Drug Court	200
	United Way for Southeastern Michigan Ex-Offender Reentry Program	300
United Way for Southeastern Michigan , Detroit, MI		
University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ	Binational Migration Institute	250
University of Maryland Public Safety Training and Technical Assistance Program, Greenbelt, MD	Prince George's County-Montgomery County Gang Prevention and Suppression Task Force	2,700
University of Mississippi School of Law , University, MS	National Center for Justice and the Rule of Law	600
University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Ohio Trafficking in Persons Study Group	250
	University of West Georgia K-12 School Safety and Emergency Response Initiative	250
University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA		
Ventura County District Attorney, Ventura, CA	DNA Cold Case Prosecution Unit	570
Ventura County Sheriff, Ventura, CA	Regional Gang Unit - Forensic Scientists	80
Village of Downers Grove, Downers Grove, IL	Internet Safety Programs	5
	Southern View Police Training and Equipment	100
Village of Southern View, Springfield, IL		
Voorhees College, Denmark, SC	Domestic Violence Against Women	250
Wake Technical Community College, Raleigh, NC	Public Safety for Growing Region	300
Washington County, Oregon, Hillsboro, OR	Washington County (OR) Drug Court	300
	Washington State University Center for the Study of Addiction: Methamphetamine, Prescription, and Other Drugs of Abuse	500
Washington State University, Pullman, WA		
Wayne County Prosecutor, Detroit, MI	The Wayne County Mortgage Fraud Team	250
	Equipment and Supplies for Wayne County Sheriff	100
Wayne County Sheriff, Fairfield, IL		
Wayne State University, Detroit, MI	Prisoner Re-Entry Program	250

OJP - Byrne Discretionary Grants  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Weathersfield Township Trustees, Mineral Ridge, OH	Weathersfield OH Regional Interoperable Communication Project	495
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	West Virginia University Identification Technology Research and Transition Center (CiTeR)	500
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	West Virginia University Technology Design Initiative	500
Western Connecticut State University, Danbury, CT	Expanded "Building a Bridge to Improve Student Success" At-Risk Youth Project	100
Whatcom County, Bellingham, WA	Whatcom County Rapid Border Prosecution Initiative	430
Wilmington Police Department, Wilmington, OH	Wilmington Police Department - Equipment Replacement and Modernization project	140
YWCA of Greater Cleveland, Cleveland, OH	NIA: A Program to Promote Self-Sufficiency for At-Risk Young Women Aging Out of Foster Care	100
YWCA of Greater Flint, Flint, MI	"Partners in Transition" Program for At-Risk Young Women	600
YWCA of Greater Toledo, Toledo, OH	Enhancing Programs for At-Risk Youth and Domestic Violence Survivors	125
YWCA of Madison, Madison, WI	Community of Opportunity At-Risk Youth Initiative	150
YWCA of Silicon Valley, San Jose, CA	YWCA Rape Crisis Center	200
YWCA Silicon Valley, San Jose, CA	Human Trafficking Victim Support Center	450
Zero to Three, Washington, DC	New Haven Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	300

*Byrne competitive grants.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$40,000,000 for competitive, peer-reviewed grants to programs of national significance to prevent crime, improve the administration of justice or assist victims of crime. An additional \$225,000,000 was provided for this program as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111–5. As part of its portion of the Department’s spending plan, OJP is directed to detail the criteria and methodology that will be used to award grants. It is expected that national programs that have previously received funding under the Byrne discretionary program and programs for which specific funding is designated in this Act will be eligible to compete for funding under this competitive grant program.

*Tribal assistance.*—The Committee strongly supports efforts to help Tribes improve the capacity of their criminal justice systems. The Committee recommendation includes \$47,000,000 for Tribal Assistance, which is \$22,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the request. Of the total, \$10,000,000 is for tribal detention facilities, \$25,000,000 is for tribal courts, and \$12,000,000 is for alcohol and substance abuse grants. An additional \$225,000,000 was provided for tribal detention facilities as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111–5. Separate funding for tribal law enforcement activities is provided through the Office of Community Oriented Policing.

The Committee directs OJP to coordinate with the Bureau of Indian Affairs in the Department of the Interior in developing a priority list for detention facility construction, targeting tribal areas with the greatest need, and to incorporate the priority system into the ranking criteria for grants. The Committee also directs OJP to develop a voluntary annual training program for tribal court officials and judges to promote improvements in tribal judicial systems.

*State criminal alien assistance program (SCAAP).*—The Committee recommends \$300,000,000 for reimbursement to States and localities for their costs for incarcerating criminal aliens, a decrease of \$100,000,000 below the fiscal year 2009 level. The Administration proposed the elimination of the program.

*Southwest border prosecutions.*—The Committee recommends \$30,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the budget request, to provide assistance to State and local law enforcement (including prosecutors, probation officers, courts, and detention facilities) along the southwest border related to the investigation and prosecution of drug and alien cases referred from Federal arrests.

*Victims of trafficking.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$10,000,000 for task force activities and services related to victims of human trafficking. The Committee is aware that the Office for Victims of Crime is considering a solicitation for demonstration grants to target minor victims of human trafficking that are resident aliens or U.S. citizens. The Committee strongly supports this initiative and encourages OVC to move forward with the proposed solicitation.

*Residential substance abuse treatment.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$30,000,000 for State prison substance abuse treatment programs, an increase of \$20,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and equal to the request. This program helps

State and local governments develop, implement, and improve residential substance abuse treatment programs in correctional facilities, and establish and maintain community-based aftercare services for probationers and parolees.

*Mentally Ill Offender Act.*—Mentally Ill Offender Act funding helps State and local governments design and implement programs, including mental health courts, that improve the response of the criminal justice system to offenders with mental illness. The Department proposed a combined appropriation of \$59,000,000 for drug, mental health, and problem solving courts, while proposing the elimination of separate funding for drug courts and for activities under the Mentally Ill Offender Act. Because the Mentally Ill Offender Act supports activities other than mental health courts, the Committee continues to provide a separate appropriation for activities under the Act. The Committee recommendation includes \$12,000,000 for Mentally Ill Offender Act activities for fiscal year 2010, an increase of \$2,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level.

*Drug courts.*—The Committee strongly supports drug courts, which serve a vital role in the Nation's justice system. By providing alternatives to the prosecution and incarceration of offenders with substance abuse problems, drug courts help rebuild lives, support families, and reduce recidivism and the costs of incarceration. The Committee continues to provide a separate appropriation for drug courts, recommending \$45,000,000 for the program for fiscal year 2010, an increase of \$5,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level. To facilitate the use of State clinical treatment standards, discourage system fragmentation, promote sustainability, and encourage the use of common client level performance and outcomes data, the Committee strongly encourages collaboration between drug courts and State agencies that oversee substance abuse prevention and treatment services.

*Hal Rogers prescription drug monitoring program.*—The Committee includes \$7,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program. This program assists States in developing systems to monitor prescription drugs and scheduled listed chemical products on either an intra-state or inter-state basis. The Committee expects OJP to continue working with the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to implement this program.

*Prison rape prevention and prosecution.*—The Committee provides \$15,000,000 for grants to prevent, respond to and prosecute prison rape, including training, education and services to victims. The recommended funding level is an increase of \$2,500,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the Administration request.

*Justice for all.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$5,500,000 for capital litigation/wrongful conviction review grants, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level, and equal to the level proposed by the Department for capital litigation grants. Of the amount provided, \$2,500,000 is made available for capital litigation grants, which improve the quality of representation and the reliability of verdicts in local and state capital cases through training for prosecutors, defense counsel and trial judges. Also within the amount provided, \$3,000,000 is for competitive grants to public and non-profit entities that work to exonerate individuals who have been wrongfully convicted.

*Missing Alzheimer's disease patient program.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$2,000,000 for Missing Alzheimer's Disease Patient Program grants, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level. The Department proposed no funding for this program.

*Economic, high-tech and cybercrime prevention.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$20,000,000, an increase of \$2,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level, for competitive grants to State and local law enforcement agencies as authorized by the Prioritizing Resources and Organization for Intellectual Property Act of 2008, P.L. 110-403. The Administration proposed no funding for this program.

*CASA—special advocates.*—CASA supports programs designed to ensure that abused and neglected children benefit from timely and effective representation in dependency court hearings. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee provides \$15,000,000 for the CASA program, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the budget request.

*Training for judicial personnel.*—The Committee recommends \$2,500,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request, for programs to improve the ability of judicial personnel to handle child abuse and neglect cases.

*Stalking database.*—For grants to upgrade State and local protection order systems, the Committee recommends \$3,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Research on violence against Indian women.*—For comprehensive research on violence against Native American women, the Committee recommends \$1,000,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Training program to assist probation and parole officers.*—For training and technical assistance to State, local, and tribal jurisdictions to establish comprehensive strategies to manage sex offenders under community supervision, the Committee recommends \$3,500,000, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Closed circuit television grants.*—The Committee recommendation provides \$1,000,000 for closed circuit television grants for televised testimony, equal to the fiscal year 2009 level. The Department proposed no funding for this program.

*Violent gangs and gun crimes.*—The Committee recommends \$15,000,000 for competitive grants to State and local law enforcement agencies to combat violent crime, with special emphasis on areas plagued by violent gangs. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Bulletproof vests.*—The Committee provides \$25,000,000 to assist State and local law enforcement agencies in purchasing bullet and stab resistant vests, equal to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the request.

*NICS Improvement Amendments Act of 2007.*—The Committee includes \$20,000,000 for grants to assist States and tribal governments in updating the National Instant Criminal Background Check System with the criminal history and mental health records of individuals who are precluded from purchasing or possessing guns. This amount is an increase of \$10,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Criminal history record upgrades.*—In addition to the funds provided for NICS grants, the Committee includes \$10,000,000 for grants to ensure that accurate criminal history records are avail-

able for use in law enforcement. This program helps States build their infrastructure to connect to national record check systems, both to supply information and to conduct the requisite checks. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*National Motor Vehicle Title Information System.*—The Committee is aware that planned enhancements to the National Motor Vehicle Title Information System will require additional funding sources beyond the current fee structure. OJP is encouraged to work with the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators, which operates the system, on options for funding those enhancements.

*Salaries and expenses.*—As in fiscal year 2009, the Committee provides management and administration funding for OJP and other grant offices through a salaries and expenses appropriation under OJP. As a result, no administrative overhead costs shall be deducted from the programs funded from this account.

WEED AND SEED PROGRAM FUND

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$25,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	25,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	15,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	- 10,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 10,000,000

The Committee recommends \$15,000,000 for the Weed and Seed Program, which is \$10,000,000 less than the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the request.

JUVENILE JUSTICE PROGRAMS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$374,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	317,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	385,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+11,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+68,000,000

The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) was established to provide Federal leadership, coordination, and resources to prevent and respond to juvenile delinquency and victimization. OJJDP is responsible for supporting States, Tribes, and communities in their efforts to develop and implement effective and coordinated prevention and intervention programs and to improve the juvenile justice system so that it protects public safety, holds offenders accountable, and provides treatment and rehabilitative services tailored to the needs of juveniles and their families.

The Committee recommends \$385,000,000 for Juvenile Justice Programs, which is \$68,000,000 above the request and \$11,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level. The accompanying table details funding recommended for these programs.

[In thousands of dollars]

Program	Recommended
Part B—State Formula .....	\$75,000
Part E—Challenge Grants—Demonstration Projects .....	68,000
Youth Mentoring Grants .....	80,000
Title V—Incentive Grants .....	62,000
Tribal Youth .....	(25,000)

(In thousands of dollars)

Program	Recommended
Gang Prevention .....	(10,000)
Alcohol Prevention .....	(25,000)
Investigation and Prosecution of Child Abuse Program .....	20,000
Juvenile Accountability Block Grants .....	55,000
Community-Based Violence Prevention Initiative .....	18,000
Safe Start .....	7,000
Total .....	385,000

*Part B—State formula grants.*—The Committee provides \$75,000,000 for grants to implement comprehensive State juvenile justice plans, including community-based prevention and intervention programs and activities for juvenile offenders. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Part E—discretionary grants.*—The Committee includes \$68,000,000 for Part E discretionary grants for activities related to juvenile justice and at-risk youth. The accompanying table provides amounts for congressionally-designated activities, which are incorporated by reference in the bill.

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
A Place Called Home, Los Angeles, CA	Health, Nutrition and Well-being Program	300
ABC Unified School District, Cerritos, CA	Hawaiian Gardens Gang Prevention/Drop Out Intervention Program	100
Abraham House, Bronx, NY	Abraham House Programs for At-Risk Youth	100
Academy School of Careers, El Paso, TX	Project Salud	100
Alameda County Social Services, Oakland, CA	Family Visitation Center	200
An Achievable Dream, Inc., Newport News, VA	An Achievable Dream Academy	600
Art Center of the Grand Prairie, Stuttgart, AR	Grand Prairie Arts Initiative	155
Aztecs Rising, Los Angeles, CA	Aztecs Rising Gang Intervention Program	225
Bardavon 1869 Opera House, Inc. (UCCC), Poughkeepsie, NY	OJJDP In-School Residency and Community Outreach Support for At-Risk Youth	100
Barron County Restorative Justice Inc., Rice Lake, WI	Anti-Truancy Initiative	230
Bay County Neighborhood Resource Center/Bay Regional Medical Center, Bay City, MI	Bay County Neighborhood Resource Center	200
Beaver County Minority Coalition, Beaver Falls, PA	Beaver County Juvenile Justice Program	100
Berkshire South Regional Community Center, Great Barrington, MA	Action Adventures Out-of-School Anti-Bullying Project, southern Berkshire County	135
Big Brothers Big Sisters Foundation of New Jersey, Parsippany, NJ	New Jersey Mentoring Initiative	400
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Will and Grundy County, Joliet, IL	Expansion of One to One Mentoring Services for At-Risk Children in Will and Grundy Counties	185
Big Brothers of Rhode Island, East Providence, RI	Mentorship Program for At-Risk Children	100
Bolder Options, Minneapolis, MN	Bolder Options Replication and Expansion	300
Bolder Options, Minneapolis, MN	Bolder Options Rochester expansion	100
Boston Urban Youth Foundation, Roxbury, MA	Building Futures Educational Initiative	250
Boys & Girls Club of Rutherford County, Murfreesboro, TN	Project Learn	150
Boys & Girls Club of West San Gabriel Valley, Monterey Park, CA	Life Skills Development Program for At-risk Youth	75
Boys and Girls Club of Worcester, MA, Worcester, MA	Gang Prevention Through Targeted Outreach Program	350
Boys Town, Louisiana, New Orleans, LA	Expansion of Boys Town Louisiana Projects	147
Brick Township Police Athletic League, Brick, NJ	Brick PAL After-School and Kids Camp Program	250
Bronx Cluster of Settlement Houses, Bronx, NY	Bronx Cluster Youth Development	350
Bronx Council on the Arts, Inc., Bronx, NY	BCA Bronx WritersCorps: Youth Development/Delinquency Prevention	150
Brooklyn Academy of Music, Brooklyn, NY	BAM Brooklyn Youth Initiatives	200
Brown Memorial Baptist Church, Brooklyn, NY	MLK Strivers After School Program	150
Building Toward Wellness Community Coalition Inc., Columbus, GA	Positive Steps After School Program	75
Cabrini College, Wayne, PA	Fostering Community Partnerships for Justice: Domestic Violence Education for Pre-Service and Practicing Professionals	100
Calhoun County Family Connection, Edison, GA	Youth-Senior Partnership Program	75

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

CAPPA, Inc. (Community Alliance for Progressive Positive Action), Williamsport, PA	CAPPA Youth Intervention and Development Project: Creating Solutions	350
CASA serving Lancaster, Monterey Park, CA	Court Appointed Special Advocates Program	300
Centinela Youth Services, Inc, Hawthorne, CA	Parent Efficacy Program	200
Chabad of San Jose, Los Gatos, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education Project	200
Chabad of the Valley, Tarzana, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education (PRIDE) Project	100
Chapel Hill Missionary Baptist Church, Detroit, MI	Chapel Hill Children and Youth Art Center	500
Childhelp, Phoenix, AZ	Childhelp Children's Center-expansion of services	100
Childhelp, Flagstaff, AZ	Childhelp Mobile Advocacy Center of Northern Arizona	300
Childhelp of East Tennessee, Knoxville, TN	Child Abuse Treatment in Knox County	250
ChildHelp River Bridge Center, Glenwood Springs, CO	Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment in Garfield, Pitkin and Rio Blanco Counties	300
Children and Families First Delaware, Wilmington, DE	Functional Family Therapy for At-Risk Youth	120
City of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA	Youth Building Green	200
City of Baltimore Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Baltimore City Juvenile Screening and Diversion Program	200
City of Cairo, Cairo, GA	Holder Park Youth Resource Center After-school Program	75
City of Chino, Chino, CA	City of Chino - Chino Experience Teen Center	150
City of East Palo Alto, East Palo Alto, CA	East Palo Alto Violence Prevention Initiative	200
City of Gadsden, Gadsden, AL	Helping Families Program	250
City of Garfield, Garfield, NJ	School Resource Officer Program (Cops in School)	100
City of Hartford Police Department, Hartford, CT	Truancy Reduction Program	300
City of Jacksonville, FL, Jacksonville, FL	At-Risk Youth Intervention Initiative	1,250
City of Las Cruces, Las Cruces, NM	Juvenile Justice and Law Enforcement After School Program	250
City of Long Beach, Long Beach, CA	Youth Career Academy	300
City of Pasadena, Pasadena, CA	Neighborhood Outreach Workers (NOW) Program	250
City of Philadelphia Mural Arts Program, Philadelphia, PA	Restorative Justice Project	100
City of Richmond, Virginia, Richmond, VA	Targeted High-Risk Youth Achievement Program, Richmond, Va	200
City of Rochester, City of Rochester, NY	After-School Jobs Program for At-Risk Youth	200
City of Sacramento, Sacramento, CA	City of Sacramento Attendance Centers	280
City of Victorville, Victorville, CA	Uturn Gang Prevention Strategy	82
City of Wilson, North Carolina, Wilson, NC	Wilson Gang Prevention Initiative	100
City Parks Foundation, New York, NY	City Parks Foundation Park Activity Expansion	200
Community Connections, Inc., Bluefield, WV	Southern West Virginia Community Coalition Outreach and SADD Outreach Campaign	187
Court Appointed Special Advocate, Monterey Park, CA	Court Appointed Special Advocate Program for Infants and Toddlers	375
Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA), Monterey Park, CA	Court Appointed Special Advocates Recruitment for 38th District	200

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Covenant House Georgia, Inc, Atlanta, GA	Covenant House Georgia Rights of Passage Transitional Living Program	100
Covenant House of Florida, Orlando, FL	Parent Education and Health Support Program	200
Crawford Counseling and Community Learning Center, Roberta, GA	Parenting and Life Choices Program/Employee Assistance Program	50
D.A.R.E. New Jersey, Inc., Cranbury, NJ	Middle School Drug and Safety Prevention Project	350
Dakota Boys and Girls Ranch, Minot, ND	High-Risk Youth Program	200
Dauphin County Human Service Director, Harrisburg, PA	Family Group Conferencing Community Expansion Project	300
Delaware Mentoring Council, Newark, DE	Mentoring Initiatives for At-Risk Children and Youth Program-Wide Positive Behavior Support for At-Risk Youth	750
Devereux, King of Prussia, PA	Devereux Kids abuse and neglect prevention program	100
Devereux Kids Florida, Ocala, FL	Devereux Kids abuse and neglect prevention program	200
Dooly County Community Coalition/Family Connection, Vienna, GA	Delinquency Prevention	75
Dutchess Community College, Poughkeepsie, NY	At-risk Youth Education and Training Programs	400
Economic Opportunity Council, Wyandanch, NY	Save Our Youth Violence Prevention After School Program	300
Eden Housing, Hayward, CA	Digital Connectors At-Risk Youth Program Expansion	575
El Museo del Barrio, New York, NY	El Museo del Barrio's Bronx Educational Programs for At-Risk Youth	100
Enough is Enough, Great Falls, VA	Maryland Internet Safety 101: Empowering Parents Program	250
Fairfax Childhelp Center, Fairfax, VA	Providing specialized care and treatment for child abuse victims	200
FC Harlem, New York, NY	Lion Share Youth Development Program	100
First Gethsemane Center for Family Development, Louisville, KY	Reducing Barriers to Success for At-risk Youth	100
Florida Venture Foundation, Miami, FL	Youth Build Development Strategies	400
Focus: HOPE, Detroit, MI	Focus: HOPE Youth Media Initiative	100
Forever Family, Fort Lauderdale, FL	Forever Family Child Safety and Adoption Initiative	400
Forsyth County, North Carolina, Winston-Salem, NC	Gang and Delinquency Prevention Initiative	450
Fountain Avenue Community Development Corporation, Brooklyn, NY	After school program	100
Four Oaks Family Services & Benton/Iowa County Decategorization Project, Marengo, IA	Benton/Iowa County After-school Program and Functional Family Therapy Initiative	100
Gang Alternatives Program, Los Angeles, CA	Gang Alternatives Program for Southeast Los Angeles County	135
Girl Scouts of Greater Los Angeles, Arcadia, CA	VIVA California	115
Girl Scouts of Southwest Texas, San Antonio, TX	VIVA Texas	350
Girl Scouts of the USA, New York, NY	Girl Scouts Beyond Bars	1,350
GMHC, New York City, NY	Protecting Health and Building a Prosperous Future for At-Risk Youth in New York City	1,500
Good Shepherd Services, New York, NY	Afterschool programming for at-risk youth	250
Governor's Prevention Partnership, Hartford, CT	Prevention Initiative for At-Risk Youth	300
Green Bay YWCA, Green Bay, WI	Green Bay YWCA After-School Programs	600

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Harlem RBI, Inc., New York, NY	Youth Development through Year-Round Sports and Teams	100
Hartford Behavioral Health, Inc., Hartford, CT	The Stump the Violence Youth Leadership Institute	300
Highland Park Community Development Corporation, Brooklyn, NY	Values Education Through Cooperative Activities Program	300
Hillside Family of Agencies, Rochester, NY	Workforce Development Initiative for Youth Aging-Out of Foster Care	100
Hillside Family of Agencies, Greenbelt, MD	Hillside Family of Agencies, Hillside Work-Scholarship Connection	100
Hillside Family of Agencies, Hillside Work-Scholarship Connection, Syracuse, NY	Hillside Work-Scholarship Connection (HW-SC) Syracuse Program Expansion	300
Hollenbeck Police Activities League, Los Angeles, CA	Hollenbeck PAL-Youth Crime Prevention	250
Holy Cross Children's Services, Clinton, MI	Edward J. Overstreet Center for Excellence in Children's Services	225
Hoops Express, Inc., Newburgh, NY	Helping Our People Excel Project	250
HoustonWorks USA, Houston, TX	The Juvenile Justice Initiative	400
Human Development Commission, Caro, MI	Generations	100
Improved Solutions for Urban Systems (ISUS), Dayton, OH	Improved Solutions for Urban Systems - 21st Century Jobs for Disengaged Youth	860
Institute for the Study and Practice of Non-violence, Providence, RI	Nonviolence Program	300
i-SAFE, Inc., Carlsbad, CA	i-SAFE e-Safety Education and Outreach Initiative	630
Jackson County Court Appointed Special Advocates, Kansas City, MO	Legal Child Advocate Program	100
Jobs for Ohio, Columbus, OH	Jobs for Ohio's Graduates Dropout Prevention Initiative	100
Juvenile Justice Center, Little Rock, AR	Child Maltreatment and Delinquency Project	200
Kentler International Drawing Space Inc., Brooklyn, NY	K.I.D.S. Art Education Program	100
Kidsbridge, Trenton, NJ	Kidsbridge Life Skills, Gang Resistance and Violence Prevention	90
KidsPeace, Indianapolis, IN	KidsPeace Evansville Therapeutic Foster Care Program	200
KidsPeace, West Seneca, NY	KidsPeace Ithaca Therapeutic Foster Care Program	250
KidsPeace, Altamonte Springs, FL	KidsPeace Florida Therapeutic Foster Care Program	200
KidsVoice, Pittsburgh, PA	Child Advocacy Program	500
Klingberg Family Centers, Hartford, CT	Klingberg Child Abuse Treatment Services	400
LA County - Department of Children and Family Services, Los Angeles, CA	Independent Living Program, San Gabriel Valley	250
LACER, Los Angeles, CA	At the Park After Dark	75
Laughlin Community Center, Inc., Wheeling, WV	Laughlin Community Center Science and Mathematics Excellence Program	70
Long Beach Community College District, Long Beach, CA	Business Training for At-Risk Youth in Watts-Willowbrook	100
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe Boys and Girls Club	300
M.L. Wilson Boys & Girls Club of Harlem, New York, NY	Career Launch/Club Service	100

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Main Line Chinese Culture Center, Inc. (MLCCC), Wynnewood, PA	Preparing Philadelphia	100
Martin Luther King Jr. Freedom Center, Oakland, CA	Youth Non-Violent Leadership Program	250
Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center, Inc., Bronx, NY	Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center: Ongoing Programs for At-Risk Youth	250
Maryland Department of Juvenile Services, Baltimore, MD	Maryland Civic Justice Corps	200
Men Engaged in Nonviolence, Inc, Taos, NM	Men Engaged in Nonviolence Activities Program	24
Middlecreek Area Community Center, Beaver Springs, PA	Linkages Mentoring Program and Youth Aid Panel	100
Miller County New Vision Coalition, Inc., Colquitt, GA	"Too Good for Drugs" After-School Program	80
Montana Youth and District Court Services Bureau, Helena, MT	MONTS-Juvenile Justice System	600
Museum of the City of New York, New York, NY	Out of School Time	350
My Turn Inc., Brockton, MA	Out-of-School Youth Intervention Program	200
National Child Protection Training Center at Winona State University, Winona, MN	Child Protection Professionals Training	300
National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Judicial Training, Research & Technical Assistance	600
National Council on Crime and Delinquency (NCCD), Oakland, CA	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Center for Asian Pacific Islander Youth in Oakland	100
New Freedom Theater, Philadelphia, PA	Performing Arts Training Program (PATP)	100
New Mexico Sheriff and Police Athletic League, Albuquerque, NM	Anti-Gang Initiative and the "Be a Fit Kid" Health Mentorship Program	275
New Orleans Crime Coalition/New Orleans Business Council, New Orleans, LA	New Orleans Crime Coalition	1,500
New York City Outward Bound Center, Inc., Long Island City, NY	At-Risk Students Program Tennis, Sports, Literacy, and Education Programs for High Risk New York City Young People from Low-Income Families	200
New York Junior Tennis League, Woodside, NY	Families	100
North Alabama Child Maltreatment Collaborative, Huntsville, AL	National Children's Advocacy Center	350
North Carolina Mentoring Partnership, Raleigh, NC	North Carolina Mentoring Partnership: Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Youth	400
North East Florida Education Consortium, Palatka, FL	North East Florida Education Consortium Dropout Prevention	450
Northern Forest Canoe Trail, Waitsfield, VT	Northern Forest Explorers Outdoor Program	300
Northwest Bronx Community and Clergy Coalition, Bronx, NY	Sistas and Brothas (S&B) United Youth Leadership Program	100
Ocean Tides School, Narragansett, RI	School to Work Program	200
Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, Richmond, VA	Gang Prevention Coordination Program	100
Ogden City School District, Ogden, UT	Ogden Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Initiative	375
Ohel Children, New York, NY	Ohel At-Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention program	250
Ohel Children's Home & Family Services, Teaneck, NJ	Ohel At-Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention Program	200

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Ohel Childrens Home and Family Services, Brooklyn, NY	At Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention Program	350
Ohel Children's Home and Family Services, Miami Beach, FL	At Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention Program	200
Ohio Assn of Police Athletic/Activities League , Euclid, OH	Ohio Police Assn of Police Athletic/Activities League Cops and Kids	200
Old Bethel UMC , Charleston, SC	Bethel Community Outreach Program	300
Olive Crest - Pacific Northwest, Tacoma, WA	Push to Permanence Project	500
Olive Crest Treatment Centers, Santa Ana, CA	Olive Crest Independent Living Skills for At-Risk Youth	500
Operation QT, Inc., Paradise Valley, AZ	Operation Quality Time After School Program	300
Orange Police Department, Orange, MA	Implementation of the Training Active Bystanders Program and Enhancing Community Policing, Athol, Orange, Fitchburg, Greenfield, Holyoke and Pittsfield, MA	620
Orangeburg Consolidated School District Five, Orangeburg, SC	Alternative Youth Education Program	500
Orlando Health, Orlando, FL	Orlando Health Orange County Children's Advocacy Center Programs	250
Outright Vermont, Burlington, VT	Rural Vermont Bullying Initiative	100
Overtown Youth Center, Inc., Miami, FL	At Risk Youth Program	100
PACE Center for Girls, Inc., Jacksonville, FL	PACEWorks!	400
Pasadena Symphony Association, Pasadena, CA	Music Access and Education for At-Risk Youth	75
Patrick Henry Community College, Martinsville, VA	At Risk Youth Program	300
Pawtucket Police Department, Pawtucket, RI	Pawtucket at risk youth program	100
Pee Dee Boys and Girls Club, Florence, SC	At-risk youth intervention	400
Pemi Youth Center, Plymouth, NH	Pemi Youth Center After School Program	125
PEOPLE TO PEOPLE, Newport News, VA	PEOPLE TO PEOPLE Neighborhood Center Pilot Project, Newport News, Virginia	200
Philadelphia Teen Court, Philadelphia, PA	Teen Court Program	100
Phoenix Academy, Lake View Terrace, CA	Strengthening Family and Mental Health Services for Underserved Youth in Los Angeles County	800
Phoenix Academy of Orange County, Santa Ana, CA	Strengthening Family and Substance Abuse Services for At-Risk Youth	230
Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners, Clearwater, FL	Pinellas County At-Risk Youth Diversion Prevention Project	300
Police Athletic League, Norristown, PA	Norristown Youth Program Support	90
Police Athletic League, Toledo Ohio, OH	Police Athletic League Youth Center	300
Polk County Sheriff, Bartow, FL	Polk County Gang Prevention Initiative	250
Project Avary (Alternative Ventures to At-Risk Youth), Novato, CA	Building Skills and Capacity of Children of Prisoners	125
Project Goal , Providence , RI	Champions of Change/Project Goal	100
Project Rebound, Inc., Columbus, GA	Juvenile Diversion Program	250
Pulaski County Youth Services, Little Rock , AR	Youth Initiative to Encourage Learning and Development	350
Ramsey County Community Corrections, St. Paul, MN	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI)	560
Restoration Ministries, Harvey, IL	Project Intercept	200

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Resurrection Health Care, Broadview, IL	Multi-Systemic Therapy Program	210
Richmond Black Firefighters Association , Richmond, CA	Richmond Youth Academy	250
Richmond Police Activities League, Richmond, CA	Changing Habits, Attitudes & Mind Program (CHAMP)	390
Rocking the Boat, Inc., Bronx, NY	RTB Education Programs for At-Risk Youth	250
Safe Harbor Child Advocacy Center, Inc., Madison, WI	Child Abuse Victim Services	195
Saint Joseph's University , Philadelphia, PA	Saint Joseph's University Institute for Violence Research and Prevention	200
San Fernando Valley Partnership, Inc., San Fernando, CA	Chicas in Control and Succeeding	450
Santa Clara County of Education, San Jose, CA	Information Network for Student Success	400
Save Our Future, Inc, Los Angeles, CA	Save Our Future/Mothers On the March After-School Program, Intervention & Enrichment	300
Save the Children, Westport, CT	Arkansas Rural Literacy Program	300
School Biz Match, Inc., Washington, DC	Pilot program to be run in various sites in the District of Columbia within the business sector, community-based operations and the school district, including charter schools when appropriate.	200
School District of Palm Beach County, West Palm Beach, FL	Career Education for At-risk and Adjudicated Youth	200
Sea Research Foundation, Mystic, CT	Immersion Presents After School Program	100
SGA Youth and Family Services, Chicago, IL	Juvenile Justice Program	185
Sid Jacobson Jewish Community Center, East Hills, NY	Youth Action After School Program	200
Siena College, Loudonville, NY	Siena College At-Risk Youth Service Initiative	100
Soundview Community in Action, Bronx, NY	Outreach Programs for At-Risk Youth	300
South Central Community Services, Inc., Chicago, IL	SCCS, Inc. At-Risk Youth program	300
Southwest Georgia Humanitarian Rural Outreach, Bainbridge, GA	Rural Outreach Program	75
Sports Foundation, Inc., Bronx, NY	SFI Anti-Drug Programs for At-risk Youth, Bronx, New York	125
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Fort Yates, ND	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Child Protection Services	350
Starfinder Foundation, Philadelphia, PA	Youth Soccer Gang Prevention Initiative	100
Starr Commonwealth , Detroit, MI	Bridges to Responsible Adulthood	200
Starr Commonwealth - Van Wert, Van Wert, OH	Expansion of the Adolescent Delinquency Program	500
Starr Commonwealth Battle Creek Campus, Battle Creek, MI	Expansion of Starr Commonwealth Battle Creek Parent- Referred Day Treatment Program	300
State of Maryland, Baltimore, MD	Gang Prevention and Intervention Services	500
Suffolk University, Boston, MA	Juvenile Justice Center at Suffolk University	250
Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, San Jose, CA	Santa Clara County Delinquency Model Court	170
Taylor County Family Matters Collaborative, Butler, GA	Taylor County After-School Program	145
Team Focus, Inc., Mobile, AL	Team Focus Youth Mentoring Program	500
Texas A&M Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, TX	Texas A&M Adjudicated Youth Program	250

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

The After-School Corporation, New York, NY	After School Apprenticeship Program (ASAP)	100
The ARISE Foundation, North Palm Beach, FL	Life-Management Skills Intervention/Re-entry Program for High Risk Youth	550
The Armory Track & Field Foundaiton, New York, NY	Armory Prep	150
The Bronx Community Pride Center, Bronx, NY	BCPC: Youth Academic Programming	200
The City of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, CT	Lighthouse After-School Program	350
The Community Foundation for Greater New Haven, New Haven, CT	Interventions for Children and Families Exposed to Violence and Trauma	500
The Emily Krzyzewski Center , Durham, NC	The Pioneer Scholars Program at the Emily Krzyzewski Center in Durham, North Carolina	200
The Family Center of Columbus, Inc., Columbus, GA	Family Disintegration Prevention and Assistance Project	200
The Friendship Circle, West Bloomfield, MI	Self-Determination Anti-Bullying in LifeTown (SAIL) Project - Year 2	150
The Manor, Jonesville, MI	The Manor Children's Safety and Security Updates Project	200
The Mount Sinai Adolescent Health Center, New York, NY	Violence and Risky Behaviors Prevention	100
The POINT Community Development Corporation, Bronx , NY	The POINT Community Development Corporation:Youth Development Program	150
The Women's Sports Foundation, Atlanta, GA	GoGirlGo! Atlanta Initiative	100
Town of Riverhead, Riverhead, NY	Anti-gang initiative for at-risk age groups	100
Turning the Hearts Center, Chula Vista, CA	Turning the Hearts Center Project	100
U.S. Soccer Foundation, Washington, DC	Champions of Change: Youth Soccer Gang Prevention Initiative	200
Uhlich Children, Chicago, IL	Violence Prevention and Youth Leadership Program	100
United Methodist Children, Selma, AL	Security and IT Improvements	150
United Way for Southeastern Michigan, Detroit, MI	United Way Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Program	250
United Way of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA	Youth Futures Commission	275
United Way of North Central Massachusetts, Fitchburg, MA	Engaging Youth for Success	350
University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO	Colorado Schools Safety Program (CSSP)	300
University of Mobile, Mobile, AL	University of Mobile, AL RamKids Program	850
University of Montana, Missoula, MT	Montana Safe Schools	250
Urban Dreams, Des Moines, IA	Urban Children are Really Essential (U-CARE)	200
Valley Youth House Committee, Inc. , Allentown, PA	Adventure Challenge Education for Gang Prevention (ACE)	200
Virginia Mentoring Partnership, Richmond, VA	Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Youth	200
Washington State Mentors, Issaquah, WA	Mentoring Initiative for At-Risk Youth	300
Watson Children's Shelter, Missoula, MT	Western Montana Children's Safety Initiative	500
Wayne County Department of Children and Family Services, Detroit, MI	Wayne County, Michigan Juvenile Mentoring Program	400
Weld County Juvenile Assessment Center, Greeley, CO	Truancy Response and Intervention Program	245
Widener University, Chester, PA	Widener University Delaware County Violence Prevention Collaborative	200
WNY Americorps, West Seneca, NY	WNY Americorps for Programs to Assist At-Risk Youth	200

OJP - Juvenile Justice  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Woodstock Film Festival, inc (WFF), Woodstock, NY	Woodstock Film Festival Youth Initiative / Youth at Risk	30
World Vision, Philippi, WV	World Vision Appalachia at-risk youth programming	250
Yakima County, Yakima, WA	Yakima County Gang Initiative	400
YMCA of Burbank, Burbank, CA	Youth Development and Government Program	45
York County Children's Advocacy Center, York, PA	SAFE Team	60
Youth Advocate Programs, Inc. (YAP), Charleston, SC	South Carolina Gang Initiative	250
Youth and Tennis, Inc., Jamaica, NY	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention	150
Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice, Bronx, NY	Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice: Education Programs for At-Risk Youth	250
Youth Power Center , Washington, DC	Youth Power Center Tutoring and Mentoring Program	350
YWCA Greater Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Expansion	100
YWCA Pasadena, Pasadena, CA	Project Empowerment	75
ZERO TO THREE, Hattiesburg, MS	Development and Education Program for At-risk Girls	300
ZERO TO THREE, Douglasville, GA	Court Teams for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	100
Zero to Three , Cherokee, NC	ZERO TO THREE: Douglas County Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	100
	Cherokee Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	100

*Youth mentoring grants.*—To support the critical work of national, regional and local organizations in nurturing and mentoring at risk children and youth, the Committee recommends \$80,000,000 for competitive, peer-reviewed youth mentoring grants. As part of its portion of the departmental spending plan, OJJDP is directed to detail the criteria and methodology that will be used to award these grants. It is expected that national programs that have received funding under the Byrne discretionary program or the Juvenile Justice Part E program will be eligible for funding under this competitive grant program. This funding level is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Title V—Incentive grants.*—The Committee provides \$62,000,000 for community-based delinquency prevention grants, equal to the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and the request. Within funds provided, \$25,000,000 is for tribal youth delinquency prevention programs, \$10,000,000 is for gang prevention education and training, and \$25,000,000 is activities related to the enforcement of underage drinking laws. These amounts are equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Investigation and prosecution of child abuse program.*—The Committee recommends \$20,000,000 for programs authorized under the Victims of Child Abuse Act, including grants to provide technical assistance and training to professionals involved in investigating, prosecuting, and treating child abuse. This funding level is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Juvenile accountability block grants.*—The Committee provides \$55,000,000 for grants to support State and local government efforts to combat serious and violent juvenile crime. This funding level is equal to the budget request and the fiscal year 2009 level.

*Community-based violence prevention initiatives.*—The Committee provides \$18,000,000 for community-based strategies that focus on street-level outreach, conflict mediation, and the changing of community norms to reduce violence.

*Safe start.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$7,000,000 for the Safe Start Initiative, which helps prevent and reduce the impact of children's exposure to violence in both the home and the community. The Department had proposed funding for this program through the Office on Violence Against Women.

*Mental health services for youth in foster care.*—The Committee recognizes the importance of improving the quality of mental health services for youth in foster care or who have been adopted from foster care, and encourages OJJDP to work with the Administration for Children and Families at the Department of Health and Human Services and non-profit organization with expertise on the mental health needs of such children when developing and implementing related programs.

*Child exploitation prevention and interdiction.*—The Committee notes that the Protect Our Children Act (P.L. 110-401) directs the Attorney General to designate a senior departmental official to coordinate the development of a national strategy for child exploitation prevention and interdiction. The Committee urges the Attorney General to designate such an official as soon as possible.

*Salaries and expenses.*—The Committee provides management and administrative funding for Department of Justice grant offices through a salaries and expenses appropriation under OJP. As a re-

sult, no administrative overhead costs shall be deducted from the programs funded from this account.

*Reprogramming of prior year funds.*—Funds appropriated in Public Law 111–8, Division B—Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009, under the heading Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Juvenile Justice, Part E-discretionary grants, to Allegheny County for an after-school initiative at the Harrison Township Community Center is reprogrammed to the Allegheny County Housing authority for the same purpose.

PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS BENEFITS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$119,100,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	70,100,000
Recommended in the bill .....	70,100,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	– 49,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The Public Safety Officers Benefits (PSOB) program provides benefits to public safety officers who are severely injured in the line of duty and to the families and survivors of public safety officers whose death was the direct and proximate result of an injury sustained in the line of duty.

The Committee includes \$70,100,000 for PSOB, which is \$49,000,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the request. Within funds provided, \$61,000,000 is for death benefits for survivors, an amount estimated by the Congressional Budget Office that is considered mandatory for scorekeeping purposes. The Committee also recommends \$9,100,000, as requested, for disability and education benefits for injured officers.

COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING SERVICES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$550,500,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	761,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	802,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+251,500,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+41,000,000

The Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) was established to assist State and local law enforcement agencies through grants, training and technical assistance to prevent and combat crime in communities across the Nation. The Committee recommends \$802,000,000 for COPS programs, which is \$251,500,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$41,000,000 above the request.

The Committee directs COPS, as part of the Department’s spending plan for fiscal year 2010, to provide details of its planned training and technical assistance (T&TA) activities and research and statistics activities. The spending plan shall differentiate those activities performed via grant, cooperative agreement, interagency agreement, under contract, and performed directly by COPS. In addition, the Committee directs the Department, as part of the fiscal year 2011 budget submission, to provide details regarding T&TA activities and research and statistics activities planned by COPS for fiscal year 2011.

The accompanying table details funding recommended for COPS programs for fiscal year 2010:

(In thousands of dollars)

Program	Recommended
COPS Hiring Program .....	\$298,000
COPS Technology and Interoperability .....	123,000
Meth Hot Spots .....	32,000
Transfer to DEA .....	(10,000)
Tribal meth enforcement grants .....	(5,000)
Tribal Law Enforcement .....	40,000
DNA Backlog Reduction .....	151,000
Debbie Smith DNA Backlog grants .....	(146,000)
Post Conviction DNA Testing grants .....	(5,000)
Second Chance Act/Offender Re-entry .....	100,000
Adult and Juvenile Offender Reentry Demonstration Grants .....	(37,000)
State, tribal and local reentry courts .....	(10,000)
Grants for family-based substance abuse treatment .....	(7,500)
Evaluate and improve education at prisons/jails/juvenile facilities .....	(2,500)
Technology careers training demonstration grants .....	(5,000)
Offender reentry substance abuse and criminal justice collaboration .....	(13,000)
Offender mentoring and transitional services .....	(15,000)
Prisoner reentry research .....	(10,000)
Child Sexual Predator Elimination/Sex Offender Management .....	28,000
Sex Offender Management .....	(15,000)
National Sex Offender Registry .....	(1,000)
Secure Our Schools Act .....	16,000
Community Policing Development .....	14,000
Total .....	802,000

*COPS Hiring Program.*—The Committee recommends \$298,000,000 for the COPS universal hiring program. These grants are available to State, local, and tribal governments for the hiring and rehiring of additional career law enforcement officers for deployment in community-oriented policing across the nation. An additional \$1,000,000,000 was provided for this program as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111–5.

*Law enforcement technologies and interoperable communications.*—The Committee includes \$123,000,000 for grants to State, local, and tribal law enforcement to develop and acquire effective technologies and interoperable communications that assist in the prevention and response to crime. COPS is directed to ensure that all equipment funded under this program meets the requirements of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Office of Law Enforcement Standards. The accompanying table details funding for congressionally-designated activities, which are incorporated by reference in the bill:

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Abington Township Police Department, Abington, PA	Technology upgrades and enhancements for police vehicles, Abington	195
Adams County Department of Emergency Services, Gettysburg, PA	Radio System Upgrade	200
Alabama Department of Corrections (ADOC), Montgomery, AL	Electronic Training and Security Tools (ETAST) Phase III	250
Alamosa Police Department, Alamosa, CO	Alamosa Public Safety Mobile DATA	125
Allentown Police Department, Allentown, PA	Lehigh and Northampton Counties Interoperability Development Project	800
Anson County Sheriff, Wadesboro, NC	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	500
Ardmore Police Department, Ardmore, AL	Law Enforcement Technology Equipment and Upgrades	20
Baldwin County Commission, Fairhope, AL	Baldwin County, AL courthouse security	500
Baldwin County Sherriff, Milledgeville, GA	Regional Dispatch and Emergency Management Center	250
Baltimore County Sheriff, Towson, MD	Local Law Enforcement Enhancement	200
Bartlesville Police Department, Bartlesville, OK	Bartlesville Police Department Mobile Data Technology	800
Beaver County Sheriff, Beaver, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	120
Ben Hill County Sheriff, Fitzgerald, GA	in Car Video Systems	55
Blacksburg Police Department, Blacksburg, VA	New River Valley Emergency System	250
Borough of Cliffside Park, Cliffside Park, NJ	Replacement of Computer Hardware and Software for Dispatching Radio System	270
Borough of East Rutherford, East Rutherford, NJ	East Rutherford Police & Courts Facility	500
Borough of Lavallette, Lavallette, NJ	Lavallette Police Department - Communications Update	185
Borough of Leonia, Leonia, NJ	Lap Top Computers for Patrol Vehicles	33
Borough of McKees Rocks, McKees Rocks, PA	Surveillance Camera Network Installation	120
Borough of Pompton Lakes, Pompton Lakes, NJ	Pompton Lakes Police and Emergency Services Interoperability Equipment Upgrade	240
Borough of Quakertown, Quakertown, PA	Law enforcement technology and communications	100
Bourbon County Sheriff, Paris, KY	Bourbon County Law Enforcement Technology	50
Brazos County Sheriff, Bryan, TX	Brazos County Law Enforcement Technology	200
Briarcliff Manor Police Department, Briarcliff Manor, NY	Briarcliff Manor, NY, Police Department Equipment	40
Butler Police Department, Butler, GA	Law enforcement equipment	75
California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Mobile Live Scan Fingerprint Devices for LA and Orange Counties	100
Calvert County Department of Public Safety, Prince Frederick, MD	Calvert Mobile Data Terminals	500
Cambria 9th Public Service Providers, Patton, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	300
Carlisle Borough, Carlisle, PA	Public Safety Video Surveillance Project	200
Carroll County Sheriff, Carrollton, OH	Carroll County Sheriff Equipment Upgrade	140
Cayuga County, NY, Auburn, NY	Cayuga County Interoperable Communications Upgrade	300
Centre County Commissioners, Bellefonte, PA	Centre County - 911 Emergency Communication System Upgrade	250
Charles Mix County, Lake Andes, SD	Charles Mix County 911 System Upgrade	100
Charlevoix Cheboygan Emmet Central Dispatch Authority, Petoskey, MI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	300

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Chester County Sherriff, Chester, SC	Chester County Communications Tower	300
Chesterfield County Police Department, Chesterfield, VA	Police Officer Communications Radio Project	930
Christian County Sheriff, Taylorville, IL	Interoperable Communications Upgrades	250
City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Gun Location Technology and Policing Project	750
City of Albertville, Albertville, AL	Public Safety Mobile Data System	1,400
City of Albuquerque, Public Safety Department, Albuquerque, NM	Comprehensive Information System Project	400
City of Arlington, Arlington, GA	Wireless IP Technology System	100
City of Auburn, Auburn, AL	City of Auburn Mobile Data System	100
City of Auburn, Auburn, WA	Valley Cities Public Safety Regional Broadband Network	150
City of Banning, Banning, CA	Banning Multi-Agency Interoperability Capability	300
City of Barrackville, WV Police Department, Barrackville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
City of Bastrop, Bastrop, TX	Bastrop Police Department Technology and Equipment	40
City of Bayonne, NJ , Bayonne, NJ	Bayonne Law Enforcement Technology	100
City of Bethlehem, WV Police Department, Bethlehem, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
City of Birmingham, Alabama, Birmingham, AL	Urban Crime Prevention Initiative	250
City of Blue Island Police Department, Blue Island, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	150
City of Buffalo, Buffalo, NY	TraCS Equipment	600
City of Central Falls Police Dept, Central Falls, RI	Cops Technology	100
City of Chester, Chester, IL	Public Safety Technology Improvements for the City of Chester	100
City of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH	800MHz Radios for Cincinnati	625
City of Cochran Police Department, Cochran, GA	Police Department Technology Program	70
City of College Park, GA, College Park, GA	Emergency Operations Center Technology Upgrade	450
City of Commerce City, CO, Commerce City, CO	Interoperable communications equipment	110
City of Corona, Corona, CA	Interoperability Upgrades for the City of Corona Police Department	150
City of Detroit, Detroit Police Department, Detroit, MI	City of Detroit - Police Northwestern "District Wide CB Patrol"	350
City of Dodge City Police Department, Dodge City, KS	Dodge City Police Department Equipment and Technology Upgrade Project	200
City of Doral , Doral, FL	City of Doral Public Safety & Surveillance System	750
City of Durango, Durango, CO	Durango Police Department Interoperability Completion	300
City of East Point, East Point, GA	East Point Law Enforcement Technology Upgrade	100
City of Eugene, Eugene , OR	Public Safety Technology Improvements	150
City of Farmington, Farmington, NM	Interoperability Radio Communications, Farmington, NM	100
City of Fayetteville, Fayetteville, NC	Regional Public Safety Communications	300
City of Foley, Alabama, Foley, AL	Foley Public Safety Initiative	400

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN	Palm Print AFIS	600
City of Gadsden, Gadsden, AL	Law Enforcement and Forensic Science Technology and Equipment	150
City of Galesburg, Galesburg, IL	Upgrade 911 systems to a Next Generation NG-911 digital system	100
City of Gardena, Gardena, CA	Gardena Public Safety Surveillance	350
City of Gary, Gary, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Gary Police Department	92
City of Gilbert Police Department, Gilbert, MN	City of Gilbert Integrated Radio System	35
City of Glen Cove, Glen Cove, NY	Law Enforcement Technology Improvements	615
City of Glendale, California, Glendale, CA	Foothill Regional Forensic DNA Lab	500
City of Greenville, North Carolina, Greenville, NC	City of Greenville Law Enforcement Technology Improvement Initiative	100
City of Greenwood, Greenwood, MS	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	100
City of Hackensack, Hackensack, NJ	The City of Hackensack Police and Emergency Services Communication Upgrade Project	200
City of Hampton, Virginia, Hampton, VA	Emergency Communications System, Hampton, VA	200
City of Hialeah, Hialeah, FL	Equipment Upgrades	250
City of Hickory Hills, Hickory Hills, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	125
City of Highland, Highland, CA	Highland Police Technology Program	500
City of Hobart, Hobart, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Hobart Police Department	90
City of Hollywood, Hollywood, FL	Law Enforcement Technology	400
City of Homestead, FL, Homestead, FL	Emergency Wireless Law Enforcement Technology	500
City of Hot Springs, Arkansas Police Department, Hot Springs, AR	Mobile Data Terminals	325
City of Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN	Automated Fingerprint Identification System and IMPD Technology Equipment	300
City of Jackson, Jackson, MS	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	100
City of Jacksonville Beach, FL, Jacksonville, FL	Interoperability P-25 Compliant Radio System	250
City of Kannapolis, Kannapolis, NC	Kannapolis Regional Radio Upgrade	575
City of Kirkland, Kirkland, WA	Emergency Services Equipment - City of Kirkland	300
City of Lacey, Lacey, WA	Records Management System	200
City of Lockhart, Lockhart, TX	Lockhart Police Department Technology	125
City of Long Beach, Long Beach, CA	Forensic Investigation Equipment	100
City of Maitland, Florida, Maitland, FL	Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades	400
City of Maryville, Maryville, TN	Blount County Communications System	750
City of Mascoutah, Mascoutah, IL	Fiber Optic Connection Upgrade for the City of Mascoutah	650
City of Middletown Police Department, Middletown, NY	Enhanced Communications and Vehicular Video Digital Cameras and Mobile Data Computers for Public Safety	65
City of Minneapolis, Minneapolis, MN	Police Communications Upgrade	100
City of Monterey Park, Monterey Park, CA	Firearms/Weapons Judgmental Simulator	250
City of Moultrie Police Department, Moultrie, GA	Mountain Village Public Safety Communications System	100
City of Mountain Village, Mountain Village, CO	System	275
City of Newark, Newark, CA	Public Safety Interoperability Program	200

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Newport, Newport, RI	National Incident Management System	200
City of Norfolk, Virginia, Norfolk, VA	Police Tactical And Surveillance Equipment And Other Technologies	100
City of Norman, Norman, OK	Norman Public Safety Networking Initiative	250
City of Oakland, California , Oakland, CA	Automatic Vehicle Locating (AVL) Systems	250
City of Orange Township, Orange, NJ	Public Safety Information Technology Restoration	450
City of Orlando, FL, Orlando, FL	Innovative Response to Improve Safety (I.R.I.S.)	200
City of Oxnard, Oxnard, CA	City of Oxnard Comprehensive Critical Assets Remote Surveillance Program	300
City of Ozark, Ozark, AL	Ozark Police Department Technology Improvements	140
City of Paden City, WV Police Department, Paden City, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
City of Palm Bay, Palm Bay, FL	Palm Bay Mobile Command Unit Communications System	600
City of Parsons, WV , Parsons, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
City of Philippi, WV Police Department, Philippi, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	City of Phoenix COPLINK	500
City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	City of Phoenix Enhanced Mobile Data Security	300
City of Piedmont, WV Police Department, Piedmont, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
City of Poughkeepsie Police Department, Poughkeepsie, NY	CCTV and Interoperability Upgrades and Enhancement	100
City of Raleigh, North Carolina, Raleigh, NC	City of Raleigh: Rapid Fingerprint Identification	300
City of Redlands, Redlands, CA	Redlands Police Information Technology Infrastructure	350
City of Redondo Beach , Redondo Beach, CA	Redondo Beach Emergency Operations Center	200
City of Rialto Police Department, Rialto, CA	Rialto Police Department Surveillance Cameras	300
City of Riverside Public Utilities, Riverside, CA	City of Riverside Public Utilities Infrastructure Video Security	1,000
City of Rockford, Illinois, Rockford, IL	Rockford Crimefighting Technology Initiative	250
City of Rockville, MD, Rockville, MD	Police Technology Upgrade Project	100
City of Round Rock, Round Rock, TX	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	300
City of Saginaw, Police Department, Saginaw, MI	Digital Surveillance Cameras	300
City of San Antonio (San Antonio Police Department), San Antonio, TX	City of San Antonio, TX Police Technology Improvements	1,100
City of San Diego, California, San Diego, CA	San Diego Crime Lab Technology	200
City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	Mobile Data Computer Replacement	710
City of Seattle, Seattle, WA	Seattle Police Forensics and Digital Imaging Infrastructure Upgrade	300
City of Stafford, Stafford, TX	Stafford Emergency Operations Center Emergency Management Equipment	100
City of Stamping Ground, Kentucky, Stamping Ground, KY	City of Stamping Ground Law Enforcement Technology	30
City of Suffolk, Suffolk, VA	Suffolk Police Department Technology Enhancement Initiative	70
City of Summit, NJ, Summit, NJ	Regional Police and Emergency Management Interoperable Communication Network and Facility	1,000

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
City of Sumter Police Department, Sumter, SC	Sumter - Police Technology	100
City of Sunbury, Sunbury, PA	Video Surveillance Project and other IT issues	200
City of Sunny Isles Beach , Sunny Isles Beach , FL	Law Enforcement and Communications Equipment	200
City of Surprise, Arizona, Surprise, AZ	City of Surprise Police Officer Technology Upgrade	200
City of Tamarac, Tamarac, FL	Interoperable Communications System	300
City of Tempe, AZ, Tempe, AZ	Public Safety Communications/Interoperability	300
City of Torrington, Torrington, CT	Public Safety Communications Project	175
City of Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Tucson Finger Imaging Upgrade System	150
City of Union City, NJ , Union City, NJ	Union City Law Enforcement Technology	350
City of Valdosta, Valdosta, GA	Valdosta/Lowndes Joint Crime Lab	500
City of Vancouver, Vancouver, WA	Records Management System in Vancouver, WA	300
City of Waupun Police Department, Waupun, WI	P25 Compliance/Communication Upgrade	30
City of West Columbia, West Columbia, SC	West Columbia Law Enforcement Communications	350
City of West Haven, West Haven, CT	West Haven Two-Way Public Safety Radio System Replacement Project	300
City of Yakima, WA, Yakima, WA	Yakima County Integrated Public Safety System	500
City of York Police Department, York, SC	City of York Police Department Technology and Records Management Upgrade	100
City of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA	Crime Scene Investigations Mobile Technology	140
Clarion County Commissioners, Clarion, PA	North West Central Regional Interoperability Strategic Telecommunication Plan	500
Clark County, LAS VEGAS, NV	Justice Information Sharing System	300
Clay County Sheriff, Liberty, MO	Clay and Platte Counties Communications Interoperability Project	660
Cleburne Police Department, Cleburne, TX	City of Cleburne Communication Technology Upgrade	150
Cobb County Government, Marietta, GA	Regional Communications and Interoperability	1,000
Collier County, Florida, Naples, FL	Emergency Services Technology, Collier County, Florida	800
County of Bergen, Hackensack, NJ	County-Wide Public Safety Radio Communication System	215
County of Calaveras, San Andreas, CA	Law Enforcement Radio and Data Communications	1,250
County of Franklin, VA, Rocky Mount, VA	Microwave ring between Franklin, Patrick and Henry Counties and the City of Martinsville for Public Safety/E911 Voice and Data Interoperability	200
County of Fresno, Fresno, CA	Regional Data Interoperability	150
County of Kings (California), Hanford, CA	Interoperable Emergency Communications System	300
County of Orangeburg, Orangeburg, SC	Law Enforcement Automated Data Repository (LEADR)	500
County of Volusia, DeLand, FL	Evidence Photo Management System	150
Cumberland County Government, Carlisle, PA	Emergency Communications Infrastructure	200
Dallas County Sheriff's Department, Dallas, TX	Dallas County Intake/Release Project	100
Deep East Texas Council of Governments, Jasper, TX	Collaborative Fingerprint & Palmprint Identification	1,000

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Dilley PD, Pearsall PD, Floresville PD, Atascosa County , Dilley, Pearsall, Jourdanton, TX	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	100
Dobbs Ferry Police Department, Dobbs Ferry, NY	Equipment for Vehicles	50
Downriver Community Conference, Southgate, MI	Coordinated Emergency Dispatch and Mutual Aid System Preparedness IV	650
East Bay Regional Communications System Authority, Dublin, CA	East Bay Regional Communications System	1,050
Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority, Indio, CA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	500
E-Com Dispatch Center, Homewood, IL	E-Com Power Supply/Generator	100
El Paso County Sheriff's Office, El Paso, TX	El Paso County Sheriff's Office-Border Crime Enhancements	250
El Paso Police Department, El Paso, TX	Public Safety Radio Voice/Data Systems Interoperability	250
Elgin Police Department, Elgin, IL	Elgin Police Car Video Recording Replacement	460
Escambia County, FL, Pensacola, FL	Escambia Emergency Radio Infrastructure Replacement	1,000
Essex County Office of Emergency Management, Newark, NJ	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	1,000
Etowah County Commission, Gadsden, AL	Interoperable Communications & Centralized Dispatch System	1,000
Fairview, WV Police Department, Fairview, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Fayette County Commissioners, Uniontown, PA	Fayette County Public Safety Equipment Upgrade	1,000
Fleming County Fiscal Court, Flemingsburg, KY	Law Enforcement Equipment Project, Fleming County, KY	48
Florida Department of Law Enforcement, Tallahassee, FL	Florida Silver Alert Program	100
Fort Mill Police Department, Fort Mill, SC	Fort Mill Police Department Technology Implementation	300
Franklin County Emergency Services Alliance, Chambersburg, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	300
Garrard County Fiscal Court, Lancaster, KY	Garrard County Law Enforcement Technology	145
Glencoe Department of Public Safety, Glencoe, IL	Atmospheric Detection Equipment For the Northern Illinois Police Alarm System	675
Gonzales Sheriff's Office, Gonzales, TX	Gonzales Sheriff's Office Technology and Equipment	80
Greene County, Missouri, Springfield , MO	Equipment for Emergency Operations Center	350
Grimes County Sheriff, Anderson , TX	Grimes County Law Enforcement Technology	100
Hagerstown Police Department, Hagerstown, MD	Hagerstown Radio Equipment Acquisition	750
Harrisville, WV Police Department, Harrisville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Hastings Police Department, Hastings on Hudson, NY	Hastings, NY, Police Department License Plate Reader	17
Haverhill Police Department, Haverhill, MA	Haverhill Police Radio Project	150
Hays County Sheriff Department, San Marcos, TX	Hays County Police Equipment and Technology Upgrades	40
Hearne Police Department, Hearne, TX	City of Hearne Law Enforcement Technology	100
Henderson County Sheriff's Department, Hendersonville, NC	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	300
Henry County Sheriff, New Castle, KY	Law Enforcement Equipment Upgrades, Henry County, KY	82

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Hornell Police Department, Hornell, NY	Hornell Police Intelligence Equipment	197
Huntington Police Department and Cabell Co. Sheriff, Huntington, WV	Joint Technology Initiative and Digital Communication	665
Idaho State Police, Meridian, ID	Idaho State Police (ISP) participation in Criminal Information Sharing Alliance Network (CISAnet)	500
Indiana County Commissioners, Indiana, PA	Indiana County Public Safety Radio Communications Project	650
Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN	IP Video Technology in Federally Assisted Housing	100
Inyo County, Independence, CA	Emergency Operations Center	240
Itasca County, Grand Rapids, MN	Itasca County 9-11 Radio System	500
Jackson Township Police Department, North Jackson, OH	Jackson Township, Mahoning County Police Facility Upgrade	100
Jacksonville Sheriff's Office, Jacksonville, FL	Atmospheric Detection Equipment for the Jacksonville Sheriff's Office	750
Jasper County Commission, Carthage, MO	Cornerstone Regional Justice Information System	250
Jasper County Sheriff, Monticello, GA	Lap Top Computers for Patrol Division	62
Jefferson City, MO, Police Department, Jefferson City, MO	Central Missouri Regional Justice Information System	1,000
Jefferson County Board of Commissioners, Louisville, GA	Jefferson County Emergency Dispatch System Upgrade and Enhancement Project	100
Jenkintown Borough Police Department, Jenkintown, PA	Equipment Upgrades, Jenkintown	40
Kanawha County Prosecuting Attorney, Charleston, WV	Kanawha Prosecutors Case Management Technological Improvements for the Kanawha County Sheriff	85
Kankakee County Sheriff, Kankakee, IL		325
La Vernia, Poth, and Stockdale PD, La Vernia, Poth, Stockdale, TX	La Vernia, Poth, and Stockdale PD equipment	100
Lafourche Parish Sheriff, Thibodaux, LA	In-Car Cameras	200
Lake County, Painesville, OH	Lake County Public Safety Radio System	1,000
Lake County, Tavares, FL	Lake County Law Enforcement Technology	800
Lake County Sheriff's Department, Crown Point, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Lake County Sheriff's Department	500
Larchmont Police Department, Larchmont, NY	Larchmont, NY, Police Department Communications System	100
Lawrence County Sheriff, New Castle, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	120
Lawrence County Sheriff, Moulton, AL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	40
Lawrence County Sherriff and 911 Center, Ironton, OH	Lawrence County Interoperable Radio/MARCS Communications Project	300
Lee County, Jonesville, VA	Law Enforcement Technology Grant for Lee County	265
Leon County, Tallahassee, FL	Joint Emergency Communications Center	200
Leon County Sheriff, Tallahassee, FL	Leon County Sheriff's Department Visual Intelligence Technology	300
Liberal Police Department, Liberal, KS	City of Liberal Equipment Upgrade Project	200
Linn County Sheriff, Marion, IA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	145
Lorain County Sheriff, Elyria, OH	Lorain County Sheriffs Office Law Enforcement Digital Radio Project	245

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Louisiana Sheriffs' Association, Baton Rouge, LA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	300
Louisville Metropolitan Police Department, Louisville, KY	Mobile Data Computers	300
Lower Providence Township, Eagleville, PA	Police Department Technology Improvements	200
Lycoming County Commissioners, Williamsport, PA	Lycoming County Emergency Operations Center Equipment	250
Lynwood, Thornton, and East Hazel Crest ETSB, Lynwood, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	350
Macomb County Emergency Management & Communications, Mount Clemens, MI	Macomb County Centralized Dispatch	500
Madison County, Wampsville, NY	Madison County Interoperable Communications System	800
Maine Warden Service, Augusta, ME	Maine Search and Rescue Enhancement Project	200
Manchester Police Department, Manchester, NH	Portable Radios, Tactical Gear and other equipment	315
Marion County, Florida, Ocala, FL	Marion County Law Enforcement Technology	200
Mass. Criminal History Systems, Boston, MA	Mass. Criminal History Systems Board Back-up Data Center	100
McGregor Police Department, McGregor, TX	City of McGregor Law Enforcement Technology	100
Medina County Sheriff, Medina, OH	Medina County Community Safety Initiative	27
Medina County Sheriffs Office, Hondo, TX	Medina Mobile Data Systems	250
Mendocino County, Ukiah, CA	Public Safety Microwave System Phase II: Expansion	250
Miami-Dade County Public Schools, Miami, FL	Technological Equipment Upgrade For Miami-Dade Schools Police Department	600
Middlesex Sheriff, Medford, MA	Middlesex House of Corrections Safety Project	800
Miller County Sheriff, Texarkana, AR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	100
Montgomery Township, Montgomery, PA	Technology Upgrade with In-Car Video Cameras	75
Morgan County, AL Commission, Decatur, AL	Mobile Data Terminal Update	160
Municipality of Aibonito, Aibonito, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	50
Municipality of Catano, Catano, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	50
Municipality of Naguabo, Naguabo, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	50
Municipality of Patillas, Patillas, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	50
Municipality of Rio Grande, Rio Grande, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	50
Municipality of Vieques, Vieques, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	50
Nassau County Police Department, Mineola, NY	Enhance Shot Spotter System	150
Nassau University Medical Center, East Meadow, NY	Sexual Assault Response Team	200
NC State Bureau of Investigation, Raleigh, NC	NC SBI Firearms and Ballistics Analysis Equipment	300
New Bedford Police Department, New Bedford, MA	Gunshot Location System	950
New Rochelle Police Department, New Rochelle, NY	New Rochelle, NY, Police Department Equipment and Training	340
New York State Police, Kingston, NY	New York State Police Scuba	135
Newport News Police Department, Newport News, VA	Newport News Law Enforcement Technology	200
North Worcester County Drug Task Force, Gardner, MA	North Worcester County Drug Task Force Interoperable Credentialing, Crime Scene & Access Control Project	400

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Northern Berks Regional Police Department , Reading, PA	Northern Berks Regional Police Department Infrastructure, Technology and Communications Project	175
Oakland County Sheriff's Office, Pontiac, MI	Oakland County Sheriff's Department Biometric Identification Enhancement Project	1,025
Office of the Sheriff, Winnebago County, Rockford, IL	Communications Equipment for Winnebago County Sheriff's Department	250
Ohio Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigation, London, OH	Enhancement of Ohio AFIS System	455
Oklahoma Department of Public Safety, Oklahoma City, OK	Statewide Public Safety Communications System	350
Oldham County Police Department, LaGrange, KY	Oldham County Mobile Data Terminal Project, KY	57
Onondaga County, Syracuse, NY	Interoperable Emergency Communications	200
Ontario County Police Department, Canandaigua, NY	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	100
Orange County Sheriff, Chelsea, VT	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	200
Ottawa County Emergency Management Agency, Port Clinton, OH	Ottawa County Public Safety Infrastructure	200
Ottumwa Police Department, Ottumwa, IA	Ottumwa Police Department Equipment	150
Pendleton County Sheriff, Falmouth, KY	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	12
Pennsboro, WV Police Department, Pennsboro, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Petersburg, WV Police Department, Petersburg, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Philadelphia Police Department, Philadelphia, PA	Gunshot Location System	500
Pierce County, WA Sheriff Department, Tacoma, WA	Region 43, Pierce County Metropolitan Public Safety Communications Interoperable First Responder Emergency Communication System	500
Pima County Sheriff's Department, Tucson, AZ	PCWIN Countywide Public Safety Interoperable Communications System	200
Pinellas County Sheriff, Largo, FL	Joint Use Firing Range	250
Pocahontas County Iowa Sheriff, Pocahontas, IA	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	200
Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	Prince George's County Interoperable Radio System	600
Randolph County, AR Sheriff, Pocahontas, AR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	140
Ridgefield Park Village, Ridgefield Park, NJ	Digital Mobile Video Camera Conversion	85
Rio Grande Council of Governments, El Paso, TX	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence tool	250

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Riverside County Sheriff, Riverside, CA	Night Vision Binoculars for the Riverside County Sheriff's Department	700
Riverside County Sheriff's Department, Riverside, CA	Riverside County Sheriff's Department Digital Management System	450
Rochester Police Department, Rochester, NH	Law Enforcement Technology	285
Rockdale County Sheriff's Office, Conyers, GA	Law Enforcement Mobile Data Network	150
Rockland County Office of Fire & Emergency Services, Pomona, NY	Rockland County Public Safety Interoperable Communications Network	250
Roland Police Department, Roland, OK	Police Department Equipment	180
San Bernardino County Sheriff, San Bernardino, CA	San Bernardino County Scientific Investigations Division	500
San Diego County, San Diego, CA	Regional Communications System (RCS) Upgrade	1,200
San Francisco Department of Emergency Management, San Francisco, CA	Bay Area Regional Interoperable Communications System	750
San Mateo County Sheriff's Office, Redwood City, CA	Mobile Emergency Command Center	300
San Pablo, CA Police Department, San Pablo, CA	Gunshot Location System	360
Sandy City, Sandy, UT	Sandy City Police Department Treatment Improvement Program	300
Sangamon County Sheriff's Office, Springfield, IL	Sangamon County Sheriff Technology Upgrades	660
Santa Clara County, San Jose, CA	Santa Clara County Criminal Justice Information Control Law & Justice Systems Plan	1,000
Schuyler County Sheriff's Office, Rushville, IL	Schuyler County Sheriff Technology Upgrades	50
Shelby County Sheriff, Columbiana, AL	P25 Wide Area Radio Network	500
Shelby Township Police Department, Shelby Township, MI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	200
Shinnston, WV Police Department, Shinnston, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Sistersville, WV Police Department, Sistersville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Smyth County, Marion, VA	Local Law Enforcement Technology for Smyth County	235
Snohomish County Regional Drug Task Force, Everett, WA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	70
Somerset County Office of Emergency Management, Somerville, NJ	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	1,000
Somersworth Police Department, Somersworth, NH	Portable Police Radios	22
South Russell Police Department, South Russell, OH	Transition to 800MHz Digital Communication Technology	35
South Windsor Police Dept, South Windsor, CT	Upgrades to Communications System	350
SouthCom Dispatch, Matteson, IL	SouthCom Technological Upgrades	100

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Southeastern Oklahoma University, Durant, OK	Emergency Response System	270
Spencer Police Department, Spencer, WV	Spencer PD Computer System	40
Springfield Police Department, Springfield, IL	Springfield Police Department Technology Upgrade	150
St Louis County Sheriff, Duluth, MN	Regional Interoperability Data System Project	500
St. Francois County, Missouri, Park Hills, MO	Visual Intelligence Tool for Mineral Area Missouri Law Enforcement	697
St. Johns County, St. Augustine, FL	St. Johns County Emergency Interoperability Equipment	250
St. Lawrence County District Attorney, Canton, NY	St. Lawrence County Drug Investigation Equipment Project	200
St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department, St. Louis, MO	In-Car Video System (ICVS) program	200
St. Mary's County Sheriff's Office, Leonardtown, MD	St. Mary's County Sheriff's Office In-Car Video Technology	500
Stafford County, Stafford, VA	Stafford County Law Enforcement Technology	300
Stanislaus County and the City of Modesto, Modesto, CA	Regional Operability for Public Safety Communications	250
Stark County Sheriff, Canton, OH	Visual Intelligence Update for Law Enforcement and Governmental Agencies	400
State of Connecticut Department of Public Safety, Middletown, CT	In-Car Camera Technology Upgrade	175
State of Delaware Capitol Police, Dover, DE	New Castle County Courthouse Capitol Police Command Center and Lobby Surveillance Project	130
Sterling Heights Police Department, Sterling Heights, MI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	300
Summit County Sheriff, Akron, OH	Law Enforcement Tactical Safety Vehicle, Summit County Sheriff	100
Sumter County Sheriff, Sumter, SC	Visual Intelligence Technology	1,000
Sussex County Office of Emergency Management, Newton, NJ	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	1,000
Tamaqua Borough Police Department, Tamaqua, PA	Tamaqua Police Department Technology and Security Project	200
Taney County Commission, Fortsyth, MO	White River Area Emergency Project	400
Tazewell County Sheriff's Office, Pekin, IL	Interoperable Law Enforcement Communications	140
Tega Cay Police Department, Tega Cay, SC	Interoperability and Technology Enhancement for the City of Tega Cay Police Department	135
The Chickasaw Nation, Ada, OK	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool for the Chickasaw & Choctaw Nations	750
The City of Houston, TX, Houston, TX	Houston Interoperability Initiative	1,350

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
The County of Bucks, Doylestown, PA	Law enforcement data-sharing infrastructure	300
The Police Department in the Borough of Etna, Pittsburgh, PA	Security Cameras and Police Equipment	100
The Village of Skokie, Skokie, IL	Communications Center at New Police Station	465
Thomas County E-9-1-1, Thomasville, GA	Emergency Communications Center	150
Tohono O'odham Nation, Sells, AZ	Tohono O'odham Nation, Arizona Law Enforcement Communications System	100
Toms River Police Department, Toms River, NJ	Township-wide Wi Fi Mesh Canopy/ In-Car Video/ License Plate Recognition Project	700
Torrance County Sheriff, Estancia , NM	Torrance County Drug Enforcement	200
Town of Ayden, North Carolina, Ayden, NC	Town of Ayden Public Safety Technology Improvement Initiative	250
Town of Burrillville Police Department, Oakland, RI	Burrillville Cops Technology	92
Town of Carmel, Mahopac, NY	Police Vehicle Video Systems	145
Town of Cheektowaga, Cheektowaga, NY	Law Enforcement Technology for the Town of Cheektowaga	200
Town of Clarkstown, NY, New City, NY	Clarkstown, NY, Police Department Communication System Upgrades	200
Town of Colmar Manor, Brentwood, MD	The Town of Colmar Manor Interoperable Radio Project	100
Town of Framingham, Framingham, MA	Framingham Townwide Wireless Mesh Network	200
Town of Grant Town, WV Police Department, Grant Town, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Town of Hackleburg, Hackleburg, AL	Police Technology Upgrades	75
Town of Hebron, Hebron, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Hebron Police Department	190
Town of Highland, Highland, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Highland Police Department	485
Town of Masontown, WV , Masontown, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Town of Merrillville, Merrillville, IN	Law enforcement equipment for Merrillville Police Department	500
Town of Ossining Police Department, Briarcliff Manor, NY	Town of Ossining, NY, Police Department Equipment	6
Town of Porter, Porter, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Porter Police Department	68
Town of Ridgeley, WV Police Department, Ridgeley, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Town of Southwest Ranches , Southwest Ranches, FL	Public Safety and Law Enforcement Equipment	500
Town of Vernon, Vernon, CT	Radio System Digital Upgrade	300

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Town of Warren, Warren, RI	Information Technology and communications upgrades	500
Town of West Liberty, West Liberty, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Town of White Hall, WV Police Department, White Hall, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Town of Woodbury Police Department, Central Valley, NY	Emergency Energy Supply	60
Town Watch Integrated Services, Philadelphia, PA	Town Watch Program	100
Township of Irvington, Irvington, NJ	Irvington CAD/RMS Project	200
Township of Spring, Reading, PA	Video Recorder System Purchase	90
Township of Woodbridge, Woodbridge, NJ	Woodbridge, New Jersey Interoperable Law Enforcement Trunked Digital Radio System	500
Tulsa Police Department, Tulsa, OK	Law Enforcement Interoperability / Regional Expansion Project	200
Umatilla County Sheriff, Pendleton, OR	Mobile Video Equipment	130
Union County Sheriff, El Dorado, AR	In-Car Video and Audio Recording Devices	75
Union County, FL Sheriff, Lake Butler, FL	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	500
University of Akron, Akron, OH	High Technology Forensics Laboratory and Resource Center	500
University of Central Oklahoma, Edmond, OK	Central Oklahoma /State of Oklahoma Forensic Laboratory Program Enhanced DNA Analysis Training for Law Enforcement Professionals	150
University of Maryland Public Safety Training and Technical Assistance Program, Greenbelt, MD	Prince George's County Municipal Interoperability Upgrades	500
University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX	Open Source Lab for Law Enforcement Intelligence Analysis on Latin America	400
Upper Peninsula 9-1-1 Authority, Escanaba, MI	Upper Peninsula 9-1-1 Computer Aided Dispatch and Records Management System	250
Vanderburgh County Commissioners, Evansville, IN	Civic Center Complex Security Improvements	200
Vienna, WV Police Department, Vienna, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Village of Bosque Farms, Bosque Farms, NM	Valencia County Law Enforcement Uniform Records Management System	400
Village of Campton Hills Police Department, Campton Hills, IL	Campton Hills Police Mobile Patrol	25
Village of Chagrin Falls Police Department, Chagrin Falls, OH	Interoperable Communications and Operations Center	250
Village of Clearview, WV, Wheeling, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25

COPS Law Enforcement Technology  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Village of La Grange, La Grange, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	100
Village of La Grange Park, La Grange Park, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	75
Village of Melrose Park Police Department, Melrose Park, IL	Wireless Surveillance System	300
Village of Monroe Police Department, Monroe, NY	Law Enforcement Equipment	160
Village of North Aurora, North Aurora, IL	Village of North Aurora Police Digital Radio Interoperability	200
Village of Pelham Manor, Pelham Manor, NY	Village of Pelham Manor, NY, Police Department Fingerprint Scanner	30
Village of Thornton, IL, Thornton, IL	Mobile Data Browsing Software Upgrade	100
Virgin Islands Police Department, St. Croix, VI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	550
Virginia Center for Policing Innovation, Richmond, VA	Virginia Center for Policing Innovation	300
Waco Police Department, Waco, TX	McLennan County Communications Technology Upgrade	450
Wake County, Raleigh, NC	Wake County 800 MHz Base Infrastructure Expansion	400
Warner Robins Police Department, Warner Robins, GA	Forensic Video System	20
Washington Parish Sheriff, Franklinton, LA	Law Enforcement Equipment	291
Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Reno, NV	Washoe County Patrol Vehicle Safety Systems	500
Watertown, CT, Watertown, CT	Emergency Communications System	300
Wayne County, Detroit, MI	Wayne County, MI Radio Communications Interoperability	300
Webb County Sheriff, Laredo, TX	South Texas Forensics Laboratory	250
West Union, WV Police Department, West Union, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Weston Police Department, Weston, WV	Weston Police Department Technology Upgrade	250
Wichita State University, Wichita, KS	Kansas Regional Community Policing Institute	650
Wilcox County Sheriff, Abbeville, GA	Portable and Vehicle Radios	25
Williamstown, WV Police Department, Williamstown, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	25
Wilmington Police Department, Wilmington, NC	Gunshot Location System	315
Yellowstone County Sheriff's Office, Billings, MT	Yellowstone County Mobile Digital Video Camera	147

*Methamphetamine enforcement and clean-up.*—The production, trafficking, and abuse of methamphetamine—an extremely destructive and addictive drug—continues to be a serious national problem. The Committee recommends \$32,000,000 for activities to address public safety and methamphetamine manufacturing, sale, and use in “hot spots.” Within the funds provided, the Committee includes \$10,000,000 for transfer to the Drug Enforcement Administration to assist State, local and tribal law enforcement agencies with the proper removal and disposal of hazardous materials at clandestine methamphetamine labs, including funds for training, technical assistance, a container program, and purchase of equipment.

Also within the total, the Committee provides \$5,000,000 for tribal methamphetamine enforcement activities. COPS is directed to consult with tribal governments on the distribution of these funds to ensure that they are targeted to areas with the greatest need.

The accompanying table details funding for congressionally-designated activities related to methamphetamine enforcement, which are incorporated by reference in the bill:

COPS Meth  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
American Detoxification Foundation/UMCP, Salt Lake City, UT	Utah Meth Cops Program	200
Arizona Meth Project, Phoenix, AZ	Arizona Meth Project	200
California Department of Justice (CADOJ), Sacramento, CA	San Diego California Methamphetamine Strategy (CALMS)	250
California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Meth Enforcement/Interdiction in San Bernardino County	600
California State Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	California Methamphetamine Strategy (CALMS)	500
City of Bastrop, Bastrop, LA	Bastrop-Morehouse Parish Meth Initiative	650
Clackamas County, Portland, OR	Clackamas County Interagency Methamphetamine Initiative	550
Contra Costa County, Martinez, CA	Methamphetamine Eradication and Suppression Program	200
Davies County Sheriff, Owensboro, KY	Second District Methamphetamine Eradication	300
ELKHART COUNTY, INDIANA, Elkhart, IN	Elkhart County Criminal Intelligence Interdiction Initiative	250
Etowah County Drug Enforcement Unit, Gadsden, AL	Blount, DeKalb, Etowah, Marshall, Marion, Morgan, Pickens, Walker, Winston Counties, AL Drug Task Forces Anti-Methamphetamine Project	1,500
Heartland Family Service, Inc., Council Bluffs, IA	Heartland Family Services Residential Methamphetamine Treatment in Southwestern Iowa	200
Hillsborough County, Florida, Tampa, FL	Hillsborough County Methamphetamine Enforcement and Cleanup	250
Idaho Meth Project , Boise, ID	Idaho Meth Project	1,000
Illinois Meth Project, Springfield, IL	Illinois Meth Project	100
Illinois State University, Normal, IL	Illinois State University Meth Project	200
Jackson County Sheriff, Jackson County, MS	Methamphetamine Enforcement and Cleanup	350
Jefferson County, Colorado, Golden, CO	Methamphetamine Response Collaborative	245
Marion County, OR, Salem , OR	Kids First Phase II	200
Methodist University, Fayetteville, NC	Methamphetamine Educational Training Program	150
Mineral Area Drug Task Force, Leadington, MO	Mineral Area Drug Task Force	200
Mississippi - North Central Narcotics Taskforce , Port Gibson, MS	Mississippi - North Central Narcotics Taskforce	500
Montana Meth Project, Missoula, MT	Montana Meth Project	500
Northeast Law Enforcement Administrators Council, Chisholm, MN	Northeast Law Enforcement Administrators Council Methamphetamine Reduction Project	500
Pierce County Alliance, Tacoma, WA	Washington State Methamphetamine Initiative	1,500
Polk County Sheriff, Bartow, FL	Polk County Methamphetamine Prevention Project	250
Rusk County Sheriff, Ladysmith , WI	Methamphetamine Prevention and Enforcement	300
Sioux City Police Department , Sioux City , IA	National Meth Training Center	800
South Central Drug Task Force, West Plains, MO	South Central Drug Task Force	250
South Coast Interagency Narcotics Team, Coquille, OR	South Coast Interagency Narcotics Team	500
Southeast Missouri Drug Task Force, Sikeston, MO	Southeast Missouri Drug Task Force	200
St. Joseph County Prosecutor, South Bend, IN	Methamphetamine and Illegal Firearms Investigation and Prosecution Project	100

COPS Meth  
 Congressionally-designated items  
 (\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Tennessee Meth Task Force, Chattanooga, TN	Tennessee Statewide Methamphetamine Task Force	2,000
Twiggs County Sheriff, Jeffersonville, GA	Meth Task Force	140
University of Arkansas Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Arkansas Methamphetamine Education and Training Project	575
University of Missouri St. Louis College of Nursing, St. Louis, MO	Methamphetamine Prevention Education California Multi-jurisdictional Methamphetamine (CAL	250
Ventura County Sheriff, Ventura, CA	MMET) Investigators	350
Washington State Meth Initiative, Tacoma, WA	Washington State Meth Initiative	100
Winchester Police Department, Winchester, KY	Winchester Police Department Law Enforcement Technology	30

*DNA analysis grants.*—The Committee recommends \$151,000,000 for grants to strengthen State and local government DNA collection and analysis systems, which can be vital to successfully prosecuting the guilty and protecting the innocent from wrongful conviction. This funding level is equal to the request and \$5,000,000 below the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Within the funds provided, \$146,000,000 is for Debbie Smith DNA backlog reduction grants, and \$5,000,000 is for post-conviction DNA testing grants.

*Second Chance Act programs.*—The Committee strongly supports efforts to improve and strengthen programs that facilitate the successful reentry of prisoners into communities following incarceration. The Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies Subcommittee conducted a series of hearings on effective prisoner reentry programs and the state of research on “what works” for prisoner reentry. The lessons learned from those hearings are that investments in prisoner reentry program demonstrations and research are critical to making prisoner reentry more successful and reducing the rate of recidivism, and that successful prisoner reentry can significantly reduce the personal, social and economic costs associated with crime and incarceration. The hearings also made clear that, in order to have a significant impact on reducing recidivism, prisoner reentry efforts must be coordinated at the State level, based on comprehensive plans developed through input from a broad array of stakeholders.

The Committee recommends \$100,000,000 for activities authorized under the Second Chance Act of 2007 (P.L. 110–199) to reduce criminal recidivism, which is \$75,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and equal to the request. The Committee strongly urges the Attorney General to establish the Interagency Task Force on Federal Programs and Activities Relating to the Reentry of Offenders into the Community, as envisioned by the Second Chance Act. The Committee includes bill language authorizing the Attorney General to waive the matching requirements for Adult and Juvenile Reentry Demonstration Projects grants awarded in fiscal year 2010.

*Tribal law enforcement.*—The Committee provides \$40,000,000 for the hiring, equipping, and training of tribal law enforcement officers, \$20,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the request. The Committee provides additional funding for Tribes through the State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance appropriation under the Office of Justice Programs (OJP).

*Child sexual predator elimination/sex offender management.*—The Committee provides \$28,000,000 for assistance to State, tribal, and local law enforcement to locate, arrest, and prosecute child sexual predators and exploiters, and to enforce sex offender registration laws. Of the total, \$1,000,000 is for the National Sex Offender Public Registry and \$15,000,000 is for sex offender management assistance (SOMA). The increase of \$10,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level for SOMA activities is intended for assistance to tribal and other law enforcement entities with the greatest need for such assistance.

*Secure Our Schools Act.*—The recommendation includes \$16,000,000 for grants to schools for equipment such as metal detectors, locks, lighting and other deterrent measures; security assessments; security training of personnel and students; and coordi-

nation with local law enforcement agencies. This amount is equal to the fiscal year 2009 level and the request.

*Community Policing Development.*—The Committee recommendation includes \$14,000,000 for community policing development activities, including training and technical assistance and the Police Integrity Initiative, which is an increase of \$10,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$2,000,000 below the request.

*Salaries and Expenses.*—As in fiscal year 2009, the Committee provides management and administration funding for the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services through a salaries and expenses appropriation under OJP. As a result, no administrative overhead costs shall be deducted from the programs funded from this account.

*Reprogramming of prior year funds.*—Funds appropriated in Public Law 111–8, Division B—Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009, under the heading Department of Justice, Community Oriented Policing Services, Methamphetamine Enforcement and Clean-up, to the Blount, Dekalb, Etowah, Marshall, Marion, Morgan, Pickens, Walker Counties, Alabama Drug Task Forces for the Anti-Methamphetamine Project are reprogrammed to the Etowah County Drug Enforcement Unit for the Dekalb, Etowah, Marshall, Marion, Morgan, Pickens, Walker Counties, Alabama Drug Task Forces and the Blount County Sheriff's Department.

Funds appropriated in Public Law 111–8, Division B—Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009, under the heading Department of Justice, Community Oriented Policing Services, Law enforcement technologies and inter-operable communications, for technology upgrades for Southside Virginia law enforcement are reprogrammed to the Sheriffs' Offices of Pittsylvania, Cumberland, Bedford, Henry, Brunswick, Campbell, and Greene Counties in Virginia and the Sheriff's Office of the City of Martinsville, Virginia for law enforcement technology.

#### GENERAL PROVISIONS—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

The Committee has included the following general provisions for the Department of Justice in this bill:

Section 201 makes available additional reception and representation funding for the Attorney General from the amounts provided in this title.

Section 202 prohibits the use of funds to pay for an abortion, except in the case of rape or to preserve the life of the mother.

Section 203 prohibits the use of funds to require any person to perform or facilitate the performance of an abortion.

Section 204 establishes the obligation of the Director of the Bureau of Prisons to provide escort services to inmates receiving an abortion outside of a Federal facility, except where this obligation conflicts with the preceding section.

Section 205 establishes the Committee's requirements and procedures for transfer proposals.

Section 206 authorizes the Attorney General to extend an ongoing Personnel Management Demonstration Project.

Section 207 extends specified authorities to the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives for undercover operations.

Section 208 prohibits the use of funds for transporting prisoners classified as maximum or high security, other than to a facility certified by the Bureau of Prisons as appropriately secure.

Section 209 prohibits the use of funds for the purchase or rental by Federal prisons of audiovisual equipment, services and materials used primarily for recreational purposes, except for those items and services needed for inmate training, religious, or educational purposes.

Section 210 requires review by the Deputy Attorney General and the Department Investment Review Board prior to the obligation or expenditure of funds for major information technology projects.

Section 211 requires the Department to follow reprogramming procedures prior to any deviation from the program amounts specified in this title or the reuse of deobligated funds provided in previous years.

Section 212 prohibits the use of funds for A-76 competitions for work performed by employees of the Bureau of Prisons or Federal Prison Industries, Inc.

Section 213 prohibits the use of funds to pay the salary, benefits or expenses of a U.S. Attorney performing dual duties that exempt that U.S. Attorney from established residency requirements.

Section 214 prohibits the use of funds for future phases of the Sentinel program until the AG certifies that work on existing phases has been substantially completed under a validated performance baseline.

Section 215 permits the use of up to one percent of formula grant funds made available to the Office of Justice Programs for research or statistical purposes, and permits the use of up to three percent of funds made available to that office for training and technical assistance.

Section 216 gives the AG authority to waive matching requirements for Second Chance Act adult and juvenile reentry demonstration projects.

Section 217 makes permanent retention and relocation bonus authorities previously provided to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Section 218 extends foreign language proficiency pay authorities to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

### TITLE III—SCIENCE

This title includes funding for the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and the National Science Foundation (NSF), though science funding in this Bill extends beyond this title. Two major research agencies within the Department of Commerce—the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)—are funded in title I of this bill. Science and engineering also are of increasing importance in law enforcement and commercial activity. In total, the bill provides \$30,571,851,000 in science and technology investments, an increase of \$1,049,255,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

In the late 1990s, Congress and the Administration cooperated to double annual federal spending for the National Institutes of Health. Following recommendations in the *Rising Above the Gath-*

*ering Storm* report of the National Academy of Sciences, the Congress passed the America Competes Act recognizing the need to double the budgets for NSF, NIST, and the Department of Energy Office of Science. This year in testimony before this Committee, leaders from the science and engineering communities made clear that NASA science and NOAA research merit similar increases. Accordingly, increases are recommended over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level for research at NSF, NIST, NASA, and NOAA—with increases above the requests for NOAA research and select areas of NASA.

The challenge of anthropogenic climate change confronts the Nation today. Increased research through the programs of NOAA is needed to strengthen the foundation for NOAA's leadership role in climate, and the Earth Science program within NASA plays a critical role in support of NOAA in developing and demonstrating new modeling, analysis and measurement techniques while contributing to the overall climate change science program.

In testimony before this Committee, Dr. Lennard Fisk, former NASA Associate Administrator for Space Science and Applications, stated that the termination of NASA funding for microgravity research—research that can only be done in orbit—has devastated this area of research in the United States. Given the substantial investment in the International Space Station where much of this research would take place, funding is recommended to resurrect this research program within funds to be appropriated to NASA.

In testimony before the Committee on science education, it was stated that most children decide to be interested in pursuing science, engineering or math by age 10 or so. Inspiring interest in young minds requires them to have opportunities to come in contact with research and engineering, to take measurements and think creatively and analytically in a scientific fashion and to gain a sense of wonder at the physical and intellectual adventure involved and the contributions science and engineering make in today's world. Accordingly, increases above the budget request are included to strengthen NSF, NOAA and NASA education programs.

#### EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

##### OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$5,303,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	6,154,000
Recommended in the bill .....	7,154,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,851,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+1,000,000

The Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) is essential to the restoration of science to its proper place in the formulation of policy and the operations of the federal government. The Committee recommendation is \$1,851,000 above the amount appropriated for fiscal year 2009 and \$1,000,000 above the budget request. This increase is provided to ensure that OSTP has adequate staff to fulfill key requirements in the coming year.

OSTP is directed to develop a plan for achieving and sustaining global Earth observations in collaboration with NOAA, NSF, NASA, USGS, the Department of Energy and other appropriate

agencies and in consultation with the Earth science community, and to direct implementation of this Earth observations plan as called for in the National Academy of Sciences report *Earth Science and Applications from Space: National Imperatives for the Next Decade and Beyond*. This plan should include satellite, suborbital, ground- and ocean-based observations and be delivered to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate no later than April 1, 2010.

The Committee anticipates that OSTP will need to provide leadership and active coordination on hydrology research and water resources, understanding terrestrial managed and unmanaged ecosystems and their role in climate change, nanotechnology, including its societal dimensions, and science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) education. Each of these areas involves significant activities of multiple departments and agencies.

#### NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) was established by the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958, as amended, to:

- (1) plan, direct and conduct aeronautical and space activities;
- (2) arrange for participation by the scientific community in planning scientific measurements and observations to be made through use of aeronautical and space vehicles, and conduct or arrange for the conduct of such measurements and observations;
- (3) provide for the widest practicable and appropriate dissemination of information concerning its activities and the results thereof;
- (4) seek and encourage, to the maximum extent possible, the fullest commercial use of space;
- (5) encourage and provide the Federal government use of commercially provided space services and hardware, consistent with the requirements of the Federal government; and
- (6) carry out a comprehensive program of research, technology, and monitoring of the phenomena of upper atmosphere as to provide for an understanding of and to maintain the chemical and physical integrity of the Earth's atmosphere.

The Act calls on NASA to expand human knowledge; develop and operate advanced aeronautical and space-faring vehicles; encourage commercial use of space; exchange with other U.S. agencies findings useful to each other to maximize research results; cooperate with other nations in research and applications; and preserve U.S. preeminence in aeronautics and space. NASA's unique mission is to pioneer the future in space exploration, scientific discovery and aeronautics research.

Programs and activities undertaken by NASA were last authorized in fiscal year 2009. An authorization for fiscal year 2010 is not yet enacted.

*Investments in NASA.*—Properly structured, NASA's programs can contribute to an improved quality of life, economic vitality, U.S. leadership in peaceful cooperation with other nations on challenging undertakings in science and technology, national security and the advancement of knowledge. Investments in NASA's programs promote research and development and support the competi-

tiveness of the United States. For 50 years, the investments in NASA have facilitated the transfer of NASA technology to the private sector, benefiting global competition and the economy. The resulting commercialization has contributed to the development of commercial products and services in the fields of health and medicine, industry, consumer goods, transportation, public safety, computer technology, and environmental resources. In addition, NASA's view from space brings science to bear on national priorities like improved predictions of climate, weather and natural hazards, which have corresponding implications for commerce and the human condition.

Moreover, as noted previously in this report, Dr. Ralph Cicerone and Dr. Lennard Fisk both stated in testimony before the Subcommittee that NASA's science activities are not markedly different or less important than other science disciplines funded by the NSF and NIST, and that there was little reason for their exclusion from the doubling path envisioned for those agencies in the America Competes Act. The Committee's recommendation reflects this sentiment and continues to invest in NASA's science activities.

#### AGENCY SUMMARY

The Committee recommendation includes \$18,203,300 for NASA, \$482,700,000 below the request and \$420,915,000 above the comparable fiscal year 2009 enacted level. Funding included in this Act provides for continued efforts to fly the Shuttle as safely as possible until its retirement in 2010; to complete the international space station; continue to advance human space flight activities; to advance knowledge in the fundamental disciplines of aeronautics and develop technologies for safer aircraft and higher capacity traffic air systems; to further understanding of Earth, its climate and global environment, the connections among the other planets and the Sun, and the origin and evolution of planetary systems and the origin and distribution of life in the universe; and to inspire and educate students at all levels to pursue careers in the fields of science, technology, engineering and mathematics.

NASA's appropriations are now arrayed in multiple appropriations accounts rather than in three accounts as in previous years. Beginning in fiscal year 2009, budgets for NASA's programs and projects are requested and appropriated only in terms of direct cost, and not the additional indirect costs associated with operating NASA's centers, safety and mission success, and Agency management and operations. The direct budgets continue to reflect labor and travel costs associated with each program and project. The indirect costs are now budgeted solely within the Cross Agency Support account, and not in NASA's programs and projects. This change was the result of the Committee's continuing endeavors to provide greater transparency to NASA's stakeholders and improve program estimates, costs, review and oversight. The Committee continues these efforts as is evidenced in the recommendations herein.

*Financial management.*—The Committee remains concerned about NASA's management of its budget, as well as its financial recordkeeping and reporting. Fiscal year 2002 was the last year NASA was able to produce an annual financial statement that could be audited by its independent accountants. For fiscal years

2003 through 2008, the accountants were unable to find sufficient evidentiary support for amounts presented in NASA's financial statements, including the combined statements of budgetary resources—which are supposed to present accurate budget execution data. Recent reports by Committee staff have found additional disquieting evidence concerning NASA's financial management. As discussed in the explanatory statement accompanying the 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act, a review of NASA's leasing and collections authority found that NASA's implementation of the authority lacked transparency and that NASA was not effectively managing and accounting for leasing receipts. An April 2009 report by the staff found that NASA was improperly administering its authority to convert funds for construction projects to no-year availability by apportioning these funds as no-year dollars before initial obligations on construction projects, ignoring provisions in law that the conversion be preceded by an initial obligation. The report also found that the amount allocated to construction in NASA's annual operating plans often substantially exceeds the amount justified in NASA's budget estimates. Many of the construction projects included in the operating plans, but not the budget estimates, are of such scale that NASA clearly must have been aware of the projects at the time it formulated its estimates. A second April 2009 report found that, although NASA has improved its obligation rate in response to the Committee's concerns, many NASA programs did not match this obligation rate with a commensurate improvement in accrual of costs and outlays. The report also found that NASA's obligation rate may be overstated because of non-transparent reserve practices and because it deobligates and recovers significant amounts of prior year obligated balances.

To address these issues, the Committee recommends several changes to NASA's appropriation. The Committee recommendation:

- consolidates all programmatic and institutional construction and environmental compliance and remediation activities into a new account, for which the period of availability would extend for five years;
- subjects expenditures planned from the collection of enhanced use lease receipts to an annual appropriations limitation first enacted in fiscal year 2009;
- limits funding for the Cross Agency Support and Inspector General accounts to be available for one year, reflecting the administrative nature of these accounts;
- limits most funding in NASA's operating accounts (Aeronautics Exploration, Science, and Space Operations) to one-year, while providing an allowance of ten percent in each account as two-year funding, recognizing historical obligation data and that cutting edge aeronautics and space research and development involves an element of uncertainty; and
- fully funds Center administrative activities in the consolidated Cross Agency Support account, realigning the remaining FTE currently being charged to programmatic accounts.

*Construction.*—The Committee proposes establishment of a new construction account to fund all institutional and programmatic construction. As shown in the Committee's review, the long-standing arrangement of funding construction within other accounts without specifying an amount for construction has resulted

in a lack of discipline in NASA's planning for programmatic construction and a lack of transparency in presenting and justifying construction projects. The new account will fund discrete construction projects, minor revitalization and construction projects, facility planning and design, demolition, and environmental compliance and restoration. Appropriated funds shall be available for five years, as requested.

*Limitation on expenses associated with enhanced use lease receipts.*—The Committee has continued a provision capping the expenditure of enhanced use lease (EUL) gross receipts and collections in fiscal year 2010 at \$0 and requiring NASA to include a separate accounting for and justification of its EUL collections and proposed expenditures in its annual budget justification. The justification shall include a separate accounting by Center of gross receipts and collections and shall include a detailed presentation of all proposed expenditures by Center, to include but not limited to full-time equivalent (FTE) staff years and object class data, and justification for such proposed expenditures by project or activity.

*One-year availability for Cross Agency Support and Inspector General accounts.*—The Committee proposes that funding for the cross agency support (CAS) and Office of Inspector General accounts be available for one-year, as opposed to two years provided in the 2009 Omnibus Appropriations Act. In the realigned NASA budget structure that was adopted in fiscal year 2009, these appropriations are primarily for administrative salaries and expenses activities. According to the object class table presented in the Appendix to the 2010 budget, fully one-third of the CAS account is for pay and benefits for Federal employees. Much of the balance of the account is for administrative functions, such as operation and maintenance of equipment and facilities. In fiscal year 2008, center management and operations and corporate general & administrative costs (then funded as overhead, but now in CAS) obligated all but \$33,400,000 of \$2,600,000,000 in new budget authority, an obligation rate of 99 percent.

*Limited two-year availability for NASA's operating accounts.*—The Committee proposes that most funding in NASA's operating accounts (Aeronautics, Exploration, Science and Space Operations) be available for one year, with an allowance of 10 percent in each account as two-year funding. The Committee recognizes that cutting edge aeronautics and space research and development involves an element of uncertainty. Even with the best planning and management, unexpected technical and operational setbacks may force obligation delays. However, in 2008 NASA demonstrated an ability to obligate 95 percent or more of the new budget authority in the missions that are now included in the four operating accounts. Similarly, for the period 1998 through 2002, NASA's carryover was consistently below six percent. From 2003 through 2007 NASA appears to have lost focus on financial management. In fiscal year 2007, the obligation rate for some missions was as low as 81 to 82 percent. Funding the operating accounts with primarily one-year funding will encourage NASA to maintain financial discipline. It will also encourage NASA to carefully examine its reserve and recovery practices, to ensure that obligated funds are in fact applied to accomplish the work for which they were appropriated. At the same time, the allowance of a reasonable amount for two-year

funding, together with the continued availability of transfer and re-programming authority, will allow NASA to address unexpected developments.

*Administrative activities.*—The realignment of NASA’s budget structure required by the fiscal year 2008 Omnibus Appropriations Act was intended to improve the transparency of NASA’s budget by separately funding programmatic and administrative activities. It was the Committee’s intent that the Cross Agency Support account fully fund Center administrative activities, including human resources, financial management, procurement, facilities operations, information technology services, and public affairs. As the 2009 budget is being executed by NASA, it appears that significant numbers of Center FTE in these administrative areas are being charged to programmatic accounts.

NASA’s Office of Program and Institutional Integration (OPPI) has developed Center Management and Operations “Content Definitions.” These definitions specify the core functions that all of NASA’s nine field Centers should provide to programs. The Committee directs that, for fiscal 2011, NASA realign the CAS budget to fully fund the Center administrative functions specified in OPPI’s content definitions, including all human resources, financial management, procurement, facilities operations, information technology services, and public affairs personnel. To the extent that NASA believes that this realignment requires transfer of funding from programmatic accounts, NASA’s budget estimate shall specify by mission, theme and program the amounts proposed to be transferred and the number and job series of FTE associated with these amounts. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee proposes to transfer these administrative FTE from the program accounts to Center management and operations within the CAS account, as follows:

Realign SMD administrative activities to CAS .....	– \$24,266,000
Realign ARMD administrative activities to CAS .....	– 5,982,000
Realign ESMD administrative activities to CAS .....	– 33,457,000
Realign SOMD administrative activities to CAS .....	– 33,771,000
Realign EMD administrative activities to CAS .....	– 1,254,000
Net adjustment to CAS .....	+98,730,000

*Reporting of cost, schedule and content for NASA research and development projects.*—To better understand the underlying issues common to NASA’s performance on major projects and to develop a process for identifying corrective action, the Fiscal Year 2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act mandated the Government Accountability Office to report on the status of large-scale NASA programs, projects and activities. The Committee expects this report to be delivered to the Congress semiannually, the first of which was delivered in March 2009. The Committee anticipates this annual report in advance of the annual budget submission of the President. To that end, the Committee directs NASA to cooperate fully and to provide timely program analysis, evaluation data and relevant information to the GAO so that it can conduct this review and meet the annual Congressional mandate. Such information includes, but is not limited to, copies of preliminary cost estimates, access to relevant online agency applications, databases, and web portals, and access to information from contractor and agency personnel.

*Breach reporting.*—Pursuant to section 108 of Public Law 109–155, the NASA Authorization Act of 2005, NASA is required to deliver several reports to the appropriate authorizing committees when project costs grow in excess of certain thresholds. The Committee expects that NASA submit concurrently to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations the reports required by section 108 of Public Law 109–155.

*Monthly reports.*—To improve the usefulness of monthly reports on obligations and disbursements required by section 525(b) of the fiscal year 2008 Omnibus Appropriations Act, NASA is directed to include in the funding that it reports as available not only new budget authority, but also carryover and recoveries. Specifically, on November 20, 2009, and on the 15th business day of each month thereafter, the Administrator of NASA shall report in writing to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate detailed financial information on the execution of the Administration’s budget for the preceding month and for the fiscal year to date. Each report shall provide information on the appropriation for the fiscal year, available carryover of prior year appropriations and recoveries of prior year obligated balances, obligations and cost incurred against, and outlays made from, the appropriation for the year and carryover and recoveries, presented by (1) appropriation account, (2) theme, (3) program or project, (4) Center, and (5) object class, as well as any other financial information requested by the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

*Reserves reporting.*—NASA is directed to include in its budget justification the reserve assumed by NASA to be necessary within the amount proposed for each directorate, theme, program, project and activity, or, if the proposed funding level for a directorate, theme, program, project or activity is based on confidence level budgeting, the confidence level assumed in the proposed funding level. Maintaining a reserve during the course of a fiscal year may be prudent management. However, the recent staff report found that some programs maintain large reserves and that there is limited central oversight of program-level reserves. This directive seeks to encourage more consistency in reserve practices and provide for the oversight of the reasonableness of reserve assumptions during consideration of NASA’s budget.

*Reprogramming procedures.*—As noted earlier in this report, the Committee continues to have a particular interest in being fully informed of reprogrammings which, although they may not change either the total amount available in an account or the general purposes for which the funds are available, represent a departure from the explicit budget plans presented within the annual congressional justifications and approved or modified by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations through the annual appropriations process. The Committee is concerned that movements of funds above the *de minimis* levels specified in section 505 of this Act may be occurring without Congressional notification. That section requires notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations of deviations from the budgeted and appropriated levels specified for all programs, projects and activities. To clarify the level at which reprogramming actions are to be measured, the Committee has chosen to include by mission directorate a summary table delineating each program, project and activity against which

the reprogramming thresholds are to be measured. This display in no way curtails NASA's current flexibility in managing its diverse portfolio of research and development and operational programs. Rather, it will require NASA to inform the Committee on any deviations, subject to the thresholds specified in section 505 of this Act, from the levels explicit in the agency's budget justification, as adjusted for congressional action, as shown in the accompanying summary table. The Committee's recommendation for NASA is delineated more fully in the table below and in the following account summaries.

PROGRAMS, PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION  
[Dollars in millions]

	Recommended
Science Mission Directorate:	
Earth Science:	
Earth Science Research .....	\$429.5
Earth Science Research and Analysis .....	313.7
Computing and Management .....	115.8
Earth Systematic Missions .....	730.5
Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM) .....	159.5
Glory Mission .....	27.1
Landsat Data Continuity Mission (LDCM) .....	120.6
NPOESS Preparatory Project (NPP) .....	112.8
Ice, Cloud and Land Elevation Satellite (ICESat II) .....	39.2
Soil Moisture Active and Passive (SMAP) .....	70.0
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	201.3
Earth System Science Pathfinder .....	55.0
Aquarius .....	18.3
Venture Class Missions .....	12.9
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	23.8
Earth Science Multi-Mission Operations .....	149.9
Earth Science Multi-Mission Operations .....	149.9
Earth Science Technology .....	45.9
Earth Science Technology .....	45.9
Applied Sciences .....	32.2
Pathways .....	32.2
Subtotal, Earth Science .....	1,443.0
Planetary Science:	
Planetary Science Research .....	163.7
Planetary Science Research and Analysis .....	135.1
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	21.4
Education and Directorate Management .....	1.4
Near Earth Object Observations .....	5.8
Lunar Quest Program .....	103.6
Lunar Science .....	33.3
Lunar Atmosphere and Dust Environment Explorer .....	66.5
International Lunar Network .....	3.7
Discovery .....	213.2
Gravity Recovery and Interior Laboratory (GRAIL) .....	124.1
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	89.1
New Frontiers .....	264.1
Juno .....	237.2
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	26.9
Mars Exploration .....	416.1
2009 Mars Science Lab .....	204.0
MAVEN .....	53.4
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	158.7
Outer Planets .....	98.6
Outer Planets .....	98.6
Technology .....	89.0
Technology .....	89.0

PROGRAMS, PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION—Continued  
[Dollars in millions]

	Recommended
Subtotal, Planetary Science .....	1,348.3
Astrophysics:	
Astrophysics Research .....	151.9
Astrophysics Research and Analysis .....	61.1
Ballons Project .....	26.7
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	64.1
Cosmic Origins .....	717.2
Hubble Space Telescope (HST) .....	112.6
James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) .....	441.4
Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy .....	72.8
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	90.4
Physics of the Cosmos .....	147.7
Joint Dark Energy Mission .....	6.4
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	141.3
Exoplanet Exploration .....	46.2
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	46.2
Astrophysics Explorer .....	107.9
Wide-Field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) .....	13.0
Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuStar) .....	59.9
Astro-H .....	10.9
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	24.1
Subtotal, Astrophysics .....	1,170.9
Heliophysics:	
Heliophysics Research .....	178.6
Heliophysics Research and Analysis .....	35.4
Sounding Rocket Operations .....	66.5
Research Range .....	19.2
GSFC Building Support .....	3.0
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	54.5
Living with a Star .....	212.2
Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) .....	34.1
Radiation Belt Storm Probes (RBSP) .....	137.1
Solar Probe Plus .....	4.0
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	37.0
Solar Terrestrial Probes .....	143.0
Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) .....	118.6
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	24.4
Heliophysics Explorer Program .....	69.4
GOLD .....	0.5
Other Missions and Data Analysis .....	68.9
New Millennium .....	1.8
New Millennium .....	1.8
Subtotal, Heliophysics .....	605.0
Realign the costs of administrative FTE to CAS .....	-24.3
Unallocated adjustment to reflect carryover .....	-23.2
Consolidate construction in single account .....	-12.6
Affordability adjustment .....	-11.0
Total, Science .....	4,496.1
Aeronautics Mission Directorate:	
Aviation Safety .....	60.1
Integrated Vehicle Health Management .....	19.8
Aging Aircraft and Durability .....	11.4
Integrated Resilient Aircraft Control .....	16.4
Integrated Intelligent Flight Deck Technologies .....	12.5
Airspace Systems .....	81.4
NextGen Concepts and Technology Development .....	53.3
NextGen Systems Analysis, Integration, and Evaluation .....	28.1
Fundamental Aeronautics .....	228.4
Subsonic—Rotary Wing .....	26.1

PROGRAMS, PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION—Continued  
[Dollars in millions]

	Recommended
Subsonic—Fixed Wing .....	101.7
Supersonics .....	40.6
Hypersonics .....	60.0
Aeronautics Test Program .....	74.7
Aero Ground Test Facilities .....	48.6
Flight Operations and Test Infrastructure .....	26.1
Integrated Systems Research .....	62.4
Environmentally Responsible Aviation Project .....	62.4
Realign the costs of administrative FTE to CAS .....	-6.0
Total, Aeronautics .....	501.0
Exploration Mission Directorate:	
Constellation System .....	2,919.2
Constellation Systems Program .....	2,880.1
Program Integration and Operations .....	610.3
Crew Exploration Vehicle .....	1,221.3
Crew Launch Vehicle .....	1,018.5
Cargo Launch Vehicle .....	30.0
Commercial Crew and Cargo .....	39.1
Advanced Capabilities .....	477.4
Human Research Program .....	151.8
ISS Medical Project .....	19.9
Research Infusion Projects .....	131.9
Exploration Technology Development .....	269.3
ISS Research .....	50.0
Technology Infusion Projects .....	219.3
Lunar Precursor Robotic Program .....	56.3
LPRP Mgmt .....	16.4
Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter .....	39.9
Consolidate construction in single account .....	-69.9
Realign the costs of administrative FTE to CAS .....	-33.5
Total, Exploration .....	3,293.2
Space Operations Mission Directorate:	
Space Shuttle Program .....	3,157.1
Program Integration .....	678.1
Flight and Ground Operations .....	1,035.1
Flight Hardware .....	1,443.9
International Space Station Program .....	2,267.0
ISS Operations .....	1,639.0
ISS Cargo Crew Services .....	628.0
Space and Flight Support .....	733.8
Space Communications and Navigation .....	496.5
Space Communications Networks .....	427.1
Space Communications Support .....	43.4
TDRS Replenishment .....	26.0
Human Space Flight Operations .....	114.7
Space Flight Crew Operations .....	114.7
Launch Services .....	85.9
Launch Services .....	85.9
Rocket Propulsion Test .....	45.8
Rocket Propulsion Testing .....	45.8
Crew Health & Safety .....	8.6
Crew Health and Safety .....	8.6
Unallocated adjustment for lack of justification .....	-17.7
Consolidate construction in single account .....	-26.8
Realign the costs of administrative FTE to CAS .....	-33.8
Total, Space Operations .....	6,097.3

PROGRAMS, PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION—Continued  
[Dollars in millions]

	Recommended
Education:	
Higher Ed. STEM Education .....	122.8
STEM Opportunities (Higher Education) .....	11.6
NASA Space Grant .....	45.6
Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research .....	25.0
Minority University Research & Education Program .....	30.6
Global Climate Change Education .....	10.0
K-12 STEM Education .....	46.5
STEM Student Opportunities (K-12) .....	10.5
STEM Teacher Development (K-12) .....	21.0
K-12 Competitive Educational Grant Program .....	15.0
Informal STEM Education .....	7.0
Science Museums and Planetarium Grants .....	7.0
Realign the costs of administrative FTE to CAS .....	-1.3
Total, Education .....	175.0
Cross Agency Support:	
Center Management and Operations .....	2,182.9
Center Management and Operations .....	2,182.9
Center Institutional Capabilities .....	1,707.5
Center Programmatic Capabilities .....	475.4
Agency Management and Operations .....	965.4
Agency Management .....	412.7
Agency Management .....	412.7
Safety and Mission Success .....	209.2
Safety and Mission Assurance .....	53.3
Chief Engineer .....	102.2
Chief Health and Medical Officer .....	3.7
Independent Verification and Validation .....	50.0
Agency IT Services .....	150.4
IT Management .....	31.9
Applications .....	70.2
Infrastructure .....	48.3
Innovative Partnerships Program .....	163.7
Technology Infusion .....	9.3
Small Business Innovative Research .....	115.7
Small Business Technology Transfer Research .....	13.9
Partnership Development .....	23.8
Innovative Technology .....	1.0
Strategic Capabilities Assets Program .....	29.4
Simulators .....	11.7
Thermal Vacuum Chambers .....	8.3
Arc Jets .....	9.4
Congressionally Directed Items .....	15.7
Total, Cross-Agency Support .....	3,164.0
Construction and environmental compliance and remediation:	
Science .....	12.6
Exploration .....	69.9
Space Operations .....	26.8
Cross-agency support .....	332.4
Institutional investments .....	261.2
Environmental compliance and restoration .....	71.2
Total, Construction and environmental compliance .....	441.7
Inspector General .....	35.0
Total, NASA .....	18,203.3

## SCIENCE

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$4,503,019,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	4,477,200,000
Recommended in the bill .....	4,496,100,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	– 6,919,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+18,900,000

This appropriation provides for the full costs associated with the science activities of the agency, which consist of the programs, or themes, within the Science Mission Directorate. The full costs include all labor, travel, procurement, test and fabrication costs to execute these programs, which provide for all of the research; development; operations; salaries and related expenses; and other general and administrative activities supporting the themes within this account. NASA's Science Mission Directorate encompasses four themes: Earth Science, Planetary Science, Heliophysics, and Astrophysics. The Directorate seeks to answer fundamental questions concerning the ways in which Earth's climate is changing; the comparison of Earth with other planets in the solar system and around other stars; the connections among the Sun, Earth, and heliosphere; and the origin and evolution of planetary systems, the galaxy, and the universe, including the origin and distribution of life in the universe. The Directorate achieves its objectives through robotic flight missions, ground-based scientific research and data analysis, and the development of new technologies for future missions.

For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$4,496,100,000, an increase of \$18,900,000 above the budget request, and \$6,919,000 below the fiscal year 2009 comparable levels. The appropriation shall be available for one fiscal year, except that \$450,000,000 shall be available for two fiscal years. The recommendation makes the following adjustments to the budget request:

Earth science research and analysis .....	+\$32,000,000
Earth science, other missions and data analysis .....	+15,000,000
Orbiting carbon observatory (incl. FY 2009 balances) .....	– 32,200,000
Near Earth object observations .....	+2,000,000
Astrophysics, other missions and data analysis .....	+50,000,000
Realign the costs of administrative activities to CAS .....	– 24,300,000
Consolidate all agency construction in new account .....	– 12,600,000
Affordability adjustment .....	– 11,000,000

*Earth science.*—The Committee supports the development of Tier I missions in support of NASA's Earth science program. These missions, in coordination with small and medium class missions being developed by the agency, are critical to address global challenges in climate change and other areas.

*Earth science, other missions and data analysis.*—The recommendation includes \$201,300,000 for Earth science, other missions and data analysis. An increase of \$15,000,000 is provided above the request to advance further the studies of the next two decadal survey missions, the Climate Absolute Radiance and Refractivity Observatory (CLARREO) and the Deformation, Ecosystem Structure, and Dynamics of the Ice (DESDnyl).

*Scatterometer.*— The Committee directs NOAA and NASA to continue joint studies leading to a NOAA request in the fiscal year 2011 budget to build and fly an operational scatterometer to pro-

vide sea surface vector wind measurements. NASA should continue to co-fund this study in fiscal year 2010 and then supply its customary implementation support to NOAA satellite activities on a reimbursable basis beginning in fiscal year 2011. The Committee acknowledges that a radar scatterometer could have been adopted as an operational NOAA instrument many years ago and appreciates the support NASA has provided to the operational data users by flying scatterometers as part of its Earth science research mission.

*Orbiting carbon observatory (OCO).*—In February, a rocket failure sent OCO into the ocean off the coast of Antarctica, depriving climate scientists of measurements meant to bridge data collected by a seven-year-old satellite and a more advanced spacecraft is not expected to launch for at least several years. This satellite was to map Earth's carbon dioxide concentrations in unprecedented detail. Several options have been suggested following the loss of the OCO: extend the operations of the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) instrument aboard the Aqua spacecraft, launched in 2002; accelerate the development of the follow-on spacecraft, ASCENDS, which is currently not slated until after 2013; and building and flying another OCO. The Committee awaits NASA's informed judgment on how best to proceed, including schedule and cost estimates for its recommendation, but expects such determination by September 1, 2009.

*Near Earth object observations.*—The recommendation includes \$5,800,000 for near Earth object observations, an increase of \$2,000,000 to support ongoing scientific research at the Arecibo Observatory in the fields of climate change and space weather.

*GLOBE.*—Within the amounts provided for Earth science, \$3,000,000 is provided for the GLOBE program. NASA is directed to work cooperatively with NOAA during fiscal year 2010 to transition program responsibility for GLOBE from NASA to NOAA.

*Mars program.*—Exploring Mars remains one of NASA's most challenging and exciting endeavors. The Committee continues to support a robust Mars exploration program and recommends funds for the completion of the Mars Science Laboratory for launch in 2011.

*Astrophysics other missions and data analysis.*—Within the amounts provided, not less than \$50,000,000 is available to continue efforts in the use of the next generation of human space flight architecture to service existing and future observatory-class scientific spacecraft as identified in the conference report accompanying division B of Public Law 111–8.

*Astrophysics exoplanet exploration, other missions and data analysis.*—Building on NASA's decades of investment in interferometric capabilities and technologies, the Committee recommendation includes funds requested to enable SIM-Lite to continue mission concept, technology and risk reduction efforts in fiscal year 2010.

*Jupiter system mission.*—In February 2009, after a competitive review by the world's leading planetary scientists and senior NASA leadership, NASA formally decided that the top priority Outer Planet flagship mission was the Jupiter Europa orbiter/lander, which would launch in 2020. This review also concluded that a mission to Saturn's moon, Titan, would follow later in the decade. The

Committee continues to support the Jupiter Europa orbiter/lander and NASA's decision.

The Jupiter system mission consists of two spacecraft to explore the Jupiter system and investigate the emergence of potentially habitable worlds around giant planets. The mission places a special emphasis on two of Jupiter's giant moons, Europa and Ganymede, and would be conducted in concert with the European Space Agency. The Committee recommendation includes \$18,000,000 to further study the Europa Jupiter system mission and to address the primary risk to the mission, the energy particle radiation environment of Jupiter. Included in these funds shall be efforts to assist the science community in preparing to respond to the announcement of opportunity for the instruments.

The Committee is concerned that the budget profile for the Outer Planets flagship mission to Europa appears inconsistent with a 2020 launch. Therefore, NASA is directed within 60 days of enactment of this Act to provide a projected full lifetime budget outline for the Europa mission, to include anticipated contributions from foreign partners, and an alternative budget profile that would accelerate the launch to 2018.

*Planetary science, technology.*—Within the funds provided for planetary science, resources are provided for test and development of thermal protection systems (TPS), such as coal-based carbon foam ablative devices, in future missions to and from Mars and Moon.

AERONAUTICS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$500,000,000
Fiscal Year 2009 request .....	507,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	501,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-6,000,000

This appropriation provides for the full costs associated with the aeronautics activities of the agency, which consist of the programs, or themes, within the Aeronautics Mission Directorate. The full costs include all labor, travel, procurement, test and fabrication costs to execute these programs, which provide for all of the research; development; operations; salaries and related expenses; and other general and administrative activities supporting the themes within this account. NASA's Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate is committed to expanding the boundaries of aeronautical knowledge for the benefit of the Nation and the broad aeronautics community. NASA conducts cutting-edge research that includes foundational research across a number of core competencies that support aeronautics and space exploration activities; research in key areas related to the development of advanced aircraft technologies and systems, including those related to aircraft safety, environmental compatibility, and fuel efficiency; and research that supports the Next Generation Air Transportation System in partnership with the Joint Planning and Development Office.

For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommendation includes \$501,000,000, a decrease of \$6,000,000 from the budget request, and \$1,000,000 over comparable fiscal year 2009 enacted levels. The appropriation shall be available for one fiscal year, except that \$50,000,000 shall be available for two fiscal years. The rec-

ommended adjustment to the budget estimate realigns costs of administrative activities to CAS for an adjustment of  $-\$6,000,000$ .

## EXPLORATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$3,505,469,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request <sup>1</sup> .....	3,963,100,000
Recommended in the bill .....	3,293,200,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	- 212,269,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 669,900,000

<sup>1</sup>Following the human space flight review, the Administration will provide an updated request for Exploration activities reflecting the review's results.

This appropriation provides for the full costs associated with the exploration activities of the agency, which consist of the programs, or themes, within the Exploration Mission Directorate. The full costs include all labor, travel, procurement, test and fabrication costs to execute these programs, which provide for all of the research; development; operations; salaries and related expenses; and other general and administrative activities supporting the themes within this account. The Exploration Systems Mission Directorate was established to realize the Vision for Space Exploration. Exploration Systems includes two themes that work together to enable sustainable exploration and scientific discovery in the solar system: Constellation Systems and Advanced Capabilities.

Through Constellation Systems, NASA will develop, demonstrate, and deploy the transportation, life support, and surface systems that will enable sustained human and robotic exploration of the Moon, Mars, and beyond. Human exploration will enable scientific activities and discoveries not obtainable with robotic explorers. Initial capabilities include the Orion crew exploration vehicle that will transport and support crews traveling beyond low Earth orbit, and the Ares I launch vehicle for transporting Orion into space. Following the development of these initial capabilities, Constellation Systems will develop capabilities to support a human mission to the lunar surface by 2020 though this timeline may change. These capabilities include the Ares V heavylift launch vehicle, leveraging engineering design and capabilities from the Shuttle program; an Earth departure stage to propel Orion from low Earth to lunar orbit; a lunar surface access module to safely transport astronauts to and from the lunar surface; and systems, capabilities, and support for extended human stays on the lunar surface. Future development will provide crew, cargo transportation, and destination support capabilities required for human exploration of Mars and beyond.

The Advanced Capabilities theme consists of three programs: the lunar precursor robotic program, the exploration technology development program, and the human research program. Activities within these programs help prepare for human lunar exploration, test new technologies that enable exploration, and further understanding of the effects of space on human performance. The lunar precursor robotic program is developing a precursor mission to characterize the lunar environment. The lunar reconnaissance orbiter and lunar crater observing and sensing satellite, scheduled to launch in June 2009, will seek to find safe landing sites, locate potential resources, characterize the radiation environment, and demonstrate new technology. The lunar precursor robotic program will

work with the Science Mission Directorate and international partners on future missions to ensure that NASA gains the data it needs for safe and cost-effective future exploration.

For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommendation includes \$3,293,200,000, a decrease of \$212,269,000 over the comparable enacted level and \$669,900,000 below the budget request. The appropriation is available for one fiscal year, except that \$330,000,000 shall be available for two fiscal years. Recommended adjustments to the budget estimate are as follows:

Adjustment pending blue ribbon panel review:	
Constellation systems .....	-\$586,300,000
Advanced capabilities .....	+19,800,000
Realign the costs of administrative activities to CAS .....	-33,500,000
Consolidate all agency construction in new account .....	-69,900,000

*Adjustment pending Blue Ribbon Panel review.*—In early May, the Administration announced that NASA initiated an independent review of planned U.S. human space flight activities and alternatives to ensure that the Nation is undertaking efforts that are safe, innovative, affordable and sustainable in the years following completion of the Space Shuttle manifest and retirement. The review panel is to consider:

- expediting a new U.S. capability to support use of the International Space Station;
- supporting missions to the Moon and other destinations beyond low Earth orbit;
- stimulating commercial space flight capabilities; and,
- fitting within the current budget profile for NASA exploration activities.

The panel will present its results in time to support an Administration decision on the way forward by August 2009, during which time NASA will continue its current exploration projects, including Ares I, Orion, commercial crew and cargo efforts and lunar systems.

In light of this ongoing review, and pending the recommendations of the panel and a determination by the Administration on the way forward, the Committee recommends deferring an increase from the fiscal year 2009 enacted level. This deferral is taken without prejudice to the existing architecture. The funding of \$3,293,200,000 provided in this bill, together with \$250,000,000 in funds provided for Exploration activities in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, will provide resources for NASA to continue its efforts in human space flight in fiscal year 2010.

The Committee reaffirms its commitment to preserve the United States' world leadership in space exploration. This Committee has long supported the Nation's space program; that support of NASA and its manned space program continues today. The Committee acknowledges the unsustainable circumstances the Nation finds itself today with the lag between the retirement of the Shuttle and the launch of the next generation manned spacecraft and encourages the Administration and NASA to mitigate that hiatus to the extent practicable. The Committee recognizes that the United States cannot maintain its world leadership in space exploration without a robust manned space program capable of carrying crews beyond low Earth orbit.

The Committee looks forward to receiving the findings and recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Panel. To that end, however, the Committee asserts that its recommendations herein should not be viewed by the Blue Ribbon Panel as a diminution of the Committee's support for NASA's human space flight program. Previous budget requests have failed to fund fully the Nation's identified human space flight program, which over the years and in turn, have eroded in part NASA's ability to bring Constellation's initial operating capability within earlier established timelines. These budget shortfalls further exacerbate total program costs. Accordingly, after the work of the panel is complete, the Committee expects the Administration will amend its fiscal year 2010 budget request to fund fully the plan advocated by the panel and that the Administration's subsequent budget requests shall similarly include resources to fund fully the Nation's human space flight program. Lastly, the Committee looks forward to the appropriate authorizing committees' review and subsequent authorization of NASA's exploration programs and activities.

*International Space Station (ISS) research.*—These funds support the study of life and physical sciences phenomenon in microgravity, as well as in the partial gravity environments of the Moon and Mars. In testimony before the Committee, Dr. Lennard Fisk noted that investments in life science and microgravity have suffered “far more egregious” and disproportionate reductions in investments than any other science discipline at NASA. Accordingly, within funds provided for exploration technology development, the Committee provides \$50,000,000 for ISS research in fiscal year 2010, an increase of \$28,200,000 above the request and \$5,200,000 over fiscal year 2009.

*Technology infusion.*—A total of \$219,300,000 is provided for technology infusion, the same level as provided in fiscal year 2009. Within the funds provided, resources are available for the development of new technologies, including filament wound aluminum metal matrix composite technology for use in cryogenic fuels systems for space vehicles, to include Ares launch vehicles and the Altair lunar lander.

SPACE OPERATIONS

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$5,764,710,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	6,175,600,000
Recommended in the bill .....	6,097,300,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+332,590,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 78,300,000

This appropriation provides for the full costs associated with the space operations activities of the agency, which consist of the programs, or themes, within the Space Operations Mission Directorate. The full costs include all labor, travel, procurement, test and fabrication costs to execute these programs, which provide for all of the research; development; operations; salaries and related expenses; and other general and administrative activities supporting the themes within this account. Funding in this account is provided for the International Space Station and related activities, and the Space Shuttle program. The Shuttle program will support the Space Operations and Exploration Systems Mission Directorates to leverage select Shuttle flight hardware and ground sys-

tems to advance the development of the Orion crew exploration vehicle, the Ares I crew launch vehicle, and the Ares V heavy lift launch vehicle.

For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommendation includes \$6,097,300,000, a decrease of \$78,300,000 below the request and \$332,590,000 over comparable fiscal year 2009 enacted levels. The bill includes provisions providing specific amounts for Space Shuttle, International Space Station and Space and Flight support activities. The appropriation shall be available for one fiscal year, except that \$610,000,000 shall be available for two fiscal years. Recommended adjustments to the budget estimate are as follows:

Realign the costs of administrative activities to CAS .....	-\$33,800,000
Consolidate all agency construction in new account .....	- 26,800,000
Space and flight support operations unjustified program increase .....	- 17,700,000

#### EDUCATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$169,200,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	126,100,000
Recommended in the bill .....	175,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+5,800,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+48,900,000

This appropriation provides for the full costs associated with the education activities of the agency, which consist of the programs, or themes, within the Education Mission Directorate. The full costs include all labor, travel, and procurement costs to execute these programs, which provide for all general and administrative activities supporting this mission. NASA's Education program works to inspire and educate students at all levels to pursue careers in the fields of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics, while also engaging the education community to reach this goal. The Education portfolio demonstrates a commitment to science, technology, engineering and mathematics education to ensure that the next generation of explorers and innovators is fully prepared to join NASA's workforce while contributing to national needs. NASA's objectives are to (1) provide elementary and secondary students and teachers with NASA-related education opportunities; (2) support higher education research capability and opportunities that attract and prepare students and faculty for NASA-related careers; (3) provide students, teachers, faculty and researchers from underrepresented and underserved communities with opportunities in NASA-related science and technology fields; (4) and increase student, teacher and public access to NASA education resources by developing and deploying innovative technology applications platforms. NASA engages the public in shaping and sharing the experience of exploration and discovery by improving public understanding of science and technology, including NASA aerospace technology, research and exploration missions.

The Committee rejects the Administration's proposal to cut NASA's education programs from comparable fiscal year 2009 enacted levels. The 2005 National Academies' report, *Rising Above the Gathering Storm*, noted that the scientific and technological building blocks of the United States' economic leadership are eroding at a time when other nations are gathering strength. The necessary key identified in the report is to improve America's talent

pool by vastly improving Kindergarten through twelfth grade science and mathematics education. In addition, a recent congressionally-mandated task force found that by the end of this year, over one-quarter of the U.S. aerospace workforce will be eligible to retire, with too few engineers graduating from college to replace them. A continuing dearth of students and graduates in math, science and engineering cannot be sustained. Short-term cuts in education programs have long-term effects. Accordingly, for fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$175,000,000, an increase of \$48,900,000 over the budget request, and \$5,800,000 over comparable fiscal year 2009 enacted levels. Funds provided in this appropriation are to be allocated as follows:

Higher education STEM education:	
STEM opportunities (higher education) .....	\$11,600,000
Space Grants .....	45,600,000
EPSCoR .....	25,000,000
MUREP .....	30,600,000
Global climate change education .....	10,000,000
K-12 STEM education:	
Student opportunities K-12 .....	10,500,000
STEM teacher development .....	21,000,000
Competitive educational grant .....	15,000,000
Informal education:	
Science museums and planetariums .....	7,000,000
Realign the costs of administrative activities to CAS .....	- 1,300,000
Total, Education .....	175,000,000

*National Space Grant College and Fellowship program.*—For this program, the Committee recommends \$45,600,000 to fund 42 states or jurisdictions at \$900,000 each and 10 states or jurisdictions at \$700,000 each.

CROSS AGENCY SUPPORT

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$3,306,387,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	3,400,600,000
Recommended in the bill .....	3,164,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	- 142,387,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	- 236,600,000

This appropriation provides for the agency supporting functions associated with the science, aeronautics, education, space operations and exploration activities of the agency. This account provides for the research; development; operations; salaries and related expenses; and other general and administrative activities supporting the themes within the five other program accounts. Cross Agency Support provides a strategic focus for managing agency mission support functions and some of NASA's unique research facilities. This budget area consists of three themes: center management and operations; agency management and operations; and institutional investments. The center management and operations theme includes the basic costs to manage and operate each of the nine NASA field centers and to maintain the technical capabilities required to support the agency's mission. This budget theme is not directly identified or aligned to a specific program or project requirement, but is necessary for efficient and effective administration and operation of the NASA centers. The agency management and operations theme provides for the management and oversight of agency missions, functions, and the performance of

some agency-wide activities and unique research capabilities and facilities. Theme responsibilities include the determination of programs and projects; establishment of management policies, procedures, and performance criteria; evaluation of progress; and the coordination and integration of all phases of the agency's mission. The five major programs included in this theme are agency management, safety and mission success, agency IT services, innovative partnerships program, and strategic capability asset program. The institutional investments theme provides for design and execution of non-programmatic discrete and minor revitalization construction of facilities projects, facility demolition projects, and environmental compliance and restoration activities.

For fiscal year 2010, the bill provides \$3,164,000,000, \$236,600,000 below the budget request. Appropriations are made available for one fiscal year, reflecting the administrative nature of the account. The Committee recommendation provides for the following allocation of funds within cross-agency support programs:

Realign the costs of administrative activities to CAS .....	+\$98,900,000
Consolidate all agency construction in new account .....	-355,400,000
Safety and mission success .....	+25,300,000
Innovative partnership programs .....	-21,100,000
Congressionally-designated items .....	+15,700,000

*Center management and operations.*—The realignment of NASA's budget structure required by the fiscal year 2008 Omnibus Appropriations Act was intended to improve the transparency of NASA's budget by separately funding programmatic and administrative activities. It was the Committee's intent that the Cross Agency Support account fully fund Center administrative activities, including human resources, financial management, procurement, facilities operations, information technology services, and public affairs. As the 2009 budget is being executed by NASA, it appears that significant numbers of Center FTE in these administrative areas are being charged to programmatic accounts. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee proposes to transfer these administrative FTE from the program accounts to Center management and operations within the CAS account, as follows, and provide a total of \$2,182,900,000 for center management and operations:

Realign SMD administrative activities to CAS .....	+\$24,300,000
Realign ARMD administrative activities to CAS .....	+6,000,000
Realign ESMD administrative activities to CAS .....	+33,500,000
Realign SOMD administrative activities to CAS .....	+33,800,000
Realign EMD administrative activities to CAS .....	+1,300,000
Net adjustment .....	+98,900,000

*Safety and mission success.*—The Committee recommends a total of \$209,200,000, an increase of \$25,300,000 over the budget estimate, for safety and mission success. These funds shall be available for NASA's overall software assurance and risk management strategy to ensure the correctness and quality of critical and complex software systems. Within the funds provided for safety and mission success, \$50,000,000 shall be for independent verification and validation activities.

*Innovative partnerships.*—In total, the recommendation includes \$163,700,000 for innovative partnership programs. This level represents an increase of \$3,500,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$21,100,000 from the budget estimate. The rec-

ommendation does not include funding requested for several new activities that were not funded in fiscal year 2009, including innovation incubator, future centennial challenges and innovative technology, due to affordability considerations.

*Congressionally-directed items.*—Within the appropriation for cross-agency support programs, the Committee recommendation provides for the following Congressionally-directed activities:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
Cross Agency Support  
Congressionally-designated items  
(\$ in thousands)

Recipient	Project	Amount
Bay Area Houston Economic Partnership, Houston, TX	Bay Area Houston SATOP	1,000
Baylor University , Waco, TX	Advanced Composite Materials Research	400
CORE Philly, Philadelphia, PA	CORE Philly Scholarship Program	1,000
Drew University, Madison, NJ	Drew University Environmental Science Initiative	1,000
East Stroudsburg University, East Stroudsburg , PA	Marine Science Consortium Student-Faculty Research Collaborative with NASA Goddard Wallops Flight Facility	450
Educational Advancement Alliance (EAA), Philadelphia, , PA	Math Science and Technology (MST) Program	1,000
Embry Riddle Aeronautical University Prescott Campus, Prescott, AZ	NASA Educator Resource Center	200
FOTO, Los Angeles, CA	Science Education Programming	200
Fulton-Montgomery Community College , Johnstown, NY	Center for Engineering and Technology at Fulton-Montgomery Community College - Phase Two	300
Loma Linda University Medical Center, Loma Linda, CA, CA	Space Radiation Health Research Program	2,800
MTSU, Murfreesboro, TN	Aviation Safety and Human Factors Research Using Emerging Technologies	700
National Youth Science Foundation, Charleston, WV	Youth Science Discovery Experience and science center curriculum	200
ScienceSouth, Florence, SC	Innovative Science Learning Center	500
Tennessee Tech, Cookeville, TN	Center for Teaching & Learning in Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM)	400
Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX	Engineering support for extended human and robotic space flight missions - Texas Tech University	1,000
The Foundation for Community Empowerment, Inc., Dallas, TX	Dallas Achieves -- Transforming Public Education in Dallas, Texas, Through Math, Science and Technology Initiatives	500
The Thurgood Marshall College Fund, New York, NY	The Minority Science Initiative	100
The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL	Miniature Antennas for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	350
Towson University, Towson, MD	The Baltimore Excellence in Science Teaching (BEST) Partnership	1,000
University of Toledo, Toledo Ohio , OH	Advanced Photovoltaics Array Testing	500
Universtiy of Mississippi , University, MS	Innovative STEM Eduation	200
West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Aviation Safety Research and Design	500
Wheeling Jesuit University, Wheeling, WV	Great Science Online	250

CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE  
AND REMEDIATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	(1)
Recommended in the bill .....	\$441,700,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	(1)
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+441,700,000

<sup>1</sup>The budget requests funds for programmatic construction and environmental compliance and remediation in the respective mission directorates, and funds for institutional construction within the cross agency support account.

The Committee recommendation includes a new appropriation for the construction of facilities (CoF) and environmental compliance and remediation. In total, the bill provides \$441,700,000: the same level as requested in the budget estimate for programmatic construction in the Science and Space Operations mission directorates; \$66,900,000 for the Exploration mission directorate, which is the same level as provided in the fiscal year 2009, pending the conclusions of the Blue Panel Review; and a reduction of \$23,000,000 from the amounts requested for institutional investments within Cross Agency Support account. These funds, available for five years, are allocated within the bill and are to be available as follows:

Science .....	\$12,600,000
Exploration .....	69,900,000
Space operations .....	26,800,000
Cross agency support .....	332,400,000
Institutional investments .....	(261,200,000)
Environmental compliance and restoration .....	(71,200,000)
Total, construction and environmental compliance and re- mediation .....	441,700,000

NASA makes numerous changes to its construction of facilities program between the submission of the annual budget and project initiation and completion. Funding for programmatic (i.e., from within the mission directorates) CoF has increased nearly 46 percent between the original fiscal year 2004 to fiscal year 2008 budget requests and the fiscal year 2008 operating plan and funding for institutional (i.e., from within cross agency support) CoF has increased 8 percent between the original fiscal year 2004 to fiscal year 2008 budget requests and the fiscal year 2008 operating plan. However, scant detail and justification is provided in the operating plans for the numerous changes to the CoF program, and operating plans do not provide an effective mechanism to track the execution of CoF funding or project progress over time. The Committee asserts that changes to the way NASA's CoF funding is appropriated and administered, and specific reporting requirements for the CoF program, will provide more visibility into NASA's CoF program execution. NASA is directed to provide NASA form 1509 for each CoF project submitted in the annual budget request or an initial operating plan and for each CoF project subject to a reprogramming notification. The Committee's recommendation to create a new account will not affect NASA's current flexibility for managing its CoF program, but will ensure the detail and transparency needed to assess the validity and scope of a project and the appropriateness of its funding source. In total, these changes will restore a more disciplined approach to NASA's use of appropriated funds for the construction of its facilities.

*Cross agency support.*—Within institutional investments, the recommendation provides inflationary adjustments for the various activities as reflected in the following adjustments to the budget request:

Minor renovation of facilities at various locations .....	-\$12,100,000
Demolition of facilities .....	-4,700,000
Construction, planning, design and management .....	-6,200,000
	<hr/>
Total, recommended adjustments .....	-23,000,000

As Shuttle transition proceeds, the Committee urges NASA to maintain and update facilities at all 10 centers to ensure that each may participate in the work of future NASA missions and endeavors. NASA is directed to provide to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, within 60 days of the enactment of this Act, a comprehensive 5-year detailing by center projected facilities infrastructure improvements and construction. The plan shall also include current and projected mission assignments by center and how such proposed improvements meet each center’s mission objectives.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$33,600,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	36,400,000
Recommended in the bill .....	35,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,400,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-1,400,000

The Inspector General Act of 1978 established the Office of Inspector General. The Office’s mission is to prevent and detect crime, fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement while promoting economy, effectiveness, and efficiency with NASA. The Committee recommendation includes \$35,000,000 for the Office of Inspector General, \$1,400,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$1,400,000 below the budget estimate. The appropriation shall be available for one fiscal year.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The bill includes a provision that funds for announced prizes otherwise authorized shall remain available until expended, until the prize is claimed or the offer withdrawn.

The bill includes a provision that establishes thresholds for the transfer of funds.

The bill includes a provision prohibiting the use of funds to implement any reduction in force or other involuntary separations (except for cause) by NASA prior to September 30, 2010.

The bill includes a provision that provides for the transfer of balances under the old appropriations account structure to the new appropriations account structure.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

The National Science Foundation Act of 1950 established the Foundation “to promote the progress of science; to advance the national health, prosperity, and welfare; and to secure the national defense.” The National Science Foundation (NSF) supports all the non-medical fields of science, engineering and mathematics. While

the NSF serves as an efficient mechanism for funding research by the nation's colleges and universities focused on topics of critical national need, such as climate change, NSF's essential function is to fund a broad array of research. In this way, as new issues arise, the United States has technical expertise available to respond and maintains a nimble science and engineering enterprise that is positioned to capitalize on new opportunities.

The value of the NSF to the future growth of the United States economy was clearly acknowledged in the National Academy of Sciences report *Rising Above the Gathering Storm* and in the America Competes Act (Public Law 110-69). NSF's budget is on course to double by fiscal year 2016, and the funding recommended in this bill is consistent with this goal.

*Continuity in funding levels.*—The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) included an additional \$3,002,000,000 in funding for NSF. Roughly two-thirds of these added funds will support 2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-year research grants, with the overwhelming majority of this amount likely going to fund 3-year grants, consistent with normal NSF practice. These grants will encourage many undergraduate science, engineering, and mathematics majors to go to graduate school in their technical fields and will support a pulse of research positions employing new graduate degree recipients. The budget request projects NSF funding in fiscal year 2012 of \$8,490,000,000. This level is only \$1,990,000,000 above the base level for fiscal year 2009. The Committee expects future budget requests for NSF at least adequate to sustain the levels of science workforce supported in fiscal year 2009 by the combined Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111-8) and ARRA so as to avoid a boom-bust cycle in science, engineering, and mathematics employment. It is critical that there be sufficient NSF funding in fiscal year 2012 to support opportunities for this pulse of additional graduate students and young researchers to continue their careers when the 3-year grants funded by ARRA expire.

The budget request projects NSF funding in fiscal year 2011 of \$7,250,000,000, which is less than 3 percent above the fiscal year 2010 request. The Committee finds this level of request inadequate given the critical role played by NSF and the Nation's colleges and universities. A 7 percent increase, as projected for the annual increases in fiscal years 2013–2016, would be more consistent with 10-year doubling of NSF's budget.

The Committee directs the Foundation to convene a panel of experts to survey U.S. pre-K to 12 schools that are highly successful in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) education and a sampling of corresponding institutions abroad and report recommendations to Congress on how their STEM education practices might be more broadly replicated in the U.S. public school system. The membership of the panel should include educators, businesspersons, scientists, engineers and others, based on their expertise and contributions in the aforementioned fields. The Foundation shall submit a report of the findings and recommendations of this panel to the Committee within 180 days of the enactment of this Act.

## RESEARCH AND RELATED ACTIVITIES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$5,183,100,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	5,733,240,000
Recommended in the bill .....	5,642,110,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+459,010,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-91,130,000

The Research and Related Activities appropriation enables the United States to provide leadership and promote progress across the expanding frontiers of scientific and engineering research and education. This appropriation provides resources for NSF's major research activities, which include biological sciences; computer and information science and engineering; engineering; geosciences; mathematical and physical sciences; social, behavioral, and economic sciences; Office of International Science and Engineering; U.S. polar research programs; U.S. Antarctic logistical support activities; integrative activities, including the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR); Office of Cyberinfrastructure; and the U.S. Arctic Research Commission.

The Committee recommends an appropriation for research and related activities of \$5,642,110,000 for fiscal year 2010, an increase of \$459,010,000 over the comparable fiscal year 2009 enacted level. The reduction recommended from the request for NSF research support enables increases in important research and education funding in NOAA and NASA.

*Climate change.*—All requested increases for climate change in the various research programs are supported.

*Graduate research fellows.*—The budget request provides funding for the selection of 1,654 new fellows in fiscal year 2010. The Administration has set a goal of 3,000 new graduate research fellows per year by 2013. To accelerate the increase in graduate research fellows, the request is increased by \$14,010,000 to enable NSF to select and support 2,000 new fellows in fiscal year 2010.

*Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR).*—EPSCoR is critical as one means to build science expertise in all States. The Committee recommendation includes \$147,120,000, the same as the request.

*High-risk, High-reward Basic Research.*—The Committee supports the proposal in the budget request to set aside a minimum of \$2,000,000 in each research division to explore methodologies that support transformative research. The Committee understands that this initiative will total approximately \$92,000,000 Foundation-wide, and may include innovative processes for identifying potentially transformative research, special solicitations and competitions including innovation prizes, increased use of specialized funding mechanisms, and exploring novel processes for problem solving.

*Major research instrumentation.*—The recommendation includes no funds for Major Research Instrumentation. This reduction of \$100,000,000 from the request recognizes that NSF has issued two solicitations during fiscal year 2009 for Major Research Instrumentation with awards expected to total \$400,000,000. The Committee expects the 2011 request again will seek significant funds for this important program within Research and Related Activities.

*Materials research.*—The request for additional work in Research Resources for Materials Research within Mathematical and Phys-

ical Sciences is not supported in light of the major similar investments in basic energy sciences within the Department of Energy Office of Science.

*Hydrology and terrestrial ecosystems research.*—The Committee notes the success of the National Center for Atmospheric Research in serving as an institutional focus for atmospheric research and in the provision of shared infrastructure, especially supercomputing and the community climate model. The Committee sees the need for an appropriate mechanism to bring together the hydrology research community and better integrate the different types of data and observing systems and enhance support of hydrology modeling. The Committee also sees the need for an appropriate mechanism to bring together the terrestrial ecology research community including the existing Long Term Ecological Research sites and their data, the considerable efforts in agriculture, forestry, and the USGS Biological Research Program, those who use satellite data such as that from Landsat, EOS, and the operational NOAA satellites, and the new NEON distributed observing system. NSF is directed to report its recommendations on the need for and establishment of mechanisms in these two areas of research with the budget request for fiscal year 2011.

*Climate change education.*—Using the most current information available, the National Academy of Sciences is undertaking a study to evaluate the implications of different atmospheric greenhouse gas concentration target levels and explain the uncertainties inherent in the analyses to inform policy makers as they make decisions about stabilization target levels for atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations. Within the amounts appropriated, \$100,000 is included for transfer to the National Academy of Sciences to develop educational materials based on the conclusions of this study for use at the collegiate level to strengthen climate education.

*Ocean acidification.*—Ocean acidification is a critical problem with potentially destructive effects on coral reefs and commercial fisheries. Within the amounts appropriated, funding is included for research into the causes of and solutions to the growing threat of ocean acidification at levels consistent with the recommendations of the Federal Ocean Acidification Research and Monitoring Program.

*Metagenomics research.*—In environmental research and soil science, the new approach of metagenomics, in which genes are identified within samples without isolating individual species, is of increasing value.

MAJOR RESEARCH EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$152,010,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	117,290,000
Recommended in the bill .....	114,290,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	– 37,720,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	– 3,000,000

The Major Research Equipment and Facilities Construction (MREFC) appropriation provides funding for the construction and acquisition of major research facilities that provide unique capabilities at the cutting edge of science and engineering. Operations and maintenance costs of the facilities are provided through appropriations made to Research and Related Activities (R&RA). For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommendation includes \$114,290,000,

a decrease of \$37,720,000 from the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$3,000,000 below the budget request. The recommendation deletes \$3,000,000 proposed to repay the Judgment Fund. The bill also includes language prohibiting the use of funds to repay the Judgment Fund.

The following table shows the specific projects for which funding was requested and is recommended.

Advanced LIGO .....	\$46,300,000
Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) .....	42,760,000
Advanced Technology Solar Telescope (ATST) .....	10,000,000
Ocean Observatories Initiative (OOI) .....	14,280,000
Ice Cube .....	950,000

*Distributed and networked observing systems.*—Future major research equipment projects that involve spatially distributed networks of sensors, such as envisioned for NEON, are likely to be critical resources required for use by many researchers in oceanography, limnology, terrestrial and marine ecology and other fields. Funding for such systems is appropriate for inclusion in MREFC.

EDUCATION AND HUMAN RESOURCES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$845,260,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	857,760,000
Recommended in the bill .....	862,900,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+17,640,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+5,140,000

The Foundation’s Education and Human Resources activities support all levels of education and training from pre-Kindergarten through career development; promote public understanding of science, mathematics, engineering and technology; and ensure the United States has world-class scientists, mathematicians and engineers. This appropriation supports a cohesive and comprehensive set of activities that encompass every level of education and every region of the country. The major components of this directorate include research and learning in formal and informal settings; undergraduate education; graduate education; and human resource development.

The Committee recommendation provides \$862,900,000 for education and human resources, an increase of \$17,640,000 over the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$5,140,000 above the budget request. The recommendation includes adjustments to the budget request for the specific programs, as follows:

Discovery research K–12 .....	+\$20,000,000
Research and evaluation on education in science and engineering .....	+5,000,000
Course, curriculum and laboratory improvement .....	+5,000,000
STEM talent expansion program .....	+2,560,000
Robert Noyce scholarship program .....	+10,000,000
Advanced technology education .....	–41,600,000
Math and science partnership .....	+2,780,000
Tribal colleges and universities .....	+1,400,000

*Advanced Technology Education.*—The recommendation supports continuation of all existing grants within the Advanced Technology Education Program but does not support any new grants. The Committee recommends that future requests for this program of training technicians to work in industry be made in more appropriate departments or agencies such as the Department of Education.

*K-6 science education.*—In testimony before the Commerce, Justice, Science Subcommittee, it was stated that most children decide to pursue science, engineering or mathematics in elementary school. NSF is directed to prepare a report on K-6 science education compiling all state standards, evidence of their effectiveness, and the degree to which they inculcate scientific inquiry in the education of young students. This report is due to the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate no later than April 1, 2010. NSF is encouraged to work with the National Research Council, the National Science Teachers Association, and the American Association for the Advancement of Science in the preparation of this report and to include recommendations for how to improve science education in grades K-6.

*Experiential learning.*—The Committee strongly believes that students need to have experience doing science and not merely reading about it. Half a century ago an advantage of U.S. science education was the inclusion of laboratory work in high school and college science courses. Today, this type of practical student experience needs to extend beyond reproducing standard experiments to taking measurements where the correct result is not already known. Furthermore, it should extend beyond the four walls of a physics, chemistry, or biology lab. Opportunities for scientific measurement are all around us in the ever changing natural world and are simple enough to be accessible even to elementary school students with affordable equipment such as thermometers. Such hands-on measurement experiences are the central element of inquiry-based instruction where students “do” science: formulating research questions, taking measurements, analyzing data using their mathematics skills, and articulating their results. It is critical that hands-on, inquiry-based instruction form the basis of student learning because addressing the complexity and uncertainty inherent in current real world problems requires the integrated application of all the sciences and mathematics and research shows that this improves student achievement and retention. Science literacy for the general public and future innovation workforce relies on students doing and thinking of how to apply science and math together from their earliest years. Accordingly, the Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$30,000,000 above the request to enhance research, implementation and evaluation of inquiry-based, hands-on instruction for K-12 science students and those who will teach them.

*Discovery research K-12 (DR-K12).*—The increase above the request for the DR-K12 program is to enhance advancement of inquiry-based instruction in K-12 STEM teaching with at least half the funding focused on grades K-6. This may be done through the development, implementation, and study of resources, models, and technologies for use by students, teachers, and policymakers.

*Research and evaluation of inquiry-based instruction.*—The increase above the request for the Research and Evaluation on Education in Science and Engineering (REESE) program is provided to enhance support for empirical research on inquiry-based instruction.

*Inquiry-based undergraduate instruction.*—The increase above the request is provided to support creation, adaptation, and dissemination of learning materials and teaching strategies that im-

plement inquiry-based instruction in teaching science to future K-12 teachers and other undergraduates and to assess student learning and evaluate course effectiveness. Teachers need to experience a model of inquiry-based science instruction in order to have a model for use of inquiry in their classrooms.

*Hispanic Serving Institutions—Undergraduate Program.*—NSF is directed to provide a report detailing plans to establish a Hispanic Serving Institutions—Undergraduate Program no later than 90 days following enactment. The Committee expects a significant funding request for such a program to be included in the fiscal year 2011 budget request for NSF.

AGENCY OPERATIONS AND AWARD MANAGEMENT

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$294,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	318,370,000
Recommended in the bill .....	299,870,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+5,870,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	-18,500,000

The Agency Operations and Award Management appropriation includes funding for staff salaries, benefits, staff travel, training, rent, information technology, administrative contractual services, supplies, equipment and other operating expenses necessary for management of NSF’s research and education activities. This appropriation supports full-time equivalents, provides for current administrative services and enhances the agency’s investment in information technology to increase productivity. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommendation provides \$299,870,000, \$5,870,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$18,500,000 below the budget request. The recommended level provides for inflationary increases in staffing at the NSF. NSF continues to be a well-managed, adequately staffed agency. Given the challenging economic climate of the Nation, NSF is encouraged to avoid excessive salaries for rotators.

NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$4,030,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	4,340,000
Recommended in the bill .....	4,340,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2008 enacted .....	+310,000
Fiscal Year 2009 request .....	

The Office of the National Science Board, established in 1950, establishes policies and assesses the quality, relevance and performance of the National Science Foundation’s awards and capital investments. In addition, the Board provides advice to the executive branch and Congress on matters of science and engineering policy. For fiscal year 2010, the recommendation provides \$4,340,000, the same as the budget request and \$310,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$12,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	14,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	13,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2008 enacted .....	+1,000,000
Fiscal Year 2009 request .....	-1,000,000

The Office of the Inspector General was established to promote economy, efficiency and effectiveness in administering the Foundation’s programs; to detect and prevent waste, fraud or abuse within the Foundation or by individuals that request or receive National Science Foundation funding; and to identify and resolve cases of misconduct in science. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$13,000,000, an increase of \$1,000,000 above the fiscal year 2009 enacted level and \$1,000,000 below the budget estimate.

TITLE IV—RELATED AGENCIES

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$8,800,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	9,400,000
Recommended in the bill .....	9,400,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+600,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The United States Commission on Civil Rights was created to be an independent, bi-partisan, fact-finding agency. The Commission is responsible for investigating charges of citizens being deprived of voting and other civil rights and collecting, studying and disseminating information on the impact of Federal laws and policies on civil rights. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$9,400,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Support of State Advisory Committees (SACs).*—The Committee is supportive of the Commission’s goal to increase the number of SACs with active charters. However, the published chartering goals for 2009 and 2010 appear unrealistic when compared to the pace at which the Commission re-chartered SACs in 2007 and 2008. In order to improve the Committee’s ability to track chartering progress, the Commission is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations a biannual report listing the 51 SACs and the expiration date of the most recent charter for each. The report should also include the projected date of re-chartering for those SACs whose most recent charter has expired and a description of the recent activities undertaken by those that do have an active charter.

In the event that the Commission is able to achieve its chartering goals, the Committee notes that significant vacancy rates in regional offices will still impede the Commission’s larger goal of reinvigorating its SACs. Under the assumptions in the budget request, there will be more active SACs in operation in 2010, but the number of regional office staff available to support them will actually decrease. Only one of the six regional offices is projected to be fully staffed, and one is projected to enter its third year with a secretary as the only onboard staff. The Commission is urged to en-

sure that its regional office staffing plan is coordinated with its charter expansion plan so that newly reinvigorated SACs will have the operational support necessary to succeed.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$343,925,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	367,303,000
Recommended in the bill .....	367,303,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+23,378,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) has jurisdiction over employment discrimination issues within the Federal government and also for private employers, State and local agencies, employment services and labor organizations. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$367,303,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Backlog reduction.*—The Committee remains very concerned about EEOC’s increasing charge backlog, which is on pace to reach 102,944 charges by the end of fiscal year 2010. This is nearly double the size of the backlog as it existed less than three years ago. Clearly, staff levels have been inadequate to deal with this workload, and a significant new investment in personnel, particularly investigators and attorneys, will be necessary to even maintain the backlog at current levels.

The Committee is disappointed, therefore, that the budget request does not contain more resources for staffing. At the requested level, EEOC will only be able to fill existing “hollow” FTE rather than increase staffing above the current FTE ceiling. At these levels, EEOC can expect, at best, a slowing of the rate of growth in the backlog projections rather than any substantive backlog reduction. The Committee urges the EEOC to develop and implement a multiyear plan to increase EEOC staffing to the levels necessary to achieve backlog reduction in a timely manner. In the meantime, EEOC is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations quarterly reports on projected and actual agency staffing levels so that the Committee can better monitor EEOC’s personnel resources.

*Workload projections.*—EEOC is reminded that workload projections should be continually calibrated with actual data as it becomes available through midyear reviews and other means. EEOC is also directed to ensure that its workload projections account for necessary changes in overtime policy as required by a March 23, 2009 arbitration decision addressing EEOC overtime payments.

*Federal sector hearings.*—The budget request notes EEOC’s intention to prioritize Federal sector cases into fast, regular or complex discovery tracks in order to improve the efficiency of the hearing process. EEOC is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations within 60 days of the enactment of this Act an implementation plan for the new process, including background on the need for these changes, the proposed implementation schedule and an analysis of the potential impact, both positive and negative, on the ability of Federal employees to get a fair hearing under the track system.

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$75,100,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	82,700,000
Recommended in the bill .....	82,700,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+7,600,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The International Trade Commission is an independent, quasi-judicial agency responsible for conducting trade-related investigations; providing the Congress and the President with independent, expert technical advice to assist in the development and implementation of U.S. international trade policy; responding to the Congress and the President on various matters affecting international trade; maintaining the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System of internationally accepted product nomenclature; providing technical assistance to eligible small businesses seeking remedies and benefits under the trade laws; and performing other specific statutory responsibilities ranging from research and analysis to quasi-judicial functions on trade-related matters.

The Committee recommends \$82,700,000 for the International Trade Commission (ITC) for fiscal year 2009, which is \$7,600,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the same as the request. This provides for the acquisition of additional space and fills key positions to meet the increased section 337 intellectual property case-load.

## LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

## PAYMENT TO THE LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$390,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	435,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	440,000,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+50,000,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+5,000,000

The Legal Services Corporation (LSC) is a private, non-profit corporation that provides free civil legal assistance, according to locally-determined priorities, to people living in poverty. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$440,000,000, which is \$5,000,000 above the budget request.

*Increasing financial impact.*—Given the increasing demand for legal aid services and the contraction of non-federal legal aid funding sources, it is critical for LSC to identify every possible means to increase the impact of its Federally appropriated dollars. Obtaining more services at no or low cost through private attorney involvement would provide one such means, and the Committee encourages LSC to increase its efforts to do so. The Committee also encourages LSC to reevaluate its prioritization between basic field grants and Technology Initiative Grants (TIG). LSC has provided examples of how effective TIG implementation has increased efficiency, allowed grantees to serve a greater total number of clients and freed up attorney resources for use on the most complex cases. With these benefits in mind, the Committee hopes that LSC will consider more significant increases to the TIG program when making future funding requests.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

A general provision in Title V of the bill revises the administrative provision in order to permit grantees to pursue the recovery of attorney’s fees when recovery is permitted or required under Federal or State law. The Committee believes that this action will level the playing field between legal aid attorneys and their counterparts in the private sector and provide a potentially crucial source of additional revenue to legal aid providers in a year in which state and private funding sources are decreasing.

MARINE MAMMAL COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$3,200,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	3,000,000
Recommended in the bill .....	3,300,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+100,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	+300,000

The Marine Mammal Commission and its Committee of Scientific Advisors on Marine Mammals are charged with overseeing and advising on domestic and international actions to further the policies and provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act. The Commission provides objective, science-based advice to the Congress and the Executive Branch on issues related to the protection of marine mammals. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$3,300,000, which is \$300,000 above the budget request. The Committee further recommends that the Commission continue its global assessment of marine mammals and prepare a summary report for Congress prioritizing international research and conservation efforts.

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$47,272,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	48,326,000
Recommended in the bill .....	48,326,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,054,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	

The Office of the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) seeks to contribute to U.S. economic growth, competitiveness and prosperity by opening markets and reducing trade and investment barriers around the world to create new commercial opportunities for U.S. goods and services industries, workers, ranchers and farmers. The Committee recommendation includes \$48,326,000 for the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) for fiscal year 2009, which is \$1,054,000 above the fiscal year 2009 level and the same as the request.

The U.S. Trade Representative is a member of the President’s Cabinet and serves as the President’s principal trade advisor, negotiator, and spokesperson on trade issues. USTR is part of the Executive Office of the President. Through an interagency structure, USTR coordinates trade policy, resolves disagreements, and frames issues for presidential decision. The USTR is also the Vice-Chairman of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation, a member of

the Board of the Millennium Challenge Corporation, an ex officio member of the Export-Import Bank Board of Directors, and a member of the National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Policies.

*Intellectual Property Rights (IPR).*—The Committee is aware of significant intellectual property issues that infringe on our trading relationships with China, Russia and Canada. The Committee encourages USTR to continue to prioritize such issues in bilateral and multilateral trade negotiations, and in particular, to provide semi-annual reports to the Committee on Russia’s progress in complying with intellectual property commitments.

*International Standards.*—The Committee directs the USTR to ensure that U.S. business interests are fully represented in international standards negotiations. The Committee directs the USTR to collaborate with the Department of Commerce, including the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and the State Department to reduce trade barriers to U.S. exports. The Committee continues its direction to the USTR to ensure U.S. standards are adopted in international negotiations.

*Textiles.*—The Committee is aware of concerns about the World Trade Organization negotiations concerning textiles and apparel. Specifically, the Committee is concerned that the current part of tariff reduction negotiations under the Doha Round will result in a non-reciprocal arrangement detrimental to United States manufacturers. The Committee believes such negotiations should be consistent with the trade negotiating objectives of the United States contained in section 2012 of the Bipartisan Trade Promotion Authority Act of 2002 (19 U.S.C. 3802) and directs to report to the Committee within 60 days of enactment of this Act regarding adherence to these objectives. Bill language is included regarding this matter.

STATE JUSTICE INSTITUTE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	\$4,100,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	5,131,000
Recommended in the bill .....	5,131,000
Bill compared with:	
Fiscal Year 2009 enacted .....	+1,031,000
Fiscal Year 2010 request .....	.....

The State Justice Institute (SJI) is a non-governmental organization that awards grants and undertakes other activities designed to improve the administration of justice in State courts. For fiscal year 2010, the Committee recommends \$5,131,000, which is equal to the budget request.

*Grant funding.*—The Committee’s recommendation will allow SJI to increase grant funding available for each of its five categories of major grants. Deficits in State governments across the country will likely encourage more State courts to look for outside funding sources to support their initiatives, and the Committee is hopeful that the increases provided in this bill will allow SJI to meet this increased demand for its services.

## TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Committee recommendation includes the following general provisions for the departments and agencies funded in the accompanying bill.

Section 501 prohibits the use of funds for publicity or propaganda purposes unless expressly authorized by law.

Section 502 prohibits any appropriation contained in this Act from remaining available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless explicitly authorized.

Section 503 provides that the expenditure of any appropriation contained in the Act for any consulting service through procurement contracts shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law or under existing Executive Order issued pursuant to existing law.

Section 504 provides that if any provision of the Act or the application of such provision to any person or circumstance shall be held invalid, the remainder of the Act and the application of such provisions to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid shall not be affected.

Section 505 provides for the Committee's policy concerning the reprogramming of funds. Section 505(a) prohibits the reprogramming of funds which: (1) creates or initiates a new program, project or activity; (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (3) increases funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (4) relocates offices or employees, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (5) reorganizes or re-names offices, programs, or activities, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (6) contracts out or privatizes any function or activity presently performed by Federal employees unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (7) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by either the House or Senate Committees on Appropriations, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (8) augments funds for existing programs, projects or activities in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, or reduces by 10 percent funding for any existing program, project, or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by Congress, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; or (9) results from any general savings, including savings from a reduction in personnel, which would result in a change in existing programs, activities, or projects as approved by Congress unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance. No reprogramming of funds is permitted after August 1, except in extraordinary circumstances and only after the House and Senate Committees are notified in advance of such reprogramming of funds. Agencies must follow reprogramming procedures with respect to carryover funds.

Section 506 prohibits funds from being used to implement, administer, or enforce any guidelines of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission covering harassment based on religion similar to proposed guidelines published by the EEOC in October 1993.

Section 507 provides that if it is determined that any person intentionally affixes a "Made in America" label to any product that was not made in America that person shall not be eligible to receive any contract or subcontract with funds made available in this Act.

Section 508 requires quarterly reporting to Congress of unobligated balances that were received during any previous fiscal year.

Section 509 provides that any closing or downsizing costs incurred by a department or agency funded under this Act resulting from funding reductions in the Act shall be absorbed within the budgetary resources available to the Department or agency, and provides transfer authority between appropriation accounts to carry out the provision, subject to reprogramming procedures.

Section 510 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to promote the sale or export of tobacco or tobacco products or to seek the reduction or removal of foreign restrictions on the marketing of tobacco products, provided that such restrictions are applied equally to all tobacco products or tobacco products of the same type. This provision is not intended to impact routine international trade services provided to all U.S. citizens, including the processing of applications to establish foreign trade zones.

Section 511 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to implement a Federal user fee for background checks conducted pursuant to the Brady Handgun Control Act of 1993, or to implement a background check system that does not require and result in the destruction of certain information within 24 hours.

Section 512 delays the obligations of any receipts deposited into the Crime Victims Fund in excess of \$700,000,000 until October 1, 2010. This language is continued to ensure a stable source of funds will remain available for the program, despite inconsistent levels of criminal fines deposited annually into the fund.

Section 513 prohibits the use of Department of Justice funds for programs that discriminate against or denigrate the religious beliefs of students participating in such programs.

Section 514 prohibits the transfer of funds in the Act to any department or agency of the United States Government, except for transfers made under authorities provided in this, or any other appropriations Act.

Section 515 provides that funds provided for E-Government Initiatives shall be subject to the procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act.

Section 516 requires the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives to include specific language in any release of tracing study data that makes clear that trace data cannot be used to draw broad conclusions about firearms-related crime.

Section 517 requires certain timetables of audits performed by Inspectors General of the departments and agencies funded in this Act.

Section 518 prohibits the use of funds to process patents of human organisms. The intent of this provision is as expressed in the colloquy between the provision's sponsor in the House and the

Ranking Minority Member of the House Committee on Appropriations as occurred on July 22, 2003, with respect to any existing patents on stem cells.

Section 519 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to be used to support or justify torture by any official or contract employee of the United States Government.

Section 520 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to require certain export licenses.

Section 521 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to deny certain import applications regarding “curios or relics” firearms, parts, or ammunition.

Section 522 prohibits the use of funds to include certain language in trade agreements.

Section 523 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to authorize or issue a National Security Letter (NSL) in contravention of certain laws authorizing the Federal Bureau of Investigation to issue NSLs.

Section 524 requires the congressional notification of any project within the Departments of Commerce or Justice, or the National Science Foundation and the National Aeronautics Space Administration totaling more than \$75,000,000 that has cost increases of at least 10 percent.

Section 525 deems funds for intelligence or intelligence-related activities as authorized by the Congress until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2010.

Section 526 requires the departments and agencies funded in this Act to establish and maintain on the homepages of their Internet website direct links to their Offices of Inspector General, and a mechanism by which individuals may anonymously report cases of waste, fraud and abuse.

Section 527 prohibits contracts or grant awards in excess of \$5,000,000 unless the prospective contractor or grantee that has not certified that he has filed all Federal tax returns, has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the IRS Code of 1986, and has no unpaid Federal tax assessment.

Section 528 prohibits the use of funds in this Act that is inconsistent with the principal negotiating objectives of the United States with respect to trade laws.

Section 529 provides for rescissions of unobligated balances in certain departments and agencies funded in the Act.

Section 530 prohibits the use of funds in this Act for the purchase of first class or premium airline travel.

Section 531 prohibits the use of funds to pay for the attendance of more than 50 employees at any single conference outside the United States.

Section 532 prohibits the use of funds for the release of an individual detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, into the United States, and prohibits the transfer of an individual detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, until a comprehensive plan is submitted.

Section 533 allows LSC grantees to recover attorney’s fees when permitted under Federal or State laws.

Section 534 provides authority to the Attorney General to pay certain relocation expenses of the immediate dependent family of a

law enforcement or corrections officer who dies while performing official duties.

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following materials are submitted in accordance with various requirements of the Rules of the House of Representatives:

#### CHANGES IN THE APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

#### Title I—Department of Commerce

##### *International Trade Administration:*

- Includes language providing funds for engaging in trade promotion activities abroad, including in expenses of grants and cooperative agreements for the purposes of promoting exports of U.S. firms.
- Includes language that provides full medical coverage for dependent members of immediate families of employees stationed overseas and employees temporarily posted overseas; travel and transportation of employees of the United States and Foreign Commercial Service; employment of Americans and aliens by contract for services; rental of space abroad and expenses of alteration, repair, or improvement; purchase or construction of temporary demountable exhibition structures for use abroad; and payment of tort claims.
- Includes language regarding official representation expenses abroad and the purchase of passenger motor vehicles for official use abroad.
- Provides a legislative set-aside, for the Office of China Compliance and the China Countervailing Duty group.
- Includes language regarding the contribution to the Mutual Educational and Culture Exchange Act of 1961 to include payment for assessment.
- Includes language that relates to World Trade Organization negotiations and antidumping and countervailing duties.
- Includes language regarding the World Trade Organization and negotiating objectives.
- Includes language that stipulates that funds provided for specific items shall be available in the amounts specified in the report accompanying this Act.

##### *Bureau of Industry and Security: Operations and Administration:*

- Includes language regarding export administration and national security activities of the Department of Commerce.
- Includes language providing for the costs associated with the performance of export administration field activities both domestically and abroad; full medical coverage for dependent members of immediate families of employees stationed overseas; employment of Americans and aliens by contract for services abroad; payment of tort claims; official representation expenses abroad; awards of compensation to informers; purchase of passenger motor vehicles for of-

official use and motor vehicles for law enforcement use; and inspections and other activities related to national security.

- Includes language regarding the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961 and the retention of payments and contributions.

*Economic Development Administration: Salaries and Expenses:*

- Includes language regarding the monitoring of approved projects.

*Minority Business Development Agency:*

- Includes language making funds available for developing minority business enterprise, including expenses of grants, contracts and other agreements.

- Includes language that stipulates that funds provided for specific items shall be available in the amounts specified in the report accompanying this Act.

*Bureau of the Census Periodic Census and Programs:*

- Includes language regarding collecting data on race.
- Includes language relating to the use of funds for promotion, outreach and marketing activities.

*National Telecommunications and Information Administration:*

- Includes language permitting the Secretary of Commerce to charge federal agencies for costs in spectrum management, analysis, operations, and related services; and to use such collections in telecommunications research.

*Public Telecommunications Facilities, Planning and Construction:*

- Includes language providing funds available for program administration and makes unobligated balances available for grants.

*United States Patent and Trademark Office:*

- Includes language providing that appropriated funds be reduced as offsetting collections are assessed and collected and reducing appropriations if fee collections are less than appropriated funding.

- Provides for the availability of funds received in excess of appropriations to be available until expended.

- Includes language regarding basic pay and certain retirement benefits; limiting official reception and representation expenses; and providing that sections 801, 802, and 803 of division B, of P.L. 108–447 shall remain in effect during fiscal year 2010.

- Includes authority to permit the establishment of fees related to the electronic filing of documents.

- Provides authority to enter into cooperative agreements to conduct policy studies related to fair international protection of intellectual property rights.

*National Institute of Standards and Technology Scientific and Technical Research and Services:*

- Includes language limiting funds for official reception and representation expenses and transfers to the working capital fund.

*Industrial Technology Service:*

- Provides for the multi-year availability of funds provided for the Hollings Manufacturing Extension Partnership.

- Provides for the multi-year availability of funds provided for Technical Innovation Program.

*Construction of Research Facilities:*

- Provides for the multi-year availability of funds provided for construction of research facilities.
- Includes language regarding the submission of certain materials in support of construction budget requests.

- Provides funding for a competitive construction grant program.

*National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA): Operations, Research and Facilities:*

- Includes language specifying the use of funds and providing for two year availability for cooperative enforcement funds.

- Includes language allowing fees and donations received by a particular line office to be retained and used for expenses related to those activities.

- Provides that certain funds be derived from various sources.

- Includes language limiting the amount of funds that can be provided for corporate services, administrative support, and the Department working capital fund, including general counsel legal services.

- Includes language specifying that deviations from amounts included in the report accompanying the Act shall be subject to section 505 of this Act.

- Includes language restricting funds under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended.

- Includes language providing for retired pay expenses.

- Includes language that stipulates that funds provided for specific items shall be available in the amounts specified in the report accompanying this Act.

*Procurement, Acquisition and Construction:*

- Provides for the multi-year availability of funds provided for construction of facilities.

- Provides that certain funds be derived from various sources.

- Includes language that stipulates that funds provided for specific items shall be available in the amounts specified in the report accompanying this Act.

- Includes language specifying that deviations from amounts included in the report accompanying the Act shall be subject to section 505 of this Act.

*Coastal Zone Management Funds:*

- Includes language relating to offsetting collections.

*Fisheries Finance Program Account:*

- Includes limitations on individual fishing quota loans and for traditional direct loans and prohibits direct loans for any new fishing vessel that will increase the harvesting capacity in any U.S. fishery.

*Departmental Management:*

- Includes language limiting funds for official reception and representation expenses.

- Includes language regarding audits and evaluations by the Bureau of the Census and retains from obligation funds until the Secretary has certified that the Bureau of the Census has met all best practices related to contracting and information technology.

*Herbert C. Hoover Building Renovation and Modernization:*

- Includes language regarding blast windows for renovation and modernization.

*General Provisions: Department of Commerce*

- Includes language making appropriations and funds available for advance payments only on the Secretary's certification that such payments are in the public interest.
- Includes language providing for use of appropriations for salaries and expenses for hire of passenger motor vehicles and uniforms or such allowances, as authorized.
- Includes a provision regarding transfers between NOAA and the Department.
- Includes a provision requiring the absorption of funding reductions related to personnel actions.
- Includes a provision adopting section 112 of division B of Public Law 110-61.
- Includes a provision allowing the Secretary of Commerce to furnish services within Department of Commerce buildings for reimbursement, which would then be credited to the appropriation or fund that provides such services.
- Includes a provision authorizing the Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration to avail the agency of resources of other departments, agencies or instrumentalities of the United States or any political subdivision thereof, or foreign government or international organization to carry out the responsibilities of any statute administered by the agency.

#### Title II—Department of Justice

##### *General Administration (GA):*

- Includes language authorizing the transfer of funds between offices in this account; limiting the amount that offices within GA can increase or decrease; providing for specific amounts for various offices; extending availability for construction funds; and requiring notification on funding changes.

##### *National Drug Intelligence Center (NDIC):*

- Includes language designating funds for reimbursement of personnel for support of the Department of Defense's counter-drug intelligence responsibilities.
- Includes language establishing that NDIC shall maintain the personnel and technical resources needed to conduct document and computer exploitation capabilities.

##### *Justice Information Sharing Technology:*

- Includes a specific amount for the unified financial management system.

##### *Tactical Law Enforcement Wireless Communications:*

- Includes language regarding the transfer of funds for purchasing portable and mobile radios, which shall be subject to section 505 of this Act.

##### *Detention Trustee:*

- Includes language establishing that the Trustee shall be responsible for managing the Justice Prisoner and Alien Transportation System.
- Includes language limiting the amount of funds considered as "funds appropriated for State and local law enforcement assistance".

##### *General Legal Activities:*

- Includes language limiting the amount of funds for official representation and reception expenses available to the United States National Central Bureau.

- Includes language providing the Attorney General certain authorities when emergent circumstances require additional funding for litigation activities, which shall be subject to the provisions of section 505 of this Act.

- Provides funds to reimburse the Office of Personnel Management for expenses associated with the election monitoring program and provides for extended availability.

*Antitrust Division:*

- Includes language regarding the use of fees under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvement Act.

*United States Attorneys:*

- Includes language limiting funds for official reception.

*United States Trustee System Funds:*

- Includes language regarding refunds due depositors; provides for the extended availability of certain funds and the use of offsetting collections.

*Fees and Expenses of Witnesses:*

- Includes language regarding funds for construction of buildings for safesites, armored and other vehicles, and telecommunication equipment.

*Community Relations Service:*

- Includes language regarding the transfer of funds for conflict resolution and violence prevention activities within the community relations service, which shall be subject to the provisions of section 505 of this Act.

*United States Marshals Services:*

- Includes language providing for official reception and representation expenses, information technology systems, and courthouse security.

*National Security Division:*

- Includes language providing for the transfers of funds in emergent circumstances, which shall be subject to the provisions of section 505 of this Act.

*Interagency Law Enforcement, Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement:*

- Includes language regarding certain reimbursements.

*Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI):*

- Includes allocations of funds for certain activities within the FBI.

*Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA):*

- Provides funding for necessary expenses of the DEA, to include drug education and training programs, and provides for official reception and representation expenses.

*Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF):*

- Provides funding for the necessary expenses of the ATF, to include training of state and local law enforcement, training of canines and provision of laboratory assistance.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses in connection with consolidating or centralizing records of acquisition and disposition of firearms maintained by Federal firearms licensees.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses to implement amendments to 27 CFR 478.11, change the definition of “Curios or relics” or remove items from ATF publication 5300.11.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses to investigate or act upon applications for relief from Federal firearms disabilities under 18 U.S.C. 925.

- Includes language regarding expenses to investigate applications filed by corporations for relief from section 925(c) of title 18 U.S.C.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses to transfer mission or activities from ATF to other agencies.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses to disclose part or all of the contents of the Firearms Trace System database or any information required to be kept by licensees, except as provided.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses to promulgate or implement any rule requiring a physical inventory of licensed businesses.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses to retrieve certain information electronically.

- Includes language that prohibits expenses to deny an application for certain licenses.

*Federal Prison System:*

- Includes language that provides for the transfer to the Health Resources and System Administration funds necessary for medical relief of inmates.

- Includes language that provides authority to the Director to enter into contracts to furnish health care.

- Includes a limitation on funds for receptions and provides authority for the Federal Prison System to accept donated property and services.

*Building and Facilities:*

- Includes language stating labor of prisoners may be used for work.

*Federal Prison Industries, Incorporated:*

- Includes language authorizing Federal Prison Industries to make expenditures in accord with the law.

- Includes a limitation on administrative expenses for Federal Prison Industries.

*Office on Violence Against Women:*

- Includes a limitation on funds to be made available for expenses related to evaluation, training, and technical assistance, and provides for specific appropriations for various programs within the Office on Violence Against Women.

*Justice Assistance:*

- Includes language providing specific appropriations for various programs within the Office of Justice Programs.

*State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance:*

- Includes language providing for specific appropriations for various programs and activities.

- Includes language requiring units of local government that receive funds through this appropriation for increasing their number of law enforcement officers to achieve a net gain in the number of law enforcement officers who perform non-administrative public safety service.

*Juvenile Justice Programs:*

- Includes language providing for specific appropriations for various programs and activities.

- Includes a limitation on funds to be made available for expenses related to research, evaluation, and statistics activities and a limitation on funds to be made available for expenses related to training and technical assistance activities.

*Community Oriented Policing Services:*

- Includes language providing funds for specific appropriations for various programs and activities.
- Includes language regarding funds derived from deobligated balances shall be available in accordance with the provisions of section 505 of this Act.

*General Provisions, Department of Justice:*

- Includes a provision making available additional reception and representation funding for the Attorney General from the amounts provided in this title.
- Includes a prohibition on the use of funds to pay for an abortion, except in the case of rape or to preserve the life of the mother.
- Includes a prohibition on the use of funds to require any person to perform or facilitate the performance of an abortion.
- Includes a provision establishing the obligation of the Director of the Bureau of Prisons to provide escort services to inmates receiving an abortion outside of a Federal facility, except where this obligation conflicts with the preceding section.
- Includes a provision establishing the Committee's requirements and procedures for transfer proposals.
- Includes an authorization for the Attorney General to extend an ongoing Personnel Management Demonstration Project.
- Includes an extension of specified authorities to the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives for undercover operations.
- Includes a prohibition on the use of funds for transporting prisoners classified as maximum or high security, other than to a facility certified by the Bureau of Prisons as appropriately secure.
- Includes a prohibition on the use of funds for the purchase or rental by Federal prisons of audiovisual equipment, services and materials used primarily for recreational purposes, except for those items and services needed for inmate training, religious, or educational purposes.
- Includes a requirement for review by the Deputy Attorney General and the Department Investment Review Board prior to the obligation or expenditure of funds for major information technology projects.
- Includes a provision requiring the Department to follow reprogramming procedures prior to any deviation from the program amounts specified in this title or the reuse of deobligated funds provided in previous years.
- Includes a prohibition on the use of funds for A-76 competitions for work performed by employees of the Bureau of Prisons or Federal Prison Industries, Inc.
- Includes a prohibition on the use of funds to pay the salary, benefits or expenses of a U.S. Attorney performing dual duties that exempt that U.S. Attorney from established residency requirements.
- Includes a prohibition on the use of funds for future phases of the Sentinel program until the AG certifies that work on existing

phases has been substantially completed under a validated performance baseline.

- Includes a provision allowing the use, for research and statistical purposes, of up to one percent of funds made available to OJP as formula grants and the use, for training and technical assistance, of up to three percent of funds made available to OJP.

- Includes authority for the Attorney General to waive matching requirements for adult and juvenile offender state and local reentry demonstration grants under the Second Chance Act.

- Includes the extension of permanent retention and relocation bonus authorities to the FBI.

- Includes the extension of foreign language proficiency pay authorities to the FBI.

### Title III—Science

#### *National Aeronautics and Space Administration:*

##### *Science:*

- Includes appropriations for one- and two-year availability.

##### *Aeronautics:*

- Includes appropriations for one- and two-year availability.

##### *Exploration:*

- Includes appropriations for one- and two-year availability.

##### *Space Operations:*

- Includes appropriations for one- and two-year availability.

- Includes language providing specific amounts for Space Shuttle, International Space Station operations, and Space and Flight Services.

- Includes language that states that amounts provided for Space operations include expenses of certain utilization flights, which shall be considered henceforth as baseline flight, and requires the Administrator to certify to the Congress that each mission is necessary and can be flown safely.

##### *Cross Agency Support:*

- Includes an appropriation available for one year.

- Includes language providing for specific sums for independent verification and validation activities.

- Includes language subjecting to annual appropriations receipts and expenditures made pursuant to enhanced use lease arrangements.

- Includes language that stipulates that funds provided for specific items shall be available in the amounts specified in the report accompanying this Act.

##### *Construction and environmental compliance and remediation:*

- Includes an appropriation for construction and environmental compliance and remediation to be available for five years, and specifies amounts for specific mission directorates and institutional investments.

##### *Administrative Provisions:*

- Includes language regarding the availability of funds for announced prizes.

- Includes language regarding transfers of funds.

- Includes language regarding reductions in force.

##### *National Science Foundation:*

- Includes language regarding the procurement of polar icebreaking services, reimbursements to the Coast Guard for sums

as agreed to according to the existing memorandum of agreement, and authority to credit to the appropriation receipts from support services and materials.

- Includes language providing amounts for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR).

*Agency Operations and Award Management:*

- Includes language regarding contracts for maintenance and operation of facilities and the reimbursement for security guard services.

*Office of the National Science Board:*

- Includes language funds for official reception and representation.

#### Title IV—Related Agencies

*Commission on Civil Rights:*

- Includes language prohibiting expenses to employ a specific level of full-time individuals or to reimburse Commissioners for certain billable days.

*Equal Employment Opportunity Commission:*

- Includes language limiting funds for official reception and representation.

- Includes language prohibiting any action to implement any workforce repositioning, except as in accordance with the provisions of section 505 of this Act.

- Includes language authorizing the Chair to accept donations or gifts to carry out the work of the Commission.

*Legal Services Corporation:*

- Includes language regarding pay for officers and employees.
- Includes language continuing certain conditions and restrictions on the use of funds.

*Office of the United States Trade Representative:*

- Includes language limiting funds for official reception and representation and provides funding for specific activities.

*State Justice Institute:*

- Includes language limiting funds for reception and representation.

#### Title V—General Provisions

Section 501 prohibits the use of funds for publicity or propaganda purposes unless expressly authorized by law.

Section 502 prohibits any appropriation contained in this Act from remaining available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless explicitly authorized.

Section 503 provides that the expenditure of any appropriation contained in the Act for any consulting service through procurement contracts shall be limited to those contracts where such expenditures are a matter of public record and available for public inspection, except where otherwise provided under existing law or under existing Executive Order issued pursuant to existing law.

Section 504 provides that if any provision of the Act or the application of such provision to any person or circumstance shall be held invalid, the remainder of the Act and the application of such provisions to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid shall not be affected.

Section 505 provides for the Committee's policy concerning the reprogramming of funds. Section 505(a) prohibits the reprogramming of funds which: (1) creates or initiates a new program, project or activity; (2) eliminates a program, project, or activity, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (3) increases funds or personnel by any means for any project or activity for which funds have been denied or restricted, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (4) relocates offices or employees, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (5) reorganizes or re-names offices, programs, or activities, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (6) contracts out or privatizes any function or activity presently performed by Federal employees unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (7) proposes to use funds directed for a specific activity by either the House or Senate Committees on Appropriations, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; (8) augments funds for existing programs, projects or activities in excess of \$500,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, or reduces by 10 percent funding for any existing program, project, or activity, or numbers of personnel by 10 percent as approved by Congress, unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance; or (9) results from any general savings, including savings from a reduction in personnel, which would result in a change in existing programs, activities, or projects as approved by Congress unless the Appropriations Committees of both Houses of Congress are notified 15 days in advance. No reprogramming of funds is permitted after August 1, except in extraordinary circumstances and only after the House and Senate Committees are notified in advance of such reprogramming of funds. Agencies must follow reprogramming procedures with respect to carryover funds.

Section 506 prohibits funds from being used to implement, administer, or enforce any guidelines of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission covering harassment based on religion similar to proposed guidelines published by the EEOC in October 1993.

Section 507 provides that if it is determined that any person intentionally affixes a "Made in America" label to any product that was not made in America that person shall not be eligible to receive any contract or subcontract with funds made available in this Act.

Section 508 requires quarterly reporting to Congress of unobligated balances that were received during any previous fiscal year.

Section 509 provides that any closing or downsizing costs incurred by a department or agency funded under this Act resulting from funding reductions in the Act shall be absorbed within the budgetary resources available to the Department or agency, and provides transfer authority between appropriation accounts to carry out the provision, subject to reprogramming procedures.

Section 510 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to promote the sale or export of tobacco or tobacco products or to seek the reduction or removal of foreign restrictions on the marketing of tobacco products, provided that such restrictions are

applied equally to all tobacco products or tobacco products of the same type. This provision is not intended to impact routine international trade services provided to all U.S. citizens, including the processing of applications to establish foreign trade zones.

Section 511 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to implement a Federal user fee for background checks conducted pursuant to the Brady Handgun Control Act of 1993, or to implement a background check system that does not require and result in the destruction of certain information within 24 hours.

Section 512 delays the obligations of any receipts deposited into the Crime Victims Fund in excess of \$700,000,000 until October 1, 2010. This language is continued to ensure a stable source of funds will remain available for the program, despite inconsistent levels of criminal fines deposited annually into the fund.

Section 513 prohibits the use of Department of Justice funds for programs that discriminate against or denigrate the religious beliefs of students participating in such programs.

Section 514 prohibits the transfer of funds in the Act to any department or agency of the United States Government, except for transfers made under authorities provided in this, or any other appropriations Act.

Section 515 provides that funds provided for E-Government Initiatives shall be subject to the procedures set forth in section 505 of this Act.

Section 516 requires the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives to include specific language in any release of tracing study data that makes clear that trace data cannot be used to draw broad conclusions about firearms-related crime.

Section 517 requires certain timetables of audits performed by Inspectors General of the departments and agencies funded in this Act.

Section 518 prohibits the use of funds to process patents of human organisms. The intent of this provision is as expressed in the colloquy between the provision's sponsor in the House and the Ranking Minority Member of the House Committee on Appropriations as occurred on July 22, 2003, with respect to any existing patents on stem cells.

Section 519 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to be used to support or justify torture by any official or contract employee of the United States Government.

Section 520 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to require certain export licenses.

Section 521 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to deny certain import applications regarding "curios or relics" firearms, parts, or ammunition.

Section 522 prohibits the use of funds to include certain language in trade agreements.

Section 523 prohibits the use of funds in this Act to authorize or issue a National Security Letter (NSL) in contravention of certain laws authorizing the Federal Bureau of Investigation to issue NSLs.

Section 524 requires the congressional notification of any project within the Departments of Commerce or Justice, or the National Science Foundation and the National Aeronautics Space Adminis-

tration totaling more than \$75,000,000 that has cost increases of at least 10 percent.

Section 525 deems funds for intelligence or intelligence-related activities as authorized by the Congress until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2010.

Section 526 requires the departments and agencies funded in this Act to establish and maintain on the homepages of their Internet website direct links to their Offices of Inspector General, and a mechanism by which individuals may anonymously report cases of waste, fraud and abuse.

Section 527 prohibits contracts or grant awards in excess of \$5,000,000 unless the prospective contractor or grantee that has not certified that he has filed all Federal tax returns, has not been convicted of a criminal offense under the IRS Code of 1986, and has no unpaid Federal tax assessment.

Section 528 prohibits the use of funds in this Act that is inconsistent with the principal negotiating objectives of the United States with respect to trade laws.

Section 529 provides for rescissions of unobligated balances in certain departments and agencies funded in the Act.

Section 530 prohibits the use of funds in this Act for the purchase of first class or premium airline travel.

Section 531 prohibits the use of funds to pay for the attendance of more than 50 employees at any single conference outside the United States.

Section 532 prohibits the use of funds for the release of an individual detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, into the United States, and prohibits the transfer of an individual detained at Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, until a comprehensive plan is submitted.

Section 533 allows LSC grantees to recover attorney’s fees when permitted or required under Federal or State law.

Section 534 provides authority to the Attorney General to pay certain relocation expenses of the immediate dependent family of a law enforcement or corrections officer who dies while performing official duties.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[\$ in 000s]

Agency/program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
<b>TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE</b>				
Economic Development Administration:				
Economic Development Administration, S&E .....	2008	such sums	\$30,832	\$38,000
Economic Development Assistance Programs:				
Public Works and Economic Development .....	2008	\$425,000	235,000	255,000
International Trade Administration:				
Export Promotion .....	1996	such sums	248,726	248,200
Bureau of Industry and Security:				
Export Administration .....	2001	such sums	64,711	54,432
Defense Production Act .....	2009	such sums	14,767	14,767

[\$ in 000s]

Agency/program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
<b>National Telecommunications and Information Administration:</b>				
National Telecommunications and Information Administration—S&E .....	1993	17,900	21,823	19,999
<b>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration:</b>				
Operations, Research and Facilities:				
National Ocean Service:				
Coral Reef Conservation .....	2004	16,000	16,000	31,500
Coastal Zone Management .....	1999	55,100	58,500	77,900
Hydrographic Service Improvement .....	2007	148,219	231,500	152,293
Marine Protection, Research, Preservation & Sanctuaries .....	2005	40,000	57,958	49,995
Estuary Restoration .....	2005	76,500	1,092	3,000
National Marine Fisheries Services:				
Endangered Species Act Amendment .....	1992	6,750	8,236	15,987
Marine Mammal Protection .....	1999	14,768	22,927	47,000
International Dolphin Conservation Program .....	2001	6,800	3,146	3,700
NOAA Marine Fisheries Program .....	2000	110,470	125,596	190,133
Oceanic and Atmospheric Research:				
National Sea Grant College Program .....	2008	103,000	57,043	59,085
Procurement, Acquisition and Construction:				
National Ocean Service:				
Marine Protection, Research, Preservation & Sanctuaries .....	2005	6,000	3,683	15,995
National Institute of Standards and Technology:				
Scientific & Technical Research & Services:				
Earthquake Hazard Reduction .....	2009	14,640	1,700	4,100
<b>TITLE II—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE</b>				
General Administration—S&E .....	2009	181,561	105,805	118,488
Detention Trustee .....	2009	1,858,509	1,295,319	1,438,663
Justice Information Sharing Technology .....	2009	204,152	80,000	109,417
Law Enforcement Wireless Communications .....	2009	144,771	185,000	205,143
Administrative Review & Appeals .....	2009	243,291	266,000	296,685
Office of the Inspector General .....	2009	81,922	75,681	84,368
U.S. Parole Commission .....	2009	12,711	12,570	12,859
National Security Division .....				87,938
General Legal Activities Salaries & Expenses .....	2009	764,526	804,007	875,097
Antitrust Division—S&E .....	2009	162,488	157,788	163,170
U.S. Attorneys—S&E .....	2009	1,829,194	1,836,336	1,934,003
Foreign Claims Settlement Commission .....	2009	1,429	1,823	2,117
U.S. Marshals Service .....	2009	900,178	954,000	1,152,388
Fees & Expenses of Witnesses .....	2009	203,755	168,300	168,300
Community Relations Service .....	2009	10,977	9,873	11,479
Assets Forfeiture Fund Current Budget Authority .....	2009	22,000	20,990	
Interagency Crime and Drug Enforcement .....	2009	744,593	515,000	528,569
Federal Bureau of Investigation .....	2009	6,480,608	7,065,100	7,851,537
Drug Enforcement Administration—S&E .....	2009	1,930,462	1,939,084	2,019,682
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives .....	2009	1,038,939	1,054,215	1,105,772
Federal Prison System .....	2009	5,698,292	6,171,561	6,176,675
Office on Violence Against Women:				
Research on Violence Against Women (NIJ) .....				3,000
Office of Justice Programs:				
Salaries & Expenses .....				213,388
National Institute of Justice .....	1995	33,000	58,879	45,000
Bureau of Justice Statistics .....	1995	33,000	32,335	60,000
Regional Information Sharing Systems .....	2003	100,000	29,000	45,000
Victim Notification System .....	2009	5,000	12,000	12,000
State and Local Antiterrorism Training .....	2009	2,000	2,000	2,000
State criminal justice reform/recidivism reduction .....				10,000
Assistance to local units of government (NIJ) .....				5,000
Byrne Discretionary Grants .....				124,000
Byrne Competitive Grants .....				40,000

[\$ in 000s]

Agency/program	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Tribal Detention Facilities .....	2000	2,753	5,000	10,000
Tribal Courts .....	2004	such sums	7,898	25,000
Alcohol and Substance Abuse programs .....				12,000
Southwest Border Prosecutor Initiative .....				30,000
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment .....	2000	72,000	61,677	30,000
Drug Courts .....	2008	70,000	15,200	45,000
Prescription Drug Monitoring .....				7,000
Capital Litigation Improvement Grants .....	2009	75,000	5,500	5,500
Missing Alzheimer's Program .....	1999	900	898	2,000
Training for Judicial Personnel .....	2005	2,300	0	2,500
Research on Violence Against Indian Women .....	2008	1,000	940	1,000
Grants for Televised Testimony .....	2005	1,000	0	1,000
Violent Gang and Gun Crime Reduction .....				15,000
Criminal Records Upgrades .....	2007	250,000	9,873	10,000
Part B—State Formula .....	2007	such sums	78,978	75,000
Part E—Demonstration Grants .....	2007	such sums	104,674	68,000
Youth Mentoring .....				80,000
Title V—Local Delinquency Prevention Incentive Grants .....	2008	such sums	61,100	62,000
VOCA—Investigation and Prosecution of Child Abuse .....	2005	8,481	11,000	20,000
Juvenile Accountability Block Grant .....	2009	350,000	55,000	55,000
Community Based Violence Prevention Initiative Safe Start .....	2007	such sums	9,898	18,000
Office of Community Oriented Policing Services:				7,000
Community Policing .....	2009	1,047,119	250,500	507,000
Post-Conviction DNA Testing grants .....	2009	5,000	5,000	5,000
Secure our Schools .....	2009	30,000	16,000	16,000
Sex Offender Management .....	2009	such sums	18,000	28,000
Sex Offender Management .....	2009	such sums	[5,000]	[15,000]
National Sex Offender Public Registry .....	2008	850	[850]	[1,000]
<b>TITLE III—SCIENCE</b>				
NASA:				
Science .....	2009	4,932,200	4,503,019	4,496,100
Aeronautics .....	2009	853,400	500,000	501,000
Exploration .....	2009	4,886,000	3,505,469	3,293,200
Education .....	2009	128,300	169,200	175,000
Cross-agency support .....	2009	3,299,900	3,306,387	3,164,000
Space operations .....	2009	6,074,700	5,764,710	6,097,300
Construction and environmental compliance and remediation .....				441,700
Office of Inspector General .....	2009	35,500	33,600	35,000
<b>TITLE IV—RELATED AGENCIES</b>				
Commission on Civil Rights .....	1995	9,500	8,904	9,400
International Trade Commission .....	2004	57,240	58,295	82,700
Payment to the Legal Services Corporation .....	1980	205,000	300,000	440,000
Marine Mammal Commission .....	1999	1,750	1,240	3,300
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative .....	2004	33,108	41,552	48,326
State Justice Institute .....	2008	7,000	3,760	5,131

### TRANSFERS OF FUNDS

Pursuant to Clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, language is included transferring unexpended balances as follows:

Under Coastal Zone Management Fund, language is included to transfer funds to NOAA's operations, research and facilities account.

Under Community Oriented Policing Services, language is included to transfer funds to the Drug Enforcement Administration.

RESCISSIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the rescissions recommended in the accompanying bill:

- “Legal Activities, Assets Forfeiture Fund”, \$285,000,000;
- “Federal Bureau of Investigation, Salaries and Expenses”, \$50,000,000;
- “Federal Bureau of Investigation, Construction”, \$80,822,000;
- “Office of Justice Programs”, \$42,000,000;
- “Community Oriented Policing Services”, \$40,000,000.

COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the report submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee’s section 302(a) allocation.

[In millions of dollars]

	302 (b) allocation		This bill	
	Budget au- thority	Outlays	Budget au- thority	Outlays
General purpose discretionary .....	64,314	70,655	65,415	70,736
Mandatory .....	222	257	222	257

FIVE-YEAR OUTLAY PROJECTIONS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

FISCAL YEAR 2010 OUTLAYS

	in millions of dollars
Budget authority .....	64,415
Outlays:	
2010 .....	43,948
2011 .....	14,949
2012 .....	4,377
2013 .....	2,623
2014 and future years .....	1,192

ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the fiscal assistance to State and local government is as following:

	Millions of dollars
FY 2010 new budget authority .....	985
FY 2010 outlays resulting therefrom .....	68

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America, which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law. \* \* \*

Appropriations contained in this Act are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives in developing funding recommendations.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(e) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italic, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

**CHAPTER 57 OF TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE**

SUBCHAPTER I—TRAVEL AND SUBSISTENCE EXPENSES; MILEAGE ALLOWANCES

Sec.  
5701. Definitions.

\* \* \* \* \*

SUBCHAPTER IV—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

5751. Travel expenses of witnesses.

\* \* \* \* \*

5761. *Foreign language proficiency pay awards for the Federal Bureau of Investigation.*

\* \* \* \* \*

SUBCHAPTER IV—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

\* \* \* \* \*

**§ 5759. Retention and relocation bonuses for the Federal Bureau of Investigation**

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

[(e) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to grant bonuses under this section shall cease to be available after December 31, 2009.]

\* \* \* \* \*

**§ 5761. Foreign language proficiency pay awards for the Federal Bureau of Investigation**

*The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation may, under regulations prescribed by the Director, pay a cash award of up to 10 percent of basic pay to any Bureau employee who maintains proficiency in a language or languages critical to the mission or who uses one or more foreign languages in the performance of official duties.*

**SECTION 504 OF THE DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996**

SEC. 504. (a) None of the funds appropriated in this Act to the Legal Services Corporation may be used to provide financial assistance to any person or entity (which may be referred to in this section as a “recipient”)—

(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

[(13) that claims (or whose employee claims), or collects and retains, attorneys’ fees pursuant to any Federal or State law permitting or requiring the awarding of such fees;]

\* \* \* \* \*

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

ROLL CALL NO. 1

Date: June 9, 2009.

Measure: Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2010.

Motion by: Mr. Calvert.

Description of Motion: An amendment to increase appropriations for the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program by \$100,000,000 by reducing appropriations for Periodic Censuses and Programs by a like amount.

Results: Rejected, 21 yeas to 30 nays.

<i>Members Voting Yea</i>	<i>Members Voting Nay</i>
Mr. Aderholt	Mr. Chandler
Mr. Alexander	Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Bonner	Mr. Dicks
Mr. Calvert	Mr. Edwards
Mr. Carter	Mr. Farr
Mr. Cole	Mr. Fattah
Mr. Crenshaw	Mr. Hinchey
Mr. Culberson	Mr. Honda
Mrs. Emerson	Mr. Israel
Mr. Frelinghuysen	Mr. Jackson
Ms. Granger	Ms. Kaptur
Mr. Kingston	Ms. Kilpatrick
Mr. Latham	Ms. Lee
Mr. Lewis	Mrs. Lowey
Mr. Rehberg	Ms. McCollum
Mr. Rogers	Mr. Mollohan
Mr. Simpson	Mr. Moran
Mr. Tiahrt	Mr. Murtha
Mr. Wamp	Mr. Obey
Mr. Wolf	Mr. Olver
Mr. Young	Mr. Pastor
	Mr. Price
	Mr. Rodriguez
	Mr. Rothman
	Ms. Roybal-Allard
	Mr. Ryan
	Mr. Schiff
	Mr. Serrano
	Mr. Visclosky
	Ms. Wasserman Schultz

ROLL CALL NO. 2

Date: June 9, 2009.

Measure: Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies Appropriations Bill, 2010.

Motion by: Mr. Tiahrt.

Description of Motion: To rescind unobligated balances of previous appropriations made in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Results: Rejected, 22 yeas to 29 nays.

<i>Members Voting Yea</i>	<i>Members Voting Nay</i>
Mr. Aderholt	Mr. Chandler
Mr. Alexander	Ms. DeLauro
Mr. Bonner	Mr. Dicks
Mr. Calvert	Mr. Edwards

Mr. Carter	Mr. Farr
Mr. Cole	Mr. Fattah
Mr. Crenshaw	Mr. Hinchey
Mr. Culberson	Mr. Honda
Mrs. Emerson	Mr. Israel
Mr. Frelinghuysen	Mr. Jackson
Ms. Granger	Ms. Kaptur
Mr. Kingston	Ms. Kilpatrick
Mr. Kirk	Ms. Lee
Mr. Latham	Mrs. Lowey
Mr. Lewis	Ms. McCollum
Mr. Rehberg	Mr. Mollohan
Mr. Rogers	Mr. Moran
Mr. Simpson	Mr. Murtha
Mr. Tiahrt	Mr. Obey
Mr. Wamp	Mr. Olver
Mr. Wolf	Mr. Pastor
Mr. Young	Mr. Price
	Mr. Rodriguez
	Mr. Rothman
	Ms. Roybal-Allard
	Mr. Ryan
	Mr. Schiff
	Mr. Serrano
	Ms. Wasserman Schultz

#### DISCLOSURE OF EARMARKS AND CONGRESSIONALLY DIRECTED SPENDING ITEMS

##### DIRECTED SPENDING BY CONGRESS AND BY THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH

This bill contains \$8.73 billion in grant funding awarded solely at the discretion of the Administration, and \$57 million in funding requested by the President for specific projects. In addition to placing a one year moratorium on earmarks in appropriations bills enacted in 2007 so that new rules could be put in place, the Committee has subsequently taken unprecedented action to increase transparency and reduce funding for earmarks. This bill continues to further reduce earmarks in 2010, by 3 percent below 2009. In this bill since 2006, the total funding earmarked has been reduced by 52 percent. This year earmarked funding will equal 1 percent of the cost of the bill. It should also be noted that under the policies adopted by the Committee the use of member earmarks awarded to for-profit entities as a functional equivalent of no bid contracts is ended. In cases where the Committee funds an earmark designated for a for-profit entity, the Committee includes legislative language requiring the Executive Branch to nonetheless issue a request for proposal that gives other entities an opportunity to apply and requires the agency to evaluate all bids received and make a decision based on merit. This gives the original designee an opportunity to be brought to the attention of the agency, but with the possibility that an alternative entity may be selected.

2006	2008		2009		2010 Committee	
\$ in millions	#	\$ in millions	#	\$ in millions	#	\$ in millions
\$1,564 .....	1,728	\$823	1,544	\$758	1,089	\$368

The following table is submitted in compliance with clause 9 of rule XXI, and lists the congressional earmarks (as defined in paragraph (e) of clause 9) contained in the bill or in this report. Neither the bill nor the report contain any limited tax benefits or limited tariff benefits as defined in paragraphs (f) or (g) of clause 9 of rule XXI.

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE**  
**[Presidentially Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					Administration	House
DOC	GP	Department of Commerce, Washington, DC	HCHB Renovation and Modernization Project	\$5,000,000	The President	
DOC	GP	National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD	NIST Center for Neutron Research Expansion and Reliability Improvements	\$8,000,000	The President	
DOJ	NDIC	National Drug Intelligence Center, Johnstown, PA	Operations of the NDIC	\$44,023,000	The President	

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)	
					Requester(s)	Requester(s)
DOC	ITA	Clemson University, Clemson, SC	Textile Research at Clemson University	\$350,000	Barrett (SC)	
DOC	ITA	National Textile Center, Raleigh, NC	Textile Research	\$1,800,000	Price (NC); Coble; Miller (NC); Scott (GA); Shuler; McIntyre; Butterfield; Etheridge; Watt	
DOC	ITA	St. Francis University and St. Vincent College, Loretto, PA	Saint Francis University Center for Global Competitiveness	\$350,000	Murtha	
DOC	ITA	Textile/Clothing Textile Corporation, Raleigh, NC	Textile Research Programs	\$965,000	Price (NC); Aderholt; Coble; Shuler; Miller (NC); Kissell; Butterfield; Spratt; Watt	
DOC	ITA	UC Davis, Davis, CA	Textile Research at UC Davis	\$250,000	Thompson (CA)	
DOC	MBDA	Jamaica Chamber of Commerce, Jamaica, NY	Jamaica Export Center	\$100,000	Meeks (NY)	

DOC	MBDA	McAllen Economic Development Corporation, McAllen, TX	McAllen Manufacturing Research and Education Park	\$300,000	Hinojosa
DOC	MBDA	West Liberty State College, West Liberty, WV	Emerging Minority Business Leaders	\$500,000	Mollohan
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Blue Guardians, Philadelphia, PA	Coastal Environmental Education Outreach	\$500,000	Fattah
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, OH	Monitoring of Lake Erie Water Quality with Remote Sensing	\$500,000	Latta
DOC	NOAA—ORF	California State Coastal Conservancy, Oakland, CA	California Seafloor Mapping Program	\$300,000	Schiff; Gapps
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Caribbean Coral Reef Institute, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR	Caribbean Coral Reef Institute Grant Program	\$100,000	Pierluisi
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD	Alliance for Coastal Technologies	\$500,000	Hoyer
DOC	NOAA—ORF	City of Hardy, Hardy, AR	Upper Spring River Flood Warning System	\$125,000	Berry
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Dauphin Island Sea Lab, Dauphin Island, AL	Biological and economic consideration of coastal fisheries population	\$750,000	Bonner
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Delaware River Basin Commission, West Trenton, NJ	Delaware River Enhanced Flood Warning System	\$200,000	Hinchey, Holt; Dent; Castle; Hall (NY)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Department of Lands and Natural Resources, Saipan, MP	Mariana Islands Sanctuary Scoping and Outreach	\$220,000	Sablan
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Eastern Kentucky PRIDE, Inc., Somerset, KY	Eastern Kentucky PRIDE, Inc.	\$1,000,000	Rogers (KY)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI	Hyperspectral Remote Sensing and Science-Based Management of Invasive Species in the Detroit River International Wildlife Refuge	\$500,000	Dingell; Conyers
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL	Aviation and Hurricane Research Utilizing Unmanned Aerial Systems	\$300,000	Kosmas
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Great Lakes Science Center, Cleveland, OH	Great Lakes Water Project	\$250,000	LaTourrette

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources, Honolulu, HI	Protected Species Habitat at Kure Atoll (HI)	\$100,000	Hirono
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Idaho State University, Pocatello, ID	Boise Center Aerospace Laboratory Watershed Modeling Utilizing LIDAR	\$500,000	Simpson
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Illinois State Geological Survey, Champaign, IL	Illinois Height Modernization	\$800,000	Johnson (IL); Schock
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Louisiana State University A&M College, Baton Rouge, LA	The Louisiana Geodetic Spatial Reference Center	\$700,000	Alexander
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Maine Department of Marine Resources, Augusta, ME	Maine Lobster Research and Inshore Trawl Survey	\$200,000	Pingree (ME); Michaud
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Marine Mammal Center, Sausalito, CA	Emergency Response and Health Investigations for Endangered and Threatened Pinniped Populations in the Pacific	\$300,000	Woolsey
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS	NOAA Northern Gulf Institute	\$700,000	Harper
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Mobile County Commission, Alabama, Mobile, AL	Coastal tidal gauges	\$600,000	Bonner
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Monmouth University, West Long Branch, NJ	The Resilient Coastal Urban Community and Ecosystem (RESCUE) Initiative	\$250,000	Pallone; Holt; Smith (NJ); Rothman (NJ)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Morgan State University Estuarine Research Center, St. Leonard, MD	Oyster Hatchery Economic Pilot Program	\$200,000	Hoyer; Cummings
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Mote Marine Laboratory, Sarasota, FL	Science Consortium for Ocean Replenishment (SCORE)	\$1,500,000	Buchanan; Dicks
DOC	NOAA—ORF	National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, MD	Shrimp Industry Fishing Effort Research Continuation	\$700,000	Ortiz; Boyd; Cao; Crenshaw; Wasserman Schultz

DOC	NOAA—ORF	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Silver Spring, MD	West Coast Governors' Agreement on Ocean Health	\$500,000	Farr; Wu; Capps; Blumenauer
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Nicholls State University Department of Biological Sciences, Thibodaux, LA	Institute for Seafood Studies	\$325,000	Melancon
DOC	NOAA—ORF	NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office, Severn, MD	Chesapeake Bay Interpretive Buoy System	\$350,000	Kratovil; Sarbanes; Ruppberger; Castle; Scott (VA); Moran (VA)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office, Annapolis, MD	Native Oyster Restoration	\$700,000	Hoyer; Connolly (VA); Van Hollen; Norton; Scott (VA); Moran (VA); Edwards (MD); Sarbanes; Ruppberger; Kratovil
DOC	NOAA—ORF	NOAA Office of Education, Monterey, CA	California Bay Watershed Education and Training Program (B-WET)	\$2,500,000	Pelosi; Farr; Capps
DOC	NOAA—ORF	NOAA Office of Protected Resources, Silver Spring, MD	Hawaiian Monk Seal Recovery Plan Implementation	\$275,000	Hirono
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Nova Southeastern University, Fort Lauderdale, FL	National Coral Reef Institute	\$200,000	Klein (FL); Wasserman Schultz
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR	Disease Reduction in Klamath River Salmon	\$600,000	Walden; Defazio; Schrader; Wu
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Partnership for Mid-Atlantic Fisheries, Point Pleasant Beach, NJ	Summer Flounder and Black Sea Initiative	\$600,000	LoBiondo; Bishop (NY); Pallone; King (NY)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Provincetown Center for Coastal Studies, Provincetown, MA	Northeast Coastal Monitoring Collaborative	\$550,000	Delahunt; Tsongas
DOC	NOAA—ORF	San Jose State University, San Jose, CA	Training the Next Generation Weather Forecasters	\$180,000	Honda
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Southern Regional Climate Center, Baton Rouge, LA	NOAA Regional Climate Center program	\$850,000	Alexander
DOC	NOAA—ORF	State of Wisconsin, Madison, WI	Wisconsin Height Modernization Program	\$1,000,000	Kagen; Ohey
DOC	NOAA—ORF	State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY	Carbon Sequestration and Climate Change Models for NY State Forests	\$100,000	Arcuri; Tonko

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	The Alaska Sea Otter and Steller Sea Lion Commission, Old Harbor, AK	Steller Sea Lion Comanagement, Biosampling and Outreach/Education	\$500,000	Young (AK)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	The JASON Project, Ashburn, VA	Science education through exploration	\$4,000,000	Kennedy; Molohan; Langevin; Culberson; Moran (VA)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	The Nature Conservancy, Middletown, CT	Ecosystem Vitality Through Habitat Restoration	\$200,000	DeLauro
DOC	NOAA—ORF	The Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association, Anchorage, AK	The Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association	\$100,000	Young (AK)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Town of Dauphin Island, Dauphin Island, AL	Engineering feasibility study	\$1,500,000	Bonner
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of Guam, Mangilao, GU	Coral Reef Research Institute	\$300,000	Bordallo
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of Maryland Biotechnology Institute, Baltimore, MD	Metagenomic Analysis of Chesapeake Bay	\$100,000	Ruppersberger; Sarbanes; Van Hollen
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of Maryland, College Park, MD	Development of Earth System Information Delivery and Assessment	\$150,000	Hoyer; Ruppersberger; Sarbanes; Bartlett
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of Massachusetts-Amherst, Amherst, MA	Climate System Research Center	\$495,000	Olver
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of North Carolina-Wilmington, Wilmington, NC	Aquarius Reef Base Program	\$150,000	McIntyre
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK	National Weather Radar Testbed Phased Array Radar	\$2,000,000	Cole; Fallin; Boren
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of Tennessee Space Institute, Tullahoma, TN	Atmospheric Science Research	\$1,000,000	Davis (TN)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Lake Erie Hydrological & Climate Modeling	\$100,000	Kaptur

DOC	NOAA—ORF	Virginia Institute of Marine Science, Gloucester Point, VA	Virginia TRAWL Survey	\$300,000	Connolly (VA); Nye; Moran (VA); Wittman; Scott (VA)
DOC	NOAA—ORF	West Virginia Department of Natural Resources, Charleston, WV	Natural Stream Design and Restoration	\$750,000	Mollohan
DOC	NOAA—ORF	Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, NY	Bronx River and South Bronx Waterfront	\$1,000,000	Serrano
DOJ	COPS Meth	American Detoxification Foundation/JMCP, Salt Lake City, UT	Utah Meth Cops Program	\$200,000	Bishop (UT)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Arizona Meth Project, Phoenix, AZ	Arizona Meth Project	\$200,000	Pastor (AZ)
DOJ	COPS Meth	California Department of Justice (CADOJ), Sacramento, CA	San Diego California Methamphetamine Strategy (CALMS)	\$250,000	Hunter
DOJ	COPS Meth	California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Meth Enforcement/Interdiction in San Bernardino County	\$600,000	Lewis (CA)
DOJ	COPS Meth	California State Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	California Methamphetamine Strategy (CALMS)	\$500,000	Honda
DOJ	COPS Meth	City of Bastrop, Bastrop, LA	Bastrop-Morehouse Parish Meth Initiative	\$650,000	Alexander
DOJ	COPS Meth	Clackamas County, Portland, OR	Clackamas County Interagency Methamphetamine Initiative	\$550,000	Schrader; Blumenauer
DOJ	COPS Meth	Contra Costa County, Martinez, CA	Methamphetamine Eradication and Suppression Program	\$200,000	Tauscher
DOJ	COPS Meth	Daviess County Sheriff, Owensboro, KY	Second District Methamphetamine Eradication Initiative	\$300,000	Guthrie
DOJ	COPS Meth	ELKHART COUNTY, INDIANA, Elkhart, IN	Elkhart County Criminal Intelligence Interdiction Initiative	\$250,000	Souder; Domely (IN)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Etowah County Drug Enforcement Unit, Gadsden, AL	Blount, DeKalb, Etowah, Marshall, Marion, Morgan, Pickens, Walker, Winston Counties, AL Drug Task Forces Anti-Methamphetamine Project	\$1,500,000	Aderholt

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Heartland Family Service, Inc., Council Bluffs, IA	Heartland Family Services Residential Methamphetamine Treatment in Southwestern Iowa	\$200,000	King (IA)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Hillsborough County, Florida, Tampa, FL	Hillsborough County Methamphetamine Enforcement and Cleanup	\$250,000	Billarakis; Putnam
DOJ	COPS Meth	Idaho Meth Project, Boise, ID	Idaho Meth Project	\$1,000,000	Simpson
DOJ	COPS Meth	Illinois Meth Project, Springfield, IL	Illinois Meth Project	\$100,000	Hare; Schock
DOJ	COPS Meth	Illinois State University, Normal, IL	Illinois State University Meth Project	\$200,000	Johnson (IL)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Jackson County Sheriff, Jackson County, MS	Methamphetamine Enforcement and Cleanup	\$350,000	Taylor
DOJ	COPS Meth	Jefferson County, Colorado, Golden, CO	Methamphetamine Response Collaborative	\$245,000	Perlmutter
DOJ	COPS Meth	Marion County, OR, Salem, OR	Kids First Phase II	\$200,000	Schrader
DOJ	COPS Meth	Methodist University, Fayetteville, NC	Methamphetamine Educational Training Program	\$150,000	Etheridge; Kissell; McIntyre
DOJ	COPS Meth	Mineral Area Drug Task Force, Leadington, MO	Mineral Area Drug Task Force	\$200,000	Emerson
DOJ	COPS Meth	Mississippi—North Central Narcotics Taskforce, Port Gibson, MS	Mississippi—North Central Narcotics Taskforce	\$500,000	Thompson (MS)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Montana Meth Project, Missoula, MT	Montana Meth Project	\$500,000	Rehberg
DOJ	COPS Meth	Northeast Law Enforcement Administrators Council, Chisholm, MN	Northeast Law Enforcement Administrators Council Methamphetamine Reduction Project	\$500,000	Oberstar
DOJ	COPS Meth	Pierce County Alliance, Tacoma, WA	Washington State Methamphetamine Initiative	\$1,500,000	Reichert; Dicks; Baird; Larsen (WA); Smith (WA)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Polk County Sheriff, Bartow, FL	Polk County Methamphetamine Prevention Project	\$250,000	Putnam

DOJ	COPS Meth	Rusk County Sheriff, Ladysmith, WI	Methamphetamine Prevention and Enforcement	\$300,000	Obey
DOJ	COPS Meth	Sioux City Police Department, Sioux City, IA	National Meth Training Center	\$800,000	King (IA)
DOJ	COPS Meth	South Central Drug Task Force, West Plains, MO	South Central Drug Task Force	\$250,000	Emerson
DOJ	COPS Meth	South Coast Interagency Narcotics Team, Coquille, OR	South Coast Interagency Narcotics Team	\$500,000	DeFazio
DOJ	COPS Meth	Southeast Missouri Drug Task Force, Sikeston, MO	Southeast Missouri Drug Task Force	\$200,000	Emerson
DOJ	COPS Meth	St. Joseph County Prosecutor, South Bend, IN	Methamphetamine and Illegal Firearms Investigation and Prosecution Project	\$100,000	Donnelly (IN)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Tennessee Meth Task Force, Chattanooga, TN	Tennessee Statewide Methamphetamine Task Force	\$2,000,000	Wamp
DOJ	COPS Meth	Twiggs County Sheriff, Jeffersonville, GA	Meth Task Force	\$140,000	Marshall
DOJ	COPS Meth	University of Arkansas Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Arkansas Methamphetamine Education and Training Project	\$575,000	Boozman; Snyder
DOJ	COPS Meth	University of Missouri St. Louis College of Nursing, St. Louis, MO	Methamphetamine Prevention Education	\$250,000	Carahan
DOJ	COPS Meth	Ventura County Sheriff, Ventura, CA	California Multi-jurisdictional Methamphetamine (CAL MIMET) Investigators	\$350,000	Gallegly
DOJ	COPS Meth	Washington State Meth Initiative, Tacoma, WA	Washington State Meth Initiative	\$100,000	Hastings (WA)
DOJ	COPS Meth	Winchester Police Department, Winchester, KY	Winchester Police Department Law Enforcement Technology	\$30,000	Chandler
DOJ	COPS Tech	Abington Township Police Department, Abington, PA	Technology upgrades and enhancements for police vehicles, Abington	\$195,000	Schwartz
DOJ	COPS Tech	Adams County Department of Emergency Services, Gettysburg, PA	Radio System Upgrade	\$200,000	Platts

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Alabama Department of Corrections (ADOC), Montgomery, AL	Electronic Training and Security Tools (ETAST) Phase III	\$250,000	Aderholt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Alamosa Police Department, Alamosa, CO	Alamosa Public Safety Mobile DATA	\$125,000	Salazar
DOJ	COPS Tech	Allentown Police Department, Allentown, PA	Lehigh and Northampton Counties Interoperability Development Project	\$800,000	Dent
DOJ	COPS Tech	Anson County Sheriff, Wadesboro, NC	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	\$500,000	Kissell
DOJ	COPS Tech	Ardmore Police Department, Ardmore, AL	Law Enforcement Technology Equipment and Upgrades	\$20,000	Griffith
DOJ	COPS Tech	Baldwin County Commission, Fairhope, AL	Baldwin County, AL courthouse security	\$500,000	Bonner
DOJ	COPS Tech	Baldwin County Sherriff, Milledgeville, GA	Regional Dispatch and Emergency Management Center	\$250,000	Barrow
DOJ	COPS Tech	Baltimore County Sheriff, Towson, MD	Local Law Enforcement Enhancement	\$200,000	Ruppersberger
DOJ	COPS Tech	Bartlesville Police Department, Bartlesville, OK	Bartlesville Police Department Mobile Data Technology	\$800,000	Sullivan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Beaver County Sheriff, Beaver, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$120,000	Altmire
DOJ	COPS Tech	Ben Hill County Sheriff, Fitzgerald, GA	In Car Video Systems	\$55,000	Marshall
DOJ	COPS Tech	Blacksburg Police Department, Blacksburg, VA	New River Valley Emergency System	\$250,000	Boucher
DOJ	COPS Tech	Borough of Cliffs Park, Cliffs Park, NJ	Replacement of Computer Hardware and Software for Dispatching Radio System	\$270,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Borough of East Rutherford, East Rutherford, NJ	East Rutherford Police & Courts Facility	\$500,000	Rothman (NJ)

DOJ	COPS Tech	Borough of Lavallette, Lavallette, NJ	Lavallette Police Department—Communications Update	\$185,000	Adler (NJ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Borough of Leonia, Leonia, NJ	Lap Top Computers for Patrol Vehicles	\$33,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Borough of McKees Rocks, McKees Rocks, PA	Surveillance Camera Network Installation	\$120,000	Doyle
DOJ	COPS Tech	Borough of Pompton Lakes, Pompton Lakes, NJ	Pompton Lakes Police and Emergency Services Interoperability Equipment Upgrade	\$240,000	Pascrell
DOJ	COPS Tech	Borough of Quakertown, Quakertown, PA	Law enforcement technology and communications	\$100,000	Murphy, Patrick
DOJ	COPS Tech	Bourbon County Sheriff, Paris, KY	Bourbon County Law Enforcement Technology	\$50,000	Chandler
DOJ	COPS Tech	Brazos County Sheriff, Bryan, TX	Brazos County Law Enforcement Technology	\$200,000	Edwards (TX)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Briarcliff Manor Police Department, Briarcliff Manor, NY	Briarcliff Manor, NY, Police Department Equipment	\$40,000	Lowe
DOJ	COPS Tech	Butler Police Department, Butler, GA	Law enforcement equipment	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Mobile Live Scan Fingerprint Devices for LA and Orange Counties	\$100,000	Rohrabacher
DOJ	COPS Tech	Calvert County Department of Public Safety, Prince Frederick, MD	Calvert Mobile Data Terminals	\$500,000	Hoyer
DOJ	COPS Tech	Cambria 9th Public Service Providers, Patton, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$300,000	Shuster
DOJ	COPS Tech	Carlisle Borough, Carlisle, PA	Public Safety Video Surveillance Project	\$200,000	Platts
DOJ	COPS Tech	Carroll County Sheriff, Carrollton, OH	Carroll County Sheriff Equipment Upgrade	\$140,000	Space
DOJ	COPS Tech	Cayuga County, NY, Auburn, NY	Cayuga County Interoperable Communications Upgrade	\$300,000	Acuri
DOJ	COPS Tech	Centre County Commissioners, Bellefonte, PA	Centre County—911 Emergency Communication System Upgrade	\$250,000	Thompson (PA)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Charles Mix County, Lake Andes, SD	Charles Mix County 911 System Upgrade	\$100,000	Herseth Sandlin
DOJ	COPS Tech	Charlevoix Cheboygan Emmet Central Dispatch Authority, Petoskey, MI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$300,000	Stupak
DOJ	COPS Tech	Chester County Sheriff, Chester, SC	Chester County Communications Tower	\$300,000	Spratt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Chesterfield County Police Department, Chesterfield, VA	Police Officer Communications Radio Project	\$930,000	Forbes
DOJ	COPS Tech	Christian County Sheriff, Taylorville, IL	Interoperable Communications Upgrades	\$250,000	Shimkus
DOJ	COPS Tech	City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Gun Location Technology and Policing Project	\$750,000	Pelosi
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Albertville, Albertville, AL	Public Safety Mobile Data System	\$1,400,000	Aderholt
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Albuquerque, Public Safety Department, Albuquerque, NM	Comprehensive Information System Project	\$400,000	Heinrich
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA	Crime Scene Investigations Mobile Technology	\$140,000	Moran (VA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Arlington, Arlington, GA	Wireless IP Technology System	\$100,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Auburn, Auburn, AL	City of Auburn Mobile Data System	\$100,000	Rogers (AL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Auburn, Auburn, WA	Valley Cities Public Safety Regional Broadband Network	\$150,000	Smith (WA); Reichert
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Banning, Banning, CA	Banning Multi-Agency Interoperability Capability	\$300,000	Lewis (CA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Barrackville, WV Police Department, Barrackville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan

DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Bastrop, Bastrop, TX	Bastrop Police Department Technology and Equipment	\$40,000	Doggett
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Bayonne, NJ, Bayonne, NJ	Bayonne Law Enforcement Technology	\$100,000	Sires
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Bethlehem, WV Police Department, Bethlehem, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Birmingham, Alabama, Birmingham, AL	Urban Crime Prevention Initiative	\$250,000	Davis (AL); Bachus
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Blue Island Police Department, Blue Island, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$150,000	Rush
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Buffalo, Buffalo, NY	TrACS Equipment	\$600,000	Slaughter
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Central Falls Police Dept, Central Falls, RI	Cops Technology	\$100,000	Kennedy
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Chester, Chester, IL	Public Safety Technology Improvements for the City of Chester	\$100,000	Costello
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH	800MHz Radios for Cincinnati	\$625,000	Driehaus
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Cochran Police Department, Cochran, GA	Police Department Technology Program	\$70,000	Marshall
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of College Park, GA, College Park, GA	Emergency Operations Center Technology Upgrade	\$450,000	Lewis (GA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Commerce City, CO, Commerce City, CO	Interoperable communications equipment	\$110,000	Perlmutter
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Corona, Corona, CA	Interoperability Upgrades for the City of Corona Police Department	\$150,000	Calvert
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Detroit, Detroit Police Department, Detroit, MI	City of Detroit—Police Northwestern District Wide CB Patrol	\$350,000	Kilpatrick (MI); Conyers
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Dodge City Police Department, Dodge City, KS	Dodge City Police Department Equipment and Technology Upgrade Project	\$200,000	Moran (KS)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Doral, Doral, FL	City of Doral Public Safety & Surveillance System	\$750,000	Diaz-Balart, Mario

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Durango, Durango, CO	Durango Police Department Interoperability Completion	\$300,000	Salazar
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of East Point, East Point, GA	East Point Law Enforcement Technology Upgrade	\$100,000	Lewis (GA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Eugene, Eugene, OR	Public Safety Technology Improvements	\$150,000	DeFazio
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Farmington, Farmington, NM	Interoperability Radio Communications, Farmington, NM	\$100,000	Lujan
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Fayetteville, Fayetteville, NC	Regional Public Safety Communications	\$300,000	Etheridge
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Foley, Alabama, Foley, AL	Foley Public Safety Initiative	\$400,000	Bonner
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN	Palm Print AFIS	\$600,000	Souder
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Gadsden, Gadsden, AL	Law Enforcement and Forensic Science Technology and Equipment	\$150,000	Aderholt
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Galesburg, Galesburg, IL	Upgrade 911 systems to a Next Generation NG-911 digital system	\$100,000	Hare
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Gardena, Gardena, CA	Gardena Public Safety Surveillance	\$350,000	Waters
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Gary, Gary, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Gary Police Department	\$92,000	Visclosky
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Gilbert Police Department, Gilbert, MN	City of Gilbert Integrated Radio System	\$35,000	Oberstar
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Glen Cove, Glen Cove, NY	Law Enforcement Technology Improvements	\$615,000	King (NY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Glendale, California, Glendale, CA	Foothill Regional Forensic DNA Lab	\$500,000	Schiff

DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Greenville, North Carolina, Greenville, NC	City of Greenville Law Enforcement Technology Improvement Initiative	\$100,000	Butterfield
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Greenwood, Greenwood, MS	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$100,000	Thompson (MS)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Hackensack, Hackensack, NJ	The City of Hackensack Police and Emergency Services Communication Upgrade Project	\$200,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Hampton, Virginia, Hampton, VA	Emergency Communications System, Hampton, VA	\$200,000	Nye, Wittman; Scott (VA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Hialeah, Hialeah, FL	Equipment Upgrades	\$250,000	Diaz-Balart, Mario; Diaz-Balart, Lincoln
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Hickory Hills, Hickory Hills, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$125,000	Lipinski
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Highland, Highland, CA	Highland Police Technology Program	\$500,000	Lewis (CA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Hobart, Hobart, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Hobart Police Department	\$90,000	Visclosky
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Hollywood, Hollywood, FL	Law Enforcement Technology	\$400,000	Wasserman Schultz; Meek (FL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Homestead, FL, Homestead, FL	Emergency Wireless Law Enforcement Technology	\$500,000	Diaz-Balart, Mario
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Hot Springs, Arkansas Police Department, Hot Springs, AR	Mobile Data Terminals	\$325,000	Ross
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN	Automated Fingerprint Identification System and IMPD Technology Equipment	\$300,000	Carson (IN)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Jackson, Jackson, MS	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$100,000	Thompson (MS)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Jacksonville Beach, FL, Jacksonville, FL	Interoperability P-25 Compliant Radio System	\$250,000	Crenshaw
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Kannapolis, Kannapolis, NC	Kannapolis Regional Radio Upgrade	\$575,000	Coble
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Kirkland, Kirkland, WA	Emergency Services Equipment—City of Kirkland	\$300,000	Insliee
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Lacey, Lacey, WA	Records Management System	\$200,000	Baird; Smith (WA)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Lockhart, Lockhart, TX	Lockhart Police Department Technology	\$125,000	Doggett
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Long Beach, Long Beach, CA	Forensic Investigation Equipment	\$100,000	Richardson
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Maitland, Florida, Maitland, FL	Law Enforcement Technology Upgrades	\$400,000	Mica
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Maryville, Maryville, TN	Blount County Communications System	\$750,000	Duncan
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Mascoutah, Mascoutah, IL	Fiber Optic Connection Upgrade for the City of Mascoutah	\$650,000	Costello
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Middletown Police Department, Middletown, NY	Enhanced Communications and Vehicular Video	\$65,000	Hinchey
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Minneapolis, Minneapolis, MN	Digital Cameras and Mobile Data Computers for Public Safety	\$100,000	Ellison
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Monterey Park, Monterey Park, CA	Police Communications Upgrade	\$250,000	Schiff
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Moultrie Police Department, Moultrie, GA	Firearms/Weapons Judgmental Simulator	\$100,000	Marshall
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Mountain Village, Mountain Village, CO	Mountain Village Public Safety Communications System	\$275,000	Salazar
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Newark, Newark, CA	Public Safety Interoperability Program	\$200,000	Stark
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Newport, Newport, RI	National Incident Management System	\$200,000	Kennedy
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Norfolk, Virginia, Norfolk, VA	Police Tactical And Surveillance Equipment And Other Technologies	\$100,000	Nye; Scott (VA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Norman, Norman, OK	Norman Public Safety Networking Initiative	\$250,000	Cole
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Oakland, California, Oakland, CA	Automatic Vehicle Locating (AVL) Systems	\$250,000	Lee (CA)

DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Orange Township, Orange, NJ	Public Safety Information Technology Restoration	\$450,000	Payne
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Orlando, FL, Orlando, FL	Innovative Response to Improve Safety (I.R.I.S.)	\$200,000	Brown, Corrine
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Oxnard, Oxnard, CA	City of Oxnard Comprehensive Critical Assets Remote Surveillance Program	\$300,000	Capps
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Ozark, Ozark, AL	Ozark Police Department Technology Improvements	\$140,000	Bright
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Paden City, WV Police Department, Paden City, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Palm Bay, Palm Bay, FL	Palm Bay Mobile Command Unit Communications System	\$600,000	Posey
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Parsons, WV, Parsons, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Philippi, WV Police Department, Philippi, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	City of Phoenix COPLINK	\$500,000	Pastor (AZ); Mitchell
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	City of Phoenix Enhanced Mobile Data Security	\$300,000	Pastor (AZ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Piedmont, WV Police Department, Piedmont, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Poughkeepsie Police Department, Poughkeepsie, NY	CCTV and Interoperability Upgrades and Enhancement	\$100,000	Hinchey
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Raleigh, North Carolina, Raleigh, NC	City of Raleigh: Rapid Fingerprint Identification	\$300,000	Price (NC); Miller (NC); Etheridge
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Redlands, Redlands, CA	Redlands Police Information Technology Infrastructure	\$350,000	Lewis (CA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Redondo Beach, Redondo Beach, CA	Redondo Beach Emergency Operations Center	\$200,000	Harman
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Rialto Police Department, Rialto, CA	Rialto Police Department Surveillance Cameras	\$300,000	Baca

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Riverside Public Utilities, Riverside, CA	City of Riverside Public Utilities Infrastructure Video Security	\$1,000,000	Calvert
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Rockford, Illinois, Rockford, IL	Rockford Crimefighting Technology Initiative	\$250,000	Manzullo
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Rockville, MD, Rockville, MD	Police Technology Upgrade Project	\$100,000	Van Hollen
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Round Rock, Round Rock, TX	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$300,000	Carter
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Saginaw, Police Department, Saginaw, MI	Digital Surveillance Cameras	\$300,000	Kiidee
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of San Antonio (San Antonio Police Department), San Antonio, TX	City of San Antonio, TX Police Technology Improvements	\$1,100,000	Smith (TX); Rodriguez; Gonzalez
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of San Diego, California, San Diego, CA	San Diego Crime Lab Technology	\$200,000	Davis (CA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	Mobile Data Computer Replacement	\$710,000	Honda; Lofgren; Zoe
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Seattle, Seattle, WA	Seattle Police Forensics and Digital Imaging Infrastructure Upgrade	\$300,000	McDermott
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Stafford, Stafford, TX	Stafford Emergency Operations Center Emergency Management Equipment	\$100,000	Green, Al
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Stamping Ground, Kentucky, Stamping Ground, KY	City of Stamping Ground Law Enforcement Technology	\$30,000	Chandler
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Suffolk, Suffolk, VA	Suffolk Police Department Technology Enhancement Initiative	\$70,000	Forbes
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Summit, NJ, Summit, NJ	Regional Police and Emergency Management Interoperable Communication Network and Facility	\$1,000,000	Lance
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Sumter Police Department, Sumter, SC	Sumter—Police Technology	\$100,000	Spratt

DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Sunbury, Sunbury, PA	Video Surveillance Project and other IT issues	\$200,000	Carney
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Sunny Isles Beach , Sunny Isles Beach , FL	Law Enforcement and Communications Equipment	\$200,000	Wasserman Schultz
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Surprise, Arizona, Surprise, AZ	City of Surprise Police Officer Technology Upgrade	\$200,000	Franks (AZ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Tamarac, Tamarac, FL	Interoperable Communications System	\$300,000	Wexler; Wasserman Schultz; Hastings (FL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Tempe, AZ, Tempe, AZ	Public Safety Communications/Interoperability	\$300,000	Mitchell
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Torrington, Torrington, CT	Public Safety Communications Project	\$175,000	Larson (CT)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Tucson Finger Imaging Upgrade System	\$150,000	Giffords
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Union City, NJ, Union City, NJ	Union City Law Enforcement Technology	\$350,000	Sires
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Valdosta, Valdosta, GA	Valdosta/Lowndes Joint Crime Lab	\$500,000	Kingston
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Vancouver, Vancouver, WA	Records Management System in Vancouver, WA	\$300,000	Baird
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Waupun Police Department, Waupun, WI	P25 Compliance/Communication Upgrade	\$30,000	Petri
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of West Columbia, West Columbia, SC	West Columbia Law Enforcement Communications	\$350,000	Wilson (SC)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of West Haven, West Haven, CT	West Haven Two-Way Public Safety Radio System Replacement Project	\$300,000	DeLauro
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of Yakima, WA, Yakima, WA	Yakima County Integrated Public Safety System	\$500,000	Hastings (WA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	City of York Police Department, York, SC	City of York Police Department Technology and Records Management Upgrade	\$100,000	Spratt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Clarion County Commissioners, Clarion, PA	North West Central Regional Interoperability Strategic Telecommunication Plan	\$500,000	Thompson (PA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Clark County, LAS VEGAS, NV	Justice Information Sharing System	\$300,000	Berkley
DOJ	COPS Tech	Clay County Sheriff, Liberty, MO	Clay and Platte Counties Communications Interoperability Project	\$660,000	Graves

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Cleburne Police Department, Cleburne, TX	City of Cleburne Communication Technology Upgrade	\$150,000	Edwards (TX)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Cobb County Government, Marietta, GA	Regional Communications and Interoperability	\$1,000,000	Gingrey (GA), Scott (GA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Collier County, Florida, Naples, FL	Emergency Services Technology, Collier County, Florida	\$800,000	Mack, Diaz-Balart, Mario
DOJ	COPS Tech	County of Bergen, Hackensack, NJ	County-Wide Public Safety Radio Communication System	\$215,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	County of Calaveras, San Andreas, CA	Law Enforcement Radio and Data Communications	\$1,250,000	Lungren, Dan
DOJ	COPS Tech	County of Franklin, VA, Rocky Mount, VA	Microwave ring between Franklin, Patrick and Henry Counties and the City of Martinsville for Public Safety/E911 Voice and Data Interoperability	\$200,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	County of Fresno, Fresno, CA	Regional Data Interoperability	\$150,000	Costa
DOJ	COPS Tech	County of Kings (California), Hanford, CA	Interoperable Emergency Communications System	\$300,000	Costa
DOJ	COPS Tech	County of Orangeburg, Orangeburg, SC	Law Enforcement Automated Data Repository (LEADR)	\$500,000	Wilson (SC)
DOJ	COPS Tech	County of Volusia, DeLand, FL	Evidence Photo Management System	\$150,000	Mica, Brown, Corrine
DOJ	COPS Tech	Cumberland County Government, Carlisle, PA	Emergency Communications Infrastructure	\$200,000	Platts
DOJ	COPS Tech	Dallas County Sheriff's Department, Dallas, TX	Dallas County Intake/Release Project	\$100,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice
DOJ	COPS Tech	Deep East Texas Council of Governments, Jasper, TX	Collaborative Fingerprint & Palmprint Identification	\$1,000,000	Barton (TX)

DOJ	COPS Tech	Dilley PD, Pearsall PD, Floresville PD, Atascosa County, Dilley, Pearsall, Jourdanton, TX	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$100,000	Cuellar
DOJ	COPS Tech	Dobbs Ferry Police Department, Dobbs Ferry, NY	Equipment for Vehicles	\$50,000	Lowey
DOJ	COPS Tech	Downriver Community Conference, Southgate, MI	Coordinated Emergency Dispatch and Mutual Aid System Preparedness IV	\$650,000	Dingell
DOJ	COPS Tech	East Bay Regional Communications System Authority, Dublin, CA	East Bay Regional Communications System	\$1,050,000	McNerney; Tauscher, Stark
DOJ	COPS Tech	Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority, Indio, CA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$500,000	Bono Mack
DOJ	COPS Tech	E-Com Dispatch Center, Homewood, IL	E-Com Power Supply/Generator	\$100,000	Jackson (IL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	El Paso County Sheriff's Office, El Paso, TX	El Paso County Sheriff's Office-Border Crime Enhancements	\$250,000	Rodriguez
DOJ	COPS Tech	El Paso Police Department, El Paso, TX	Public Safety Radio Voice/Data Systems Interoperability	\$250,000	Reyes
DOJ	COPS Tech	Egin Police Department, Egin, IL	Egin Police Car Video Recording Replacement	\$460,000	Foster
DOJ	COPS Tech	Escambia County, FL, Pensacola, FL	Escambia Emergency Radio Infrastructure Replacement	\$1,000,000	Miller (FL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Essex County Office of Emergency Management, Newark, NJ	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$1,000,000	Frelinghuysen
DOJ	COPS Tech	Etowah County Commission, Gadsden, AL	Interoperable Communications & Centralized Dispatch System	\$1,000,000	Aderholt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Fairview, WV Police Department, Fairview, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Fayette County Commissioners, Uniontown, PA	Fayette County Public Safety Equipment Upgrade	\$1,000,000	Murtha
DOJ	COPS Tech	Fleming County Fiscal Court, Fleningsburg, KY	Law Enforcement Equipment Project, Fleming County, KY	\$48,000	Davis (KY)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Florida Department of Law Enforcement, Tallahassee, FL	Florida Silver Alert Program	\$100,000	Billirakis; Young (FL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Fort Mill Police Department, Fort Mill, SC	Fort Mill Police Department Technology Implementation	\$300,000	Spratt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Franklin County Emergency Services Alliance, Chambersburg, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$300,000	Shuster
DOJ	COPS Tech	Garrard County Fiscal Court, Lancaster, KY	Garrard County Law Enforcement Technology	\$145,000	Chandler
DOJ	COPS Tech	Glencoe Department of Public Safety, Glencoe, IL	Atmospheric Detection Equipment For the Northern Illinois Police Alarm System	\$675,000	Roskam; Bean
DOJ	COPS Tech	Gonzales Sheriff's Office, Gonzales, TX	Gonzales Sheriff's Office Technology and Equipment	\$80,000	Doggett
DOJ	COPS Tech	Greene County, Missouri, Springfield, MO	Equipment for Emergency Operations Center	\$350,000	Blunt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Grimes County Sheriff, Anderson, TX	Grimes County Law Enforcement Technology	\$100,000	Edwards (TX)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Hagerstown Police Department, Hagerstown, MD	Hagerstown Radio Equipment Acquisition	\$750,000	Bartlett
DOJ	COPS Tech	Harrisville, WV Police Department, Harrisville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Hastings Police Department, Hastings on Hudson, NY	Hastings, NY, Police Department License Plate Reader	\$17,000	Lowey
DOJ	COPS Tech	Haverhill Police Department, Haverhill, MA	Haverhill Police Radio Project	\$150,000	Tsongas
DOJ	COPS Tech	Hays County Sheriff Department, San Marcos, TX	Hays County Police Equipment and Technology Upgrades	\$40,000	Doggett
DOJ	COPS Tech	Hearne Police Department, Hearne, TX	City of Hearne Law Enforcement Technology	\$100,000	Edwards (TX)

DOJ	COPS Tech	Henderson County Sheriff's Department, Hendersonville, NC	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	\$300,000	Shuler
DOJ	COPS Tech	Henry County Sheriff, New Castle, KY	Law Enforcement Equipment Upgrades, Henry County, KY	\$82,000	Davis (KY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Hornell Police Department, Hornell, NY	Hornell Police Intelligence Equipment	\$197,000	Massa
DOJ	COPS Tech	Huntington Police Department and Cabell Co. Sheriff, Huntington, WV	Joint Technology Initiative and Digital Communication	\$665,000	Rahall
DOJ	COPS Tech	Idaho State Police, Meridian, ID	Idaho State Police (ISP) participation in Criminal Information Sharing Alliance Network (CISAnet)	\$500,000	Simpson
DOJ	COPS Tech	Indiana County Commissioners, Indiana, PA	Indiana County Public Safety Radio Communications Project	\$650,000	Murtha
DOJ	COPS Tech	Indianapolis Housing Agency, Indianapolis, IN	IP Video Technology In Federally Assisted Housing	\$100,000	Carson (IN)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Inyo County, Independence, CA	Emergency Operations Center	\$240,000	McKeon
DOJ	COPS Tech	Itasca County, Grand Rapids, MN	Itasca County 9-11 Radio System	\$500,000	Oberstar
DOJ	COPS Tech	Jackson Township Police Department, North Jackson, OH	Jackson Township, Mahoning County Police Facility Upgrade	\$100,000	Wilson (OH)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Jacksonville Sheriff's Office, Jacksonville, FL	Atmospheric Detection Equipment for the Jacksonville Sheriff's Office	\$750,000	Crenshaw; Brown, Corrine
DOJ	COPS Tech	Jasper County Commission, Carthage, MO	Comerstone Regional Justice Information System	\$250,000	Blunt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Jasper County Sheriff, Monticello, GA	Lap Top Computers for Patrol Division	\$62,000	Marshall
DOJ	COPS Tech	Jefferson City, MO, Police Department, Jefferson City, MO	Central Missouri Regional Justice Information System	\$1,000,000	Skelton
DOJ	COPS Tech	Jefferson County Board of Commissioners, Louisville, GA	Jefferson County Emergency Dispatch System Upgrade and Enhancement Project	\$100,000	Barrow

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Jenkintown Borough Police Department, Jenkintown, PA	Equipment Upgrades, Jenkintown	\$40,000	Schwartz
DOJ	COPS Tech	Kanawha County Prosecuting Attorney, Charleston, WV	Kanawha Prosecutors Case Management	\$85,000	Capito
DOJ	COPS Tech	Kankakee County Sheriff, Kankakee, IL	Technological Improvements for the Kankakee County Sheriff	\$325,000	Halvorson
DOJ	COPS Tech	La Vernia, Poth, and Stockdale PD, La Vernia, Poth, Stockdale, TX	La Vernia, Poth, and Stockdale PD equipment	\$100,000	Cuellar
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lafourche Parish Sheriff, Thibodaux, LA	In-Car Cameras	\$200,000	Melancon
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lake County, Tavares, FL	Lake County Law Enforcement Technology	\$800,000	Brown-Waite, Ginny
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lake County Sheriff's Department, Crown Point, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Lake County Sheriff's Department	\$500,000	Visclosky
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lake County, Painesville, OH	Lake County Public Safety Radio System	\$1,000,000	LaTourrette
DOJ	COPS Tech	Larchmont Police Department, Larchmont, NY	Larchmont, NY, Police Department Communications System	\$100,000	Lowey
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lawrence County Sheriff, Moulton, AL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$40,000	Griffith
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lawrence County Sheriff, New Castle, PA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$120,000	Altmire
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lawrence County Sheriff and 911 Center, Ironton, OH	Lawrence County Interoperable Radio/MARCS Communications Project	\$300,000	Wilson (OH)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lee County, Jonesville, VA	Law Enforcement Technology Grant for Lee County	\$265,000	Boucher

DOJ	COPS Tech	Leon County Sheriff, Tallahassee, FL	Leon County Sheriffs' Department Visual Intelligence Technology	\$300,000	Boyd
DOJ	COPS Tech	Leon County, Tallahassee, FL	Joint Emergency Communications Center	\$200,000	Boyd
DOJ	COPS Tech	Liberal Police Department, Liberal, KS	City of Liberal Equipment Upgrade Project	\$200,000	Moran (KS)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Linn County Sheriff, Marion, IA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$145,000	Loebssack
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lorain County Sheriff, Elyria, OH	Lorain County Sheriffs Office Law Enforcement Digital Radio Project	\$245,000	Sutton
DOJ	COPS Tech	Louisiana Sheriffs' Association, Baton Rouge, LA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$300,000	Alexander
DOJ	COPS Tech	Louisville Metropolitan Police Department, Louisville, KY	Mobile Data Computers	\$300,000	Yarmuth
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lower Providence Township, Eagleville, PA	Police Department Technology Improvements	\$200,000	Sestak
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lycoming County Commissioners, Williamsport, PA	Lycoming County Emergency Operations Center Equipment	\$250,000	Thompson (PA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Lynwood, Thornton, and East Hazel Crest ETSB, Lynwood, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$350,000	Jackson (IL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Macomb County Emergency Management & Communications, Mount Clemens, MI	Macomb County Centralized Dispatch	\$500,000	Levin
DOJ	COPS Tech	Madison County, Wampsville, NY	Madison County Interoperable Communications System	\$800,000	McHugh
DOJ	COPS Tech	Maine Warden Service, Augusta, ME	Maine Search and Rescue Enhancement Project	\$200,000	Michaud
DOJ	COPS Tech	Manchester Police Department, Manchester, NH	Portable Radios, Tactical Gear and other equipment	\$315,000	Shea-Porter
DOJ	COPS Tech	Marion County, Florida, Ocala, FL	Marion County Law Enforcement Technology	\$200,000	Brown-Waite, Ginny

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Mass. Criminal History Systems, Boston, MA	Mass. Criminal History Systems Board Back-up Data Center	\$100,000	Markey (MA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	McGregor Police Department, McGregor, TX	City of McGregor Law Enforcement Technology	\$100,000	Edwards (TX)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Medina County Sheriff, Medina, OH	Medina County Community Safety Initiative	\$27,000	Bocieri
DOJ	COPS Tech	Medina County Sheriffs Office, Hondo, TX	Medina Mobile Data Systems	\$250,000	Rodriguez
DOJ	COPS Tech	Mendocino County, Ukiah, CA	Public Safety Microwave System Phase II: Expansion	\$250,000	Thompson (CA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Miami-Dade County Public Schools, Miami, FL	Technological Equipment Upgrade For Miami-Dade Schools Police Department	\$600,000	Diaz-Balart, Lincoln, Meek (FL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Middlesex Sheriff, Medford, MA	Middlesex House of Corrections Safety Project	\$800,000	Markey (MA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Miller County Sheriff, Texarkana, AR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$100,000	Ross
DOJ	COPS Tech	Montgomery Township, Montgomery, PA	Technology Upgrade with In-Car Video Cameras	\$75,000	Schwartz
DOJ	COPS Tech	Morgan County, AL Commission, Decatur, AL	Mobile Data Terminal Update	\$160,000	Aderholt; Griffith
DOJ	COPS Tech	Municipality of Aibonito, Aibonito, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Pierluisi
DOJ	COPS Tech	Municipality of Catano, Catano, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Pierluisi
DOJ	COPS Tech	Municipality of Naguabo, Naguabo, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Pierluisi
DOJ	COPS Tech	Municipality of Patillas, Patillas, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Pierluisi
DOJ	COPS Tech	Municipality of Rio Grande, Rio Grande, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Pierluisi
DOJ	COPS Tech	Municipality of Vieques, Vieques, PR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$50,000	Pierluisi

DOJ	COPS Tech	Nassau County Police Department, Mineola, NY	Enhance Shot Spotter System	\$150,000	McCarthy (NY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Nassau University Medical Center, East Meadow, NY	Sexual Assault Response Team	\$200,000	McCarthy (NY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	NC State Bureau of Investigation, Raleigh, NC	NC SBI Firearms and Ballistics Analysis Equipment	\$300,000	Price (NC)
DOJ	COPS Tech	New Bedford Police Department, New Bedford, MA	Gunshot Location System	\$950,000	Frank (MA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	New Rochelle Police Department, New Rochelle, NY	New Rochelle, NY, Police Department Equipment and Training	\$340,000	Lowey
DOJ	COPS Tech	New York State Police, Kingston, NY	New York State Police Scuba	\$135,000	Hinchey
DOJ	COPS Tech	Newport News Police Department, Newport News, VA	Newport News Law Enforcement Technology	\$200,000	Wittman; Scott (VA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	North Worcester County Drug Task Force, Gardner, MA	North Worcester County Drug Task Force Interoperable Credentialing, Crime Scene & Access Control Project	\$400,000	Olver
DOJ	COPS Tech	Northern Berks Regional Police Department, Reading, PA	Northern Berks Regional Police Department Infrastructure, Technology and Communications Project	\$175,000	Holden
DOJ	COPS Tech	Oakland County Sheriff's Office, Pontiac, MI	Oakland County Sheriff's Department Biometric Identification Enhancement Project	\$1,025,000	Rogers (MI); Peters; Levin
DOJ	COPS Tech	Office of the Sheriff, Winnebago County, Rockford, IL	Communications Equipment for Winnebago County Sheriff's Department	\$250,000	Manzullo
DOJ	COPS Tech	Ohio Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigation, London, OH	Enhancement of Ohio AFIS System	\$455,000	Kilroy; Sutton; Kucnich; Ryan (OH)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Oklahoma Department of Public Safety, Oklahoma City, OK	Statewide Public Safety Communications System	\$350,000	Fallin; Boren
DOJ	COPS Tech	Oldham County Police Department, LaGrange, KY	Oldham County Mobile Data Terminal Project, KY	\$57,000	Davis (KY)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Onondaga County, Syracuse, NY	Interoperable Emergency Communications	\$200,000	Maffei
DOJ	COPS Tech	Ontario County Police Department, Canandaigua, NY	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$100,000	Massa
DOJ	COPS Tech	Orange County Sheriff, Chelsea, VT	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$200,000	Welch
DOJ	COPS Tech	Ottawa County Emergency Management Agency, Port Clinton, OH	Ottawa County Public Safety Infrastructure	\$200,000	Kaptur
DOJ	COPS Tech	Ottumwa Police Department, Ottumwa, IA	Ottumwa Police Department Equipment	\$150,000	Loebbeck
DOJ	COPS Tech	Pendleton County Sheriff, Falmouth, KY	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$12,000	Davis (KY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Pennsboro, WV Police Department, Pennsboro, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Petersburg, WV Police Department, Petersburg, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Philadelphia Police Department, Philadelphia, PA	Gunshot Location System	\$500,000	Brady (PA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Pierce County, WA Sheriff Department, Tacoma, WA	Region 43, Pierce County Metropolitan Public Safety Communications Interoperable First Responder Emergency Communication System	\$500,000	Reichert
DOJ	COPS Tech	Pima County Sheriff's Department, Tucson, AZ	PCWIN Countywide Public Safety Interoperable Communications System	\$200,000	Giffords
DOJ	COPS Tech	Pinellas County Sheriff, Largo, FL	Joint Use Firing Range	\$250,000	Young (FL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Pocahontas County Iowa Sheriff, Pocahontas, IA	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	\$200,000	Latham
DOJ	COPS Tech	Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, MD	Prince George's County Interoperable Radio System	\$600,000	Van Hollen; Edwards (MD)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Randolph County, AR Sheriff, Pocahontas, AR	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$140,000	Berry

DOJ	COPS Tech	Ridgefield Park Village, Ridgefield Park, NJ	Digital Mobile Video Camera Conversion	\$85,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Rio Grande Council of Governments, El Paso, TX	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence tool	\$250,000	Rodriguez
DOJ	COPS Tech	Riverside County Sheriff, Riverside, CA	Night Vision Binoculars for the Riverside County Sheriff's Department	\$700,000	Calvert
DOJ	COPS Tech	Riverside County Sheriff's Department, Riverside, CA	Riverside County Sheriff's Department Digital Management System	\$450,000	Lewis (CA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Rochester Police Department, Rochester, NH	Law Enforcement Technology	\$285,000	Shea-Porter
DOJ	COPS Tech	Rockdale County Sheriff's Office, Conyers, GA	Law Enforcement Mobile Data Network	\$150,000	Johnson (GA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Rockland County Office of Fire & Emergency Services, Pomona, NY	Rockland County Public Safety Interoperable Communications Network	\$250,000	Lowey; Hall (NY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Roland Police Department, Roland, OK	Police Department Equipment	\$180,000	Boren
DOJ	COPS Tech	San Bernardino County Sheriff, San Bernardino, CA	San Bernardino County Scientific Investigations Division	\$500,000	Lewis (CA); Baca
DOJ	COPS Tech	San Diego County, San Diego, CA	Regional Communications System (RCS) Upgrade	\$1,200,000	Bilbray; Davis (CA); Filner
DOJ	COPS Tech	San Francisco Department of Emergency Management, San Francisco, CA	Bay Area Regional Interoperable Communications System	\$750,000	Pelosi
DOJ	COPS Tech	San Mateo County Sheriff's Office, Redwood City, CA	Mobile Emergency Command Center	\$300,000	Speier; Eshoo
DOJ	COPS Tech	San Pablo, CA Police Department, San Pablo, CA	Gunshot Location System	\$360,000	Miller, George
DOJ	COPS Tech	Sandy City, Sandy, UT	Sandy City Police Department Treatment Improvement Program	\$300,000	Matheson
DOJ	COPS Tech	Sangamon County Sheriff's Office, Springfield, IL	Sangamon County Sheriff Technology Upgrades	\$660,000	Schock
DOJ	COPS Tech	Santa Clara County, San Jose, CA	Santa Clara County Criminal Justice Information Control Law & Justice Systems Plan	\$1,000,000	Honda; Lofgren, Zoe

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Schuyler County Sheriff's Office, Rushville, IL	Schuyler County Sheriff Technology Upgrades	\$50,000	Schock
DOJ	COPS Tech	Shelby County Sheriff, Columbiana, AL	P25 Wide Area Radio Network	\$500,000	Bachus
DOJ	COPS Tech	Shelby Township Police Department, Shelby Township, MI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$200,000	Miller (MI)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Shinnston, WV Police Department, Shinnston, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Molohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Sistersville, WV Police Department, Sistersville, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Molohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Smyth County, Marion, VA	Local Law Enforcement Technology for Smyth County	\$235,000	Boucher
DOJ	COPS Tech	Snohomish County Regional Drug Task Force, Everett, WA	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$70,000	Larsen (WA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Somerset County Office of Emergency Management, Somerville, NJ	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$1,000,000	Frelinghuysen
DOJ	COPS Tech	Somersworth Police Department, Somersworth, NH	Portable Police Radios	\$22,000	Shea-Porter
DOJ	COPS Tech	South Russell Police Department, South Russell, OH	Transition to 800MHz Digital Communication Technology	\$35,000	La Tourette
DOJ	COPS Tech	South Windsor Police Dept, South Windsor, CT	Upgrades to Communications System	\$350,000	Larson (CT)
DOJ	COPS Tech	SouthCom Dispatch, Matteson, IL	SouthCom Technological Upgrades	\$100,000	Jackson (IL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Southeastern Oklahoma University, Durant, OK	Emergency Response System	\$270,000	Boren; Cole
DOJ	COPS Tech	Spencer Police Department, Spencer, WV	Spencer PD Computer System	\$40,000	Capito
DOJ	COPS Tech	Springfield Police Department, Springfield, IL	Springfield Police Department Technology Upgrade	\$150,000	Schock

DOJ	COPS Tech	St. Louis County Sheriff, Duluth, MN	Regional Interoperability Data System Project	\$500,000	Oberstar
DOJ	COPS Tech	St. Francois County, Missouri, Park Hills, MO	Visual Intelligence Tool for Mineral Area Missouri Law Enforcement	\$697,000	Emerson
DOJ	COPS Tech	St. Johns County, St. Augustine, FL	St. Johns County Emergency Interoperability Equipment	\$250,000	Mica
DOJ	COPS Tech	St. Lawrence County District Attorney, Canton, NY	St. Lawrence County Drug Investigation Equipment Project	\$200,000	McHugh
DOJ	COPS Tech	St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department, St. Louis, MO	In-Car Video System (ICVS) program	\$200,000	Carnahan
DOJ	COPS Tech	St. Mary's County Sheriff's Office, Leonardtown, MD	St. Mary's County Sheriff's Office In-Car Video Technology	\$500,000	Hoyer
DOJ	COPS Tech	Stafford County, Stafford, VA	Stafford County Law Enforcement Technology	\$300,000	Witman
DOJ	COPS Tech	Stanislaus County and the City of Modesto, Modesto, CA	Regional Operability for Public Safety Communications	\$250,000	Cardoza
DOJ	COPS Tech	Stark County Sheriff, Canton, OH	Visual Intelligence Update for Law Enforcement and Governmental Agencies	\$400,000	Bocchieri
DOJ	COPS Tech	State of Connecticut Department of Public Safety, Middletown, CT	In-Car Camera Technology Upgrade	\$175,000	Larson (CT); Courtney, Himes, Murphy (CT)
DOJ	COPS Tech	State of Delaware Capitol Police, Dover, DE	New Castle County Courthouse Capitol Police Command Center and Lobby Surveillance Project	\$130,000	Castle
DOJ	COPS Tech	Sterling Heights Police Department, Sterling Heights, MI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$300,000	Miller (MI)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Summit County Sheriff, Akron, OH	Law Enforcement Tactical Safety Vehicle, Summit County Sheriff	\$100,000	Sutton
DOJ	COPS Tech	Sumter County Sheriff, Sumter, SC	Visual Intelligence Technology	\$1,000,000	Brown (SC); Clyburn

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Sussex County Office of Emergency Management, Newton, NJ	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$1,000,000	Frelinghuysen
DOJ	COPS Tech	Tamaqua Borough Police Department, Tamaqua, PA	Tamaqua Police Department Technology and Security Project	\$200,000	Holden
DOJ	COPS Tech	Taney County Commission, Fortsyth, MO	White River Area Emergency Project	\$400,000	Blunt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Tazewell County Sheriff's Office, Pekin, IL	Interoperable Law Enforcement Communications	\$140,000	Schock
DOJ	COPS Tech	Tega Cay Police Department, Tega Cay, SC	Interoperability and Technology Enhancement for the City of Tega Cay Police Department	\$135,000	Spratt
DOJ	COPS Tech	The Chickasaw Nation, Ada, OK	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool for the Chickasaw & Choctaw Nations	\$750,000	Cole; Boren
DOJ	COPS Tech	The City of Houston, TX, Houston, TX	Houston Interoperability Initiative	\$1,350,000	Jackson-Lee (TX); Green, Gene; Culberson
DOJ	COPS Tech	The County of Bucks, Doylestown, PA	Law enforcement data-sharing infrastructure	\$300,000	Murphy, Patrick
DOJ	COPS Tech	The Police Department in the Borough of Etna, Pittsburgh, PA	Security Cameras and Police Equipment	\$100,000	Doyle
DOJ	COPS Tech	The Village of Skokie, Skokie, IL	Communications Center at New Police Station	\$465,000	Schakowsky
DOJ	COPS Tech	Thomas County E-9-1-1, Thomasville, GA	Emergency Communications Center	\$150,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Tohono O'odham Nation, Sells, AZ	Tohono O'odham Nation- Arizona Law Enforcement Communications System	\$100,000	Grijalva
DOJ	COPS Tech	Toms River Police Department, Toms River, NJ	Township-wide Wi Fi Mesh Canopy In-Car Video/License Plate Recognition Project	\$700,000	Adler (NJ)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Torrance County Sheriff, Estancia, NM	Torrance County Drug Enforcement	\$200,000	Heinrich

DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Ayden, North Carolina, Ayden, NC	Town of Ayden Public Safety Technology Improvement Initiative	\$250,000	Butterfield
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Burrillville Police Department, Oakland, RI	Burrillville Cops Technology	\$92,000	Kennedy
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Carmel, Mahopac, NY	Police Vehicle Video Systems	\$145,000	Hall (NY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Cheektowaga, Cheektowaga, NY	Law Enforcement Technology for the Town of Cheektowaga	\$200,000	Higgins
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Clarkstown, NY, New City, NY	Clarkstown, NY, Police Department Communication System Upgrades	\$200,000	Lowey
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Colmar Manor, Brentwood, MD	The Town of Colmar Manor Interoperable Radio Project	\$100,000	Van Hollen
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Framingham, Framingham, MA	Framingham Townwide Wireless Mesh Network	\$200,000	Markey (MA)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Grant Town, WV Police Department, Grant Town, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Hackleburg, Hackleburg, AL	Police Technology Upgrades	\$75,000	Aderholt
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Hebron, Hebron, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Hebron Police Department	\$190,000	Visclosky
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Highland, Highland, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Highland Police Department	\$485,000	Visclosky
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Masontown, WV, Masontown, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Merrillville, Merrillville, IN	Law enforcement equipment for Merrillville Police Department	\$500,000	Visclosky
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Ossining Police Department, Briarcliff Manor, NY	Town of Ossining, NY, Police Department Equipment	\$6,000	Lowey
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Porter, Porter, IN	Law enforcement equipment for the Porter Police Department	\$68,000	Visclosky

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Ridgeley, WV Police Department, Ridgeley, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Southwest Ranches, Southwest Ranches, FL	Public Safety and Law Enforcement Equipment	\$500,000	Wasserman Schultz
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Vernon, Vernon, CT	Radio System Digital Upgrade	\$300,000	Courtney
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Warren, Warren, RI	Information Technology and communications up-grades	\$500,000	Kennedy
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of West Liberty, West Liberty, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of White Hall, WV Police Department, White Hall, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town of Woodbury Police Department, Central Valley, NY	Emergency Energy Supply	\$60,000	Hall (NY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Town Watch Integrated Services, Philadelphia, PA	Town Watch Program	\$100,000	Fattah
DOJ	COPS Tech	Township of Irvington, Irvington, NJ	Irvington CAD/RMS Project	\$200,000	Payne
DOJ	COPS Tech	Township of Spring, Reading, PA	Video Recorder System Purchase	\$90,000	Gerlach
DOJ	COPS Tech	Township of Woodbridge, Woodbridge, NJ	Woodbridge, New Jersey Interoperable Law Enforcement Trunked Digital Radio System	\$500,000	Lance; Sires
DOJ	COPS Tech	Tulsa Police Department, Tulsa, OK	Law Enforcement Interoperability / Regional Expansion Project	\$200,000	Sullivan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Umatilla County Sheriff, Pendleton, OR	Mobile Video Equipment	\$130,000	Walden
DOJ	COPS Tech	Union County Sheriff, El Dorado, AR	In-Car Video and Audio Recording Devices	\$75,000	Ross

DOJ	COPS Tech	Union County, FL Sheriff, Lake Butler, FL	Law Enforcement Visual Intelligence Tool	\$500,000	Crenshaw
DOJ	COPS Tech	University of Akron, Akron, OH	High Technology Forensics Laboratory and Resource Center	\$500,000	La Tourette
DOJ	COPS Tech	University of Central Oklahoma, Edmond, OK	Central Oklahoma /State of Oklahoma Forensic Laboratory Program Enhanced DNA Analysis Training for Law Enforcement Professionals	\$150,000	Fallin
DOJ	COPS Tech	University of Maryland Public Safety Training and Technical Assistance Program, Greenbelt, MD	Prince George's County Municipal Interoperability Upgrades	\$500,000	Hoyer
DOJ	COPS Tech	University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX	Open Source Lab for Law Enforcement Intelligence Analysis on Latin America	\$400,000	Reyes
DOJ	COPS Tech	Upper Peninsula 9-1-1 Authority, Escanaba, MI	Upper Peninsula 9-1-1 Computer Aided Dispatch and Records Management System	\$250,000	Stupak
DOJ	COPS Tech	Vanderburgh County Commissioners, Evansville, IN	Civic Center Complex Security Improvements	\$200,000	Ellsworth
DOJ	COPS Tech	Vienna, WV Police Department, Vienna, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Bosque Farms, Bosque Farms, NM	Valencia County Law Enforcement Uniform Records Management System	\$400,000	Teague
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Campton Hills Police Department, Campton Hills, IL	Campton Hills Police Mobile Patrol	\$25,000	Foster
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Chagrin Falls Police Department, Chagrin Falls, OH	Interoperable Communications and Operations Center	\$250,000	La Tourette
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Clearview, WV, Wheeling, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Mollohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of La Grange Park, La Grange Park, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$75,000	Lipinski
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of La Grange, La Grange, IL	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$100,000	Lipinski
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Melrose Park Police Department, Melrose Park, IL	Wireless Surveillance System	\$300,000	Quigley

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Monroe Police Department, Monroe, NY	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$160,000	Hall (NY)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of North Aurora, North Aurora, IL	Village of North Aurora Police Digital Radio Interoperability	\$200,000	Foster
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Pelham Manor, Pelham Manor, NY	Village of Pelham Manor, NY, Police Department Fingerprint Scanner	\$30,000	Lowe
DOJ	COPS Tech	Village of Thornton, IL, Thornton, IL	Mobile Data Browsing Software Upgrade	\$100,000	Jackson (IL)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Virgin Islands Police Department, St. Croix, VI	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$550,000	Christensen
DOJ	COPS Tech	Virginia Center for Policing Innovation, Richmond, VA	Virginia Center for Policing Innovation	\$300,000	Scott (VA); Moran (VA); Nye; Wittman
DOJ	COPS Tech	Waco Police Department, Waco, TX	McLennan County Communications Technology Upgrade	\$450,000	Edwards (TX)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Wake County, Raleigh, NC	Wake County 800 MHz Base Infrastructure Expansion	\$400,000	Price (NC); Miller (NC); Etheridge
DOJ	COPS Tech	Warner Robins Police Department, Warner Robins, GA	Forensic Video System	\$20,000	Marshall
DOJ	COPS Tech	Washington Parish Sheriff, Franklinton, LA	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$291,000	Scalise
DOJ	COPS Tech	Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Reno, NV	Washoe County Patrol Vehicle Safety Systems	\$500,000	Heller
DOJ	COPS Tech	Watertown, CT, Watertown, CT	Emergency Communications System	\$300,000	Murphy (CT)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Wayne County, Detroit, MI	Wayne County, MI Radio Communications Interoperability	\$300,000	Conyers
DOJ	COPS Tech	Webb County Sheriff, Laredo, TX	South Texas Forensics Laboratory	\$250,000	Cuellar

DOJ	COPS Tech	West Union, WV Police Department, West Union, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Molohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Weston Police Department, Weston, WV	Weston Police Department Technology Upgrade	\$250,000	Capito
DOJ	COPS Tech	Wichita State University, Wichita, KS	Kansas Regional Community Policing Institute	\$650,000	Tiaht
DOJ	COPS Tech	Wilcox County Sheriff, Abbeville, GA	Portable and Vehicle Radios	\$25,000	Marshall
DOJ	COPS Tech	Williamstown, WV Police Department, Williamstown, WV	Law Enforcement Technology and Equipment	\$25,000	Molohan
DOJ	COPS Tech	Wilmington Police Department, Wilmington, NC	Gunshot Location System	\$315,000	McIntyre
DOJ	COPS Tech	Yellowstone County Sheriff's Office, Billings, MT	Yellowstone County Mobile Digital Video Camera	\$147,000	Reinberg
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	14th Judicial District Durham County North Carolina, Durham, NC	14th Judicial District of Durham County: New Life Job Court	\$100,000	Price (NC)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	180 Turning Lives Around, Inc, Hazlet, NJ	180 Child and Teen Violence Reduction and Treatment Expansion, Hazlet, NJ	\$500,000	Pallone; Holt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	9th Judicial District, Koochiching County, International Falls, MN	Koochiching County DUI/Substance Abuse Court	\$100,000	Oberstar
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	A Better Way, Inc., Columbia, SC	Project Gang Out (GO)	\$250,000	Clyburn
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	A Child Is Missing, Inc, Ft. Lauderdale, FL	Assist in finding missing children or adults.	\$300,000	Klein (FL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	A Safe Haven, Chicago, IL	Comprehensive Substance Abuse Services to Ex-Offenders	\$500,000	Davis (IL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	ACCESS—Arab Community Center for Economic and Social Services, Dearborn, MI	ACCESS Youth Center	\$200,000	Dingell
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Advocate Good Samaritan Hospital, Downers Grove, IL	Assisting Victims of Domestic Violence at Advocate Good Samaritan Hospital	\$75,000	Roskam
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alabama District Attorneys Association, Montgomery, AL	Alabama Computer Forensics Labs	\$900,000	Bonner; Rogers (AL); Aderholt

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alachua County, FL, Gainesville, FL	Management of Offenders with Co-occurring Mental Illness and Addiction Disorders	\$900,000	Stearns; Brown, Corrine
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Alamo Area Rape Crisis Center, San Antonio, TX	National Online Hotline and comprehensive services for sexual assault survivors	\$200,000	Gonzalez
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Aliviane, Inc., El Paso, TX	The Border Institute of Excellence	\$250,000	Reyes
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Avernia University, Reading, PA	Criminal Justice Program Initiative	\$470,000	Gerlach
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	American Judges Association, Williamsburg, VA	American Judges Association Judicial Education Initiative	\$350,000	LaTourrette
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Armstrong County Emergency Operating Center, Kittanning, PA	Armstrong County Emergency Operating Center Up-grades	\$100,000	Dahlkemper
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Auburn University, Auburn, AL	Auburn University Canine Program	\$900,000	Rogers (AL); Aderholt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Baltimore City Mayor, Baltimore, MD	Baltimore City Reentry Employment Center	\$500,000	Cummings
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Baltimore County Executive Office, Towson, MD	9-1-1 Communications Center	\$500,000	Ruppersberger; Kratowi; Cummings
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Bee County Sheriff, Beeville, TX	Equipment for new officers	\$250,000	Hinojosa
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Mississippi Valley, Davenport, IA	Big Brothers Big Sisters of the Mississippi Valley Expansion and Enhancement Initiative	\$200,000	Hare
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Billings Clinic, Billings, MT	Billings Clinic Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner	\$250,000	Rehberg
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Bluegrass Domestic Violence Program, Lexington, KY	Bluegrass Domestic Violence Transitional Housing	\$325,000	Chandler
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Borough of Jamesburg, Jamesburg, NJ	Jamesburg Police Communications Modernization Program	\$95,000	Holt

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Breaking Ground, Chicago, IL	Job Training and Placement Services for Ex-Offenders	\$100,000	Davis (IL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	BRIDGES USA, Memphis, TN	BRIDGES SPAN Program for Memphis City Schools	\$300,000	Cohen
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Brinkley, AR Police Department, Brinkley, AR	Law Enforcement Equipment	\$62,000	Berry
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Brockton Area Private Industry Council, Inc., Brockton, MA	Gateway Program	\$250,000	Lynch
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Buffalo City Mission, Buffalo, NY	Cornerstone Manor Program Expansion	\$200,000	Slaughter
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Gang Suppression Enforcement Team (GSET) Project	\$100,000	Cardoza
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	California Department of Justice, Sacramento, CA	Riverside Gang Suppression Enforcement Team	\$250,000	Calvert
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	CeaseFire Chicago, Chicago, IL	CeaseFire Chicago Project	\$250,000	Jackson (IL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Center for Court Innovation, New York, NY	Enhanced Services for Veterans in Drug Court	\$100,000	Hinchey
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Center Point, Inc., San Rafael, CA	Re-Entry and Community Integration Services	\$250,000	Woolsey
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Chabad of Riverside, Riverside, CA	Chabad of Riverside's Project PRIDE (Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Eradication)	\$400,000	Calvert
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Chapman University, Orange, CA	Chapman University Domestic Violence Clinic	\$100,000	Sanchez, Loretta
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Charlotte-Mecklenburg Police Department, Charlotte, NC	Gang of One Pilot Program	\$200,000	Kissel; Watt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Chicago School of Professional Psychology, Chicago, IL	The Chicago School Partnership with Community Mental Health Council	\$350,000	Jackson (IL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters, Norfolk, VA	Child Abuse Treatment Services (VA)	\$100,000	Scott (VA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Chrysalis House, Lexington, KY	Chrysalis House Substance Abuse Rehabilitation Program	\$175,000	Chandler

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Chrysalis-Changing Lives Through Jobs, Los Angeles, CA	Ex-Offender and Homeless Job Training Initiative	\$500,000	Roybal-Allard
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Citizens for NYC, New York, NY	Community Crime Stoppers	\$200,000	Crowley
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Adelanto, Adelanto, CA	Emergency Operations Center	\$375,000	McKeon
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Annapolis, Maryland, Annapolis, MD	Capital City Safe Streets Program	\$100,000	Sarbanes
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Austin, Austin, TX	Austin Police Technology	\$250,000	Smith (TX)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Baltimore Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Baltimore City Gun Violence Reduction Initiative	\$500,000	Ruppersberger
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Carlsbad, Carlsbad, CA	Joint First Responders Training Facility	\$300,000	Bilbray
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Chattanooga, Chattanooga, TN	City of Chattanooga Law Enforcement Training Center	\$500,000	Wamp
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Colton, Colton, CA	City of Colton Police Department Back-up Generator	\$200,000	Baca
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Denton, Denton, TX	Denton Public Safety Training Facility	\$1,000,000	Burgess
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Detroit, Detroit Police Department, Detroit, MI	City of Detroit—Firearm Reduction Initiative	\$500,000	Conyers; Kilpatrick (MI)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Detroit, Detroit, MI	City of Detroit—Parolees, Technical Parole Violators, and Former Prisoners Project	\$550,000	Conyers; Kilpatrick (MI)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Escondido, Escondido, CA	Mobile Data Computer Infrastructure	\$200,000	Bilbray
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Evanston Police Department, Evanston, IL	Undercover Operations Personnel	\$35,000	Schakowsky
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Folsom, Folsom, CA	Folsom Emergency Operations Center	\$250,000	Lungren, Dan

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Glendale, Glendale, AZ	Glendale Computer Aided Dispatch and Records Management System	\$1,000,000	Franks (AZ); Pastor (AZ)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Glendale, Glendale, CA	Interagency Communications Interoperability System, Glendale/Burbank, CA	\$500,000	Schiff
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Henderson, Henderson, NV	Regional Law Enforcement Training Facility	\$300,000	Titus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Houston, Houston, TX	Targeted Narcotics Enforcement Team, Houston, TX	\$350,000	Culberson; Poe (TX)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Hutchinson Police Department, Hutchinson, KS	Emergency Response Team Equipment Upgrade Project	\$200,000	Moran (KS)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Irondale, Irondale, AL	Law Enforcement Equipment and Technology Upgrades	\$350,000	Bachus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Kansas City, Kansas City, MO	Community Justice Program	\$100,000	Cleaver
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Lakewood, CO, Lakewood, CO	Substance Abuse Treatment for Youth Offenders	\$45,000	Perlmutter
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Community Law Enforcement and Recovery Program	\$500,000	Roybal-Allard
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Domestic Abuse Response Team (DART)	\$100,000	Watson; Sherman
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Gang Reduction Youth Development Zone—Pacoima	\$100,000	Berman
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Elimination Program	\$500,000	Schiff
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Miami Beach, FL, Miami Beach, FL	Miami Beach After School Gang and Drug Prevention Program	\$500,000	Ros-Lehtinen; Wasserman Schultz
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Midland, Midland, TX	Emergency Communications Equipment, Midland, Texas	\$325,000	Conaway
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Montgomery, Montgomery, AL	City of Montgomery and Montgomery County Interoperable Upgrades	\$360,000	Bright; Rogers (AL)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Moreno Valley, Moreno Valley, CA	City of Moreno Valley Gang Prevention Program	\$500,000	Bono Mack
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of National City, National City, CA	Homeless Outreach Program and Enforcement (HOPE) Project	\$95,000	Filner
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of New Haven, New Haven, CT	City of New Haven Re-entry Program	\$500,000	DeLauro
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA	Youth Violence Reduction Partnership (YVRP)	\$250,000	Fattah
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ	City of Phoenix Electronic Prosecutor Records Management	\$100,000	Pastor (AZ)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of San Bernardino, San Bernardino, CA	San Bernardino Project Phoenix Neighborhood Initiative	\$500,000	Lewis (CA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of San Fernando, San Fernando, CA	School Resource Officer Program	\$450,000	Berman
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of San Jose, San Jose, CA	Skills to Succeed Prisoner Re-Entry Pilot Project	\$400,000	Honda
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Stockton, Stockton, CA	Operation Peacekeeper Gang Outreach Program	\$200,000	Cardoza; McMerney
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Trenton, New Jersey, Trenton, NJ	YouthStat Violence and Gang Prevention	\$310,000	Smith (NJ); Holt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Tucson, Tucson, AZ	Tucson Drug Trafficking Suppression Initiative	\$400,000	Giffords; Grijalva
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Westminster, CA, Westminster, CA	Asian Criminal Enterprise Initiative	\$290,000	Royce; Rohrabacher; Sanchez; Loretta
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Wrens, Wrens, GA	Wrens city security project	\$75,000	Barrow
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	City of Yonkers, Yonkers, NY	Yonkers WAVE drug sweep	\$300,000	Engel
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Claflin University, Orangeburg, SC	Claflin Certified Forensics Laboratory	\$1,000,000	Clyburn
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Claiborne County Sheriff, Port Gibson, MS	County-wide law enforcement programs	\$100,000	Thompson (MS)

	OJP—Byrne	Clarke County Sheriff, Berryville, VA	Northwest Regional Gang Task Force	\$1,000,000	Wolf
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Clearfield City, Clearfield, UT	Digital Technology for Drug Enforcement/Gang-Related Strategic Initiative	\$425,000	Bishop (UT)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Coalition for a Drug-Free Lanai, Lanai City, HI	Youth Mentoring Program	\$25,000	Hirono
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Community Foundation of Wyandotte County, Kansas City, KS	NeighborhoodsNOW Crime and Safety Initiative	\$500,000	Moore (KS)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Conservation Corps of Long Beach, Long Beach, CA	Environmental Job Training Program for Youth At-Risk in Southeast Los Angeles County	\$100,000	Sanchez, Linda
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Converging Industries Research Foundation, Cambridge, MA	Massachusetts Initiative for Real-Time Wireless Emergency Communications	\$250,000	Capuano
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department, Monterey Park, CA	Rape Kit Backlog Reduction Program	\$1,000,000	Schiff
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	County of Merrimack, New Hampshire, Concord, NH	Merrimack County Mental Health Court Pilot Program	\$250,000	Hodes
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	County of Monterey, Salinas, CA	Monterey County Street and Anti-Gang Project (aka: Gang Task Force)	\$500,000	Farr
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	County of San Diego, District Attorney, San Diego, CA	DA Gang and Drug Crime Investigation and Prosecution	\$200,000	Filner; Davis (CA); Bilbray
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	County of San Diego, Sheriff's Department, San Diego, CA	San Diego County Regional Gang Enforcement Collaborative	\$350,000	Hunter; Filner; Davis (CA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Covenant House California, Oakland, CA	Covenant House, Oakland Housing and Career Center	\$250,000	Lee (CA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Arkansas School Resource Officer Program	\$600,000	Boozman; Berry; Snyder
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Criminal Justice Institute, Little Rock, AR	Rural Executive Management Institute (REMI) Project	\$200,000	Berry

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Cuyahoga County Board of County Commissioners, Cleveland, OH	Cuyahoga County Fatherhood Initiative	\$300,000	Kucinich
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Cuyahoga County Board of County Commissioners, Cleveland, OH	Cuyahoga County Prisoner Re-entry Program	\$400,000	Kucinich; Fudge
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Digital Development Corporation and Oversight Committee (DDCOC), Chicago, IL	SECOND CHANCES Job Training Program	\$250,000	Davis (IL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Diverse and Resilient, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Diverse and Resilient, Inc.	\$155,000	Baldwin
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	East Bay Community Law Center, Berkeley, CA	Clean Slate Clinic for Community Re-entry & Re-integration	\$250,000	Lee (CA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	East Helena Police Department, East Helena, MT	East Helena Community Policing Hiring Effort	\$60,000	Rehberg
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	East Stroudsburg University, East Stroudsburg, PA	The Cyber Crime and Forensics Institute	\$500,000	Kanjorski
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Emanuel County Board of Commissioners, Swainsboro, GA	Emanuel County Emergency Vehicle Acquisition	\$100,000	Barrow
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Enough is Enough, Great Falls, VA	VA Internet Safety 101	\$160,000	Moran (VA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Episcopal Community Services of Maryland, Baltimore, MD	Jericho Reentry: Workforce Development for Ex-Offenders	\$100,000	Ruppersberger; Cummings
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Essex County District Attorney, Salem, MA	Essex County District Attorney's Child Abuse, Domestic Abuse and Sexual Assault Specialized Prosecution Program	\$250,000	Tierney
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Essex County Sheriff's Department, Middleton, MA	Comprehensive Substance Abuse Education and Treatment Program	\$280,000	Tsongas; Tierney
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Exeter Police Department, Reading, PA	Berks County Emergency Response Team (BCERT)	\$350,000	Gerlach

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Fairfield Police Department, Fairfield, IL	Fairfield Police Department Emergency Communications and Equipment Upgrades	\$110,000	Shimkus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Family Justice Center, Hillsborough County, Tampa, FL	Family Justice Center	\$200,000	Castor (FL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Family Reentry, Norwalk, CT	Fresh Start Reentry Program	\$900,000	Larson (CT); Himes
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Father's Day Rally, Philadelphia, PA	FDRS	\$250,000	Fattah
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Findlay Police Department, Findlay, IL	Findlay Police Equipment	\$10,000	Shimkus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	First Step, Taylor, MI	First Step Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program	\$200,000	Dingell
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Florida Gulf Coast University, Ft. Myers, FL	FGCU Law Enforcement and Public Safety	\$200,000	Mack
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Fulton County, Atlanta, GA	Fulton County High Intensity Crime Area Task Force	\$100,000	Scott (GA); Lewis (GA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Gallatin County, Montana, Bozeman, MT	Gallatin County Treatment Court	\$185,000	Rehberg
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Genesee Community College, Batavia, NY	Integrated Campus Security Initiative	\$537,000	Lee (NY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Glenville State College, WV Anti-Recidivism Prisoner Education Program	\$406,000	Mollohan
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Glenville State College, Glenville, WV	Glenville State College, WV Electronic Crimes Education and Training Program	\$200,000	Mollohan
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Grundy County Emergency Telephone System Board, Morris, IL	Grundy County ETSB Consolidation Project E911 System	\$100,000	Halvorson
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Guilford College, Greensboro, NC	Enhancing Technical Expertise in Forensics in Guilford County, North Carolina	\$100,000	Miller (NC)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Hampden County Sheriff, Ludlow, MA	Integrated Reentry Program	\$350,000	Neal
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Hanover Park Police Department, Sergeant Tim Podlin, Hanover Park, IL	Rapid Response to School Violence	\$48,000	Roskam

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Harris County Constable Precinct 4, Springt, TX	Preventing Violence Against Women	\$90,000	Poe (TX)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Harris County Constable Precinct Six, Houston, TX	Gang Identification and Enforcement Unit	\$110,000	Green, Gene; Green, Al
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Hawaii Immigrant Justice Center, Honolulu, HI	Human Rights Alliance	\$200,000	Abercrombie; Hirono
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Henry C. Lee Institute of Forensic Science, New Haven, CT	Regional Crime Scene Response Team	\$200,000	DeLauro
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Holmes County Sheriff Department, Lexington, MS	County-wide law enforcement programs	\$100,000	Thompson (MS)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Homestretch, Falls Church, VI	Homelessness and Domestic Violence Programs	\$300,000	Moran (VA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Hope Through Housing Foundation, Rancho Cucamonga, CA	After School and Beyond -Violence Prevention	\$850,000	Miller, Gary; Baca; Tauscher
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Houston Police Department, Houston, TX	Mobile AFIS Equipment and LiveScan Equipment	\$910,000	Poe (TX)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Hoxie, AR Police Department, Hoxie, AR	Law enforcement programs and equipment	\$50,000	Berry
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Idaho Department of Corrections, Boise, ID	NCOMS Medical and Mental Health Sharing Software Development	\$500,000	Simpson
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Iowa Central Community College, Ft. Dodge, IA	Iowa Central Law Enforcement Training Center	\$500,000	Latham
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Iowa Governor, Des Moines, IA	Drug Endangered Children Program and Drug Diversion Deterrence	\$300,000	Boswell
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Iowa State University, Ames, IA	Internet Scale Event & Attack Generation Environment	\$400,000	Latham
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Iowa State University, Ames, IA	Iowa State Forensic Testing Lab	\$1,400,000	Latham
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Izard County, AR Sheriff, Melbourne, AR	Calico Rock, AR Police Program	\$100,000	Berry

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Jefferson County Sheriff, Fayette, MS	County-wide law enforcement programs	\$100,000	Thompson (MS)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	John Jay College of Criminal Justice, New York, NY	National Academy for Reentry Policy and Practice	\$100,000	Rangel; Towns; Engel
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Johnson County Sheriff, Cleburne, TX	Stop the Offender Program	\$200,000	Edwards (TX)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Joiner, AR Police Department, Joiner, AR	Law enforcement programs and equipment	\$100,000	Berry
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Justice 2000, Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Community justice centers	\$100,000	Moore (WI)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	King County Sheriff, Seattle, WA	King County Gang Intervention Initiative	\$200,000	McDermott
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	King of Kings Foundation, Jamaica, NY	Anti-Drug & Anti-Gang Warriors Program	\$290,000	Meeks (NY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Kokomo Police Department, Kokomo, IN	Kokomo Police Department Forensic Crime Lab	\$100,000	Donnelly (IN)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Lane County Administration, Eugene, OR	Offender Treatment and Transition Program	\$500,000	DeFazio
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Las Vegas, NV	Information Sharing and Analysis Tools for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	\$325,000	Berkley; Titus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	LIGALY, Bayshore, NY	The Anti-Violence Project	\$500,000	Israel
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Local Initiatives Support Corporation, New York, NY	LISC Community Safety Initiative	\$225,000	Oberstar; Langevin; Conyers
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Loudoun County Sheriff's Office, Leesburg, VA	Northern Virginia Regional Gang Task Force	\$3,000,000	Wolf; Connolly (VA); Moran (VA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Lucas County TASC, Inc., Toledo, Ohio, OH	Reentry Coalition Of Northwest Ohio	\$300,000	Kaptur
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Maryland State Police, Pikesville, MD	Gang Elimination Task Force	\$1,000,000	Ruppersberger
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Mattie Rhodes Center, Kansas City, MO	Latino Youth Crime Prevention Project	\$250,000	Cleaver
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Men Aspiring Leaders through Education, Inc., Houston, TX	M.A.L.E. Reentry Program	\$250,000	Green, Al
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Men of Valor Academy, Oakland, CA	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Center for Building Our Community Green	\$100,000	Lee (CA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Miami Dade College North Campus, Miami, FL	Officer Tactical Facility Enhancements	\$200,000	Meek (FL)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Miami-Dade County, Miami, FL	Mortgage Fraud Task Force	\$150,000	Meek (FL); Diaz-Balart, Mario; Wasserman Schultz, Diaz-Balart, Lincoln
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Micronesian Legal Services Corporation, Saipan, MP	Legal services outreach, internet upgrade and immigration services	\$180,000	Sablan
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Minnesota State Patrol, St. Paul, MN	MN 8th District State Patrol In-Squad Digital Cameras	\$240,000	Oberstar
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Minot State University, Minot, ND	Rural Methamphetamine Education Project	\$350,000	Pomeroy
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Mississippi Military Department, Jackson, MS	Regional Counterdrug Training Academy	\$300,000	Harper
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Monmouth County Sheriff, Freehold, NJ	Trunk Radio System	\$120,000	Holt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Monroe County Department of Public Safety, Rochester, NY	Biology/DNA Module	\$200,000	Slaughter
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Monroe County Department of Public Safety, Rochester, NY	Monroe County Regional Crime Laboratory	\$100,000	Maffei
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Montgomery YMCA, Montgomery, AL	Cleveland Avenue YMCA	\$100,000	Bright
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	MTSU, Murfreesboro, TN	Training of Law Enforcement Personnel	\$625,000	Gordon (TN)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Multi-County Juvenile Attention System (MCJAS), Canton, OH	Tuscarawas Attention Center Project	\$275,000	Space
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Multnomah County, OR, Portland, OR	Multnomah County (OR) Department of Community Justice Re-Entry Services	\$500,000	Blumenauer; Wu
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	My Home, Your Home Inc., Milwaukee, WI	Lissy's Place	\$180,000	Moore (WI)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Nassau County Executive, Mineola, NY	Family Court Technology Improvements	\$235,000	McCarthy (NY)

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Nassau County Police Department, Mineola, NY	Heroin Abatement Program	\$385,000	King (NY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Child Abuse Training Programs for Judicial Personnel: Victims Act Model Courts Project	\$1,875,000	Granger; Titus; Conyers; Honda; Grijalva; McDermott; Berkley
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	National District Attorneys Association, Alexandria, VA	National Advocacy Center State and Local Prosecutors Training Program	\$150,000	Kratovil; Ruppertsberger; Spratt; Aderholt; Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	National Forensic Science Technology Center, Largo, FL	National Forensic Science Technology Center, Forensic Technology Center of Excellence	\$2,000,000	Young (FL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Nevada Department of Public Safety Parole and Probation, Carson City, NV	State of Nevada, Parole and Probation Technological Advancement and Improvement	\$150,000	Titus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	New Jersey Department of Children and Families, Trenton, NJ	Child Forensic Interviewers for Child Advocacy Centers	\$100,000	Holt; Pascrell
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	New Man Development Community Corporation, Rehobersburg, PA	Employment Preparation Project at New Man Development Community Corporation	\$25,000	Coble
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	North Arlington Borough, North Arlington, NJ	Cops in Schools and Cops on the Street	\$100,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Northampton Community College, Bethlehem, PA	Campus-Wide Security System	\$300,000	Kanjorski
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Northwest Missouri Interagency Team Response Operation, Cameron, MO	Multi-Jurisdictional Drug and Violent Offender Task Force	\$200,000	Graves
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	NYC Police Foundation, New York, NY	Project COPE	\$500,000	McMahon
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Office of Human Affairs, Newport News, VA	Visual and Performing Arts After School Program, Newport News, VA	\$100,000	Scott (VA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Office of the King's County District Attorney, Brooklyn, NY	ComALERT Project	\$100,000	Weiner
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Office of the Queens County District Attorney, Kew Gardens, NY	Specialized Effort to Combat Financial Crimes and Mortgage Fraud	\$100,000	Weiner

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Ogjala Sioux Tribe Department of Public Safety, Pine Ridge, SD	Law Enforcement Programs	\$165,000	Herseth Sandlin
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Ohio Attorney General, Columbus, OH	University Of Toledo and Ohio Attorney General Forensic Crime Lab	\$100,000	Kaptur
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Oldham County Sheriff's Office, LaGrange, KY	Equipment Upgrades, Oldham County Sheriff, KY	\$75,000	Davis (KY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Operation Our Town, Altoona, PA	Drug Treatment and Prevention	\$300,000	Shuster
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Operation UNITE, Somerset, KY	Narcotics Law Enforcement & Interdiction, Education and Deterrence	\$4,450,000	Rogers (KY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Orange County Human Trafficking Task Force, Westminster, CA	Victim and Outreach Services Enhancements	\$220,000	Sanchez, Loretta
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Oregon Partnership, Portland, OR	Rx for Saving Oregon Teens	\$470,000	Walden, Wu
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Oriana House, Inc., Akron, OH	Misdemeanant Facility	\$100,000	Ryan (OH), Sutton
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Parents for Megan, Stony Brook, NY	Institute Sex Offender Registration Tips (SORT) and Support Programs	\$300,000	Israei; Bishop (NY); King (NY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	PAV/Real Solutions to Gun Violence, New York, NY	SPEAK UP Hotline and Awareness Campaign Access Expansion	\$240,000	Pascrell; McCarthy (NY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Pennyrile Narcotic Task Force, Hopkinsville, KY	Law Enforcement Programs and Equipment	\$750,000	Whitfield
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Penobscot County Sheriff, Bangor, ME	Sheriff Taskforce to collaborate with Maine schools in a comprehensive multi-hazard interoperable response plan	\$250,000	Michaud
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Peralta Community College District, Oakland, CA	Oakland Center for Public Safety at Merritt College	\$125,000	Lee (CA)

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Perry Police Department, Perry, FL	Perry Police Department Canine Program	\$105,000	Boyd
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Persad Center, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA	Community Safe Zone	\$150,000	Doyle
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Philadelphia Safety Net, Philadelphia., PA	Safety Net Program	\$300,000	Fattah
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Phoenix House, NY, New York, NY	Drug Treatment Technology Project	\$500,000	Rangel
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Phoenix House, Springfield, MA	Drug Treatment Technology Enhancement Initiative	\$500,000	Neal
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Portage County Commissioners, Adult Probation Department, Ravenna, OH	Community Integration and Socialization Program	\$200,000	Ryan (OH)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Providence Police Department, Providence, RI	Providence Gun Violence and Gang Reduction Initiative	\$500,000	Kennedy
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Puyallup Tribe of Indians, Tacoma, WA	Puyallup Justice Center Project	\$400,000	Smith (WA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Ready Willing & Able, Philadelphia, PA	Ready Willing & Able Philadelphia Program	\$200,000	Fattah
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rio Hondo College, Whittier, CA	Regional Homeland Security Training Center Initiative	\$300,000	Napolitano; Miller, Gary; Schiff
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Rockdale County Sheriff's Office, Rockdale County, GA	Rockdale County Sheriff's Office—Gang Intervention Project	\$250,000	Johnson (GA); Scott (GA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sacramento Police Department, Sacramento, CA	Sacramento Police Department Cold Case Justice Project	\$100,000	Matsui
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Safe Streets Campaign, Tacoma, WA	Pierce County Regional Gang Prevention Partnership, Safe Streets Campaign	\$500,000	Dicks, Smith (WA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Saginaw County Sheriff, Saginaw, MI	Facilitating Justice Information Sharing	\$280,000	Kirdee
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Salem Police Department, Salem, MA	Regional Approach to Law Enforcement Technology Services	\$250,000	Tierney
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, TX	Sam Houston State University Regional Crime Laboratory	\$1,000,000	Brady (TX)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	San Diego Second Chance Program, San Diego, CA	Second Chance Prisoner Reentry Employment Program	\$400,000	Filmer
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	San Francisco District Attorney, San Francisco, CA	San Francisco Reentry Center	\$750,000	Pelosi
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	San Jose State University Research Foundation, San Jose, CA	Community Collaborative Response to Victims of Domestic Violence	\$440,000	Loftgren, Zoe; Honda
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sanctuary, Inc., Guam, Chalan Pago, GU	Sanctuary, Inc., Guam At-Risk Youth Services Programs	\$150,000	Bordallo
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Saving Our Seeds, Chicago, IL	Life Skills Program	\$51,000	Davis (IL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) Center, Anchorage, AK	Sexual Assault Response Team (SART) Center	\$400,000	Young (AK)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Shelby Township Police Department, Shelby Township, MI	Law Enforcement Programs, Prosecution, Drug Treatment and Enforcement Programs	\$200,000	Miller (MI)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate, Agency Village, SD	Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate Transition Assistance	\$110,000	Herseth Sandlin
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sisters of Charity, Columbia, SC	Jobs Not Jail: An Alternative to Incarceration	\$265,000	Spratt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	South Western Judicial Circuit Family Violence Council, Inc., Americus, GA	Domestic Violence Advocate	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Southeast Missouri Network Against Sexual Violence, Cape Girardeau, MO	Enhanced Victim Assistance	\$200,000	Emerson
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Southwest Tennessee Community College, Memphis, TN	Forensic Science Program	\$100,000	Cohen
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens, FL	Human Trafficking Initiative	\$500,000	Ros-Lehtinen; Wasserman Schultz

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Sterling Heights Police Department, Sterling Heights, MI	Law Enforcement Programs, Prosecution, Drug Treatment and Enforcement Programs	\$300,000	Miller (MI)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Stetson University College of Law, Gulfport, FL	National Clearing House for Science, Technology and the Law	\$400,000	Young (FL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Suffolk County Police Department, Yaphank, NY	Suffolk County, NY, Police Department Computer Crimes Initiative	\$250,000	Bishop (NY); King (NY)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Superior Police Department, Superior, WI	Forensic Technology Task Force	\$550,000	Obey
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Survivors, Inc., Gettysburg, PA	Legal Advocacy and Hotline Project	\$25,000	Platts
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Synergy Services, Parkville, MO	Community Response to Domestic Violence	\$140,000	Graves
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Tahirih Justice Center, Falls Church, VA	Immigrant Women and Girls Legal Services	\$500,000	Moran (VA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Tallahassee Community College, Tallahassee, FL	Florida Public Safety Institute	\$245,000	Boyd; Crenshaw
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Tarleton State University, Stephenville, TX	Tarleton State University Rural Law Enforcement Project	\$1,500,000	Carter
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Taylor County Sheriff, Medford, WI	Law Enforcement Technology	\$1,000,000	Obey
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Texas Border Sheriffs Coalition, El Paso, TX	Operation Linebacker	\$4,850,000	Culberson
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Texas Engineering Extension Service, College Station, TX	Forensic Science Academy	\$300,000	Edwards (TX)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Texas State University—San Marcos, San Marcos, TX	Advanced Law Enforcement Rapid Response Training (ALERT)	\$1,300,000	Conaway; Granger; Edwards (TX); Smith (TX); Johnson; Eddie Bernice
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The City of Belton, Texas, Belton, TX	Belton, Texas First Responder Equipment, Technology and Interoperability Upgrades	\$700,000	Carter
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The City of Center Point, Center Point, AL	Center Point Community Policing Project	\$200,000	Davis (AL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The Criminal Justice Training Center, Golden West College, Huntington Beach, CA	Virtual Interactive Training Simulator	\$900,000	Rohrabacher

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The Doe Fund, Inc., New York, NY	Ready, Willing & Able	\$600,000	Nadler (NY); Towns; Maloney
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The PROGRAM for Offenders, Pittsburg, PA	Family Reunification Project	\$100,000	Doyle
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The St. Petersburg College, St. Petersburg, FL	St. Petersburg College National Terrorism Preparedness Institute	\$1,500,000	Young (FL)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL	Model State Partnership for Cybercrime and Security	\$500,000	Aderholt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The University of Texas at Tyler, Tyler, TX	Texas Cyber Security Research and Training Institute	\$529,000	Gohmert
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	The Women's Center and Shelter of Greater Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA	Emergency Shelter Project	\$200,000	Doyle
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Thiel College, Greenville, PA	Thiel College Community Police Partnership	\$500,000	Dahlkemper
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Toledo Fair Housing Council, Toledo Ohio, OH	Predatory Lending Program	\$125,000	Kaptur
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Town of Greenburgh Adult Drug Treatment Court, Greenburgh, NY	Town of Greenburgh, NY, Adult Drug Court Treatment Program	\$50,000	Lowey
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Township of North Brunswick, North Brunswick, NJ	North Brunswick Video Surveillance Program	\$185,000	Holt
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Turning Point, Inc., Woodstock, IL	Law Enforcement Advocacy Partnership (LEAP)	\$300,000	Bean
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Uintah County, Vernal, UT	Uintah County Drug Court	\$200,000	Matheson
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	United Way for Southeastern Michigan, Detroit, MI	United Way for Southeastern Michigan Ex-Offender Reentry Program	\$300,000	Conyers
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ	Binational Migration Institute	\$250,000	Grijalva

DOJ	OJP—Byrne	University of Maryland Public Safety Training and Technical Assistance Program, Greenbelt, MD	Prince George's County-Montgomery County Gang Prevention and Suppression Task Force	\$2,700,000	Van Hollen; Hoyer; Edwards (MD)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	University of Mississippi School of Law, University, MS	National Center for Justice and the Rule of Law	\$600,000	Childers
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	University of Toledo, Toledo, OH	Ohio Trafficking in Persons Study Group	\$250,000	Kaptur
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	University of West Georgia, Carrollton, GA	University of West Georgia K-12 School Safety and Emergency Response Initiative	\$250,000	Gingrey (GA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Ventura County District Attorney, Ventura, CA	DNA Cold Case Prosecution Unit	\$570,000	Gallegly
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Ventura County Sheriff, Ventura, CA	Regional Gang Unit—Forensic Scientists	\$80,000	Gallegly; Capps
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Village of Downers Grove, Downers Grove, IL	Internet Safety Programs	\$5,000	Biggett
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Village of Southern View, Springfield, IL	Southern View Police Training and Equipment	\$100,000	Shimkus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Voorhees College, Denmark, SC	Domestic Violence Against Women	\$250,000	Clyburn
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Wake Technical Community College, Raleigh, NC	Public Safety for Growing Region	\$300,000	Price (NC); Miller (NC); Etheridge
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Washington County, Oregon, Hillsboro, OR	Washington County (OR) Drug Court	\$300,000	Wu
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Washington State University, Pullman, WA	Washington State University Center for the Study of Addiction: Methamphetamine, Prescription, and Other Drugs of Abuse	\$500,000	McMorris Rodgers
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Wayne County Prosecutor, Detroit, MI	The Wayne County Mortgage Fraud Team	\$250,000	Dingell
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Wayne County Sheriff, Fairfield, IL	Equipment and Supplies for Wayne County Sheriff	\$100,000	Shimkus
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Wayne State University, Detroit, MI	Prisoner Re-Entry Program	\$250,000	Kipatrick (MI)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Weatherfield Township Trustees, Mineral Ridge, OH	Weatherfield OH Regional Interoperable Communication Project	\$495,000	Ryan (OH)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	West Virginia University Identification Technology Research and Transition Center (CiTeR)	\$500,000	Mollohan
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	West Virginia University Technology Design Initiative	\$500,000	Mollohan
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Western Connecticut State University, Danbury, CT	Expanded Building a Bridge to Improve Student Success At-Risk Youth Project	\$100,000	Murphy (CT)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Whatcom County, Bellingham, WA	Whatcom County Rapid Border Prosecution Initiative	\$430,000	Larsen (WA)
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Wilmington Police Department, Wilmington, OH	Wilmington Police Department—Equipment Replacement and Modernization project	\$140,000	Turner
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	YWCA of Greater Cleveland, Cleveland, OH	NIA: A Program to Promote Self-Sufficiency for At-Risk Young Women Aging Out of Foster Care	\$100,000	Fudge
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	YWCA of Greater Flint, Flint, MI	Partners in Transition Program for At-Risk Young Women	\$600,000	Kildee
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	YWCA of Greater Toledo, Toledo, OH	Enhancing Programs for At-Risk Youth and Domestic Violence Survivors	\$125,000	Kaptur
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	YWCA of Madison, Madison, WI	Community of Opportunity At-Risk Youth Initiative	\$150,000	Baldwin
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	YWCA of Silicon Valley, San Jose, CA	YWCA Rape Crisis Center	\$200,000	Eshoo
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	YWCA Silicon Valley, San Jose, CA	Human Trafficking Victim Support Center	\$450,000	Honda
DOJ	OJP—Byrne	Zero to Three, Washington, DC	New Haven Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$300,000	DeLauro
DOJ	OJP—JJ	A Place Called Home, Los Angeles, CA	Health, Nutrition and Well-being Program	\$300,000	Becerra

DOJ	OJP—JJ	ABC Unified School District, Cerritos, CA	Hawaiian Gardens Gang Prevention/Drop Out Intervention Program	\$100,000	Sanchez, Linda
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Abraham House, Bronx, NY	Abraham House Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000	Serrano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Academy School of Careers, El Paso, TX	Project Salud	\$100,000	Reyes
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Alameda County Social Services, Oakland, CA	Family Visitation Center	\$200,000	Stark
DOJ	OJP—JJ	An Achievable Dream, Inc., Newport News, VA	An Achievable Dream Academy	\$600,000	Wittman; Scott (VA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Art Center of the Grand Prairie, Stuttgart, AR	Grand Prairie Arts Initiative	\$155,000	Berry
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Aztecs Rising, Los Angeles, CA	Aztecs Rising Gang Intervention Program	\$225,000	Becerra
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Bardavon 1869 Opera House, Inc. (UCCC), Poughkeepsie, NY	OJDP In-School Residency and Community Outreach Support for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000	Hinchey
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Barron County Restorative Justice Inc., Rice Lake, WI	Anti-Truancy Initiative	\$230,000	Obey
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Bay County Neighborhood Resource Center/Bay Regional Medical Center, Bay City, MI	Bay County Neighborhood Resource Center	\$200,000	Kildee
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Beaver County Minority Coalition, Beaver Falls, PA	Beaver County Juvenile Justice Program	\$100,000	Altmire
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Berkshire South Regional Community Center, Great Barrington, MA	Action Adventures Out-of-School Anti-Bullying Project, southern Berkshire County	\$135,000	Olver
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Big Brothers Big Sisters Foundation of New Jersey, Parsippany, NJ	New Jersey Mentoring Initiative	\$400,000	LoBiondo; Payne; Pallone; Sires
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Big Brothers Big Sisters of Will and Grundy County, Joliet, IL	Expansion of One to One Mentoring Services for At-Risk Children in Will and Grundy Counties	\$185,000	Halvorson
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Big Brothers of Rhode Island, East Providence, RI	Mentorship Program for At-Risk Children	\$100,000	Kennedy
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Bolder Options, Minneapolis, MN	Bolder Options Replication and Expansion	\$300,000	Ellison

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Bolder Options, Minneapolis, MN	Bolder Options Rochester expansion	\$100,000	Walz
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Boston Urban Youth Foundation, Roxbury, MA	Building Futures Educational Initiative	\$250,000	Capuano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Boys & Girls Club of Rutherford County, Murfreesboro, TN	Project Learn	\$150,000	Gordon (TN)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Boys & Girls Club of West San Gabriel Valley, Monterey Park, CA	Life Skills Development Program for At-risk Youth	\$75,000	Schiff
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Boys and Girls Club of Worcester, MA, Worcester, MA	Gang Prevention Through Targeted Outreach Program	\$350,000	McGovern
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Boys Town, Louisiana, New Orleans, LA	Expansion of Boys Town Louisiana Projects	\$147,000	Scalise; Melancon; Cao
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Brick Township Police Athletic League, Brick, NJ	Brick PAL After-School and Kids Camp Program	\$250,000	Smith (NJ)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Bronx Cluster of Settlement Houses, Bronx, NY	Bronx Cluster Youth Development	\$350,000	Engel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Bronx Council on the Arts, Inc., Bronx, NY	BCA Bronx WritersCorps: Youth Development/Delinquency Prevention	\$150,000	Serrano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Brooklyn Academy of Music, Brooklyn, NY	BAM Brooklyn Youth Initiatives	\$200,000	Clarke; Towns
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Brown Memorial Baptist Church, Brooklyn, NY	MLK Strivers After School Program	\$150,000	Towns
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Building Toward Wellness Community Coalition Inc., Columbus, GA	Positive Steps After School Program	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Cabrini College, Wayne, PA	Fostering Community Partnerships for Justice: Domestic Violence Education for Pre-Service and Practicing Professionals	\$100,000	Sestak; Gerlach
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Cathoun County Family Connection, Edison, GA	Youth-Senior Partnership Program	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)

DOJ	OJP—JJ	CAPPA, Inc. (Community Alliance for Progressive Positive Action), Williamsport, PA	CAPPA Youth Intervention and Development Project: Creating Solutions	\$350,000	Carney
DOJ	OJP—JJ	CASA serving Lancaster, Monterey Park, CA	Court-Appointed Special Advocates Program	\$300,000	McKeon
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Centinela Youth Services, Inc, Hawthorne, CA	Parent Efficacy Program	\$200,000	Waters
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Chabad of San Jose, Los Gatos, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education Project	\$200,000	Honda
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Chabad of the Valley, Tarzana, CA	Prevention, Resource, Information and Drug Education (PRIDE) Project	\$100,000	Sherman
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Chapel Hill Missionary Baptist Church, Detroit, MI	Chapel Hill Children and Youth Art Center	\$500,000	Kilpatrick (MI)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Childhelp of East Tennessee, Knoxville, TN	Child Abuse Treatment in Knox County	\$250,000	Duncan
DOJ	OJP—JJ	ChildHelp River Bridge Center, Greenwood Springs, CO	Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment in Garfield, Pitkin and Rio Blanco Counties	\$300,000	Salazar
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Childhelp, Flagstaff, AZ	Childhelp Mobile Advocacy Center of Northern Arizona	\$300,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Childhelp, Phoenix, AZ	Childhelp Children's Center-expansion of services	\$100,000	Pastor (AZ)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Children and Families First Delaware, Wilmington, DE	Functional Family Therapy for At-Risk Youth	\$120,000	Castle
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Alexandria, Alexandria, VA	Youth Building Green	\$200,000	Moran (VA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Baltimore Police Department, Baltimore, MD	Baltimore City Juvenile Screening and Diversion Program	\$200,000	Ruppersberger
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Cairo, Cairo, GA	Holder Park Youth Resource Center After-school Program	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Chino, Chino, CA	City of Chino—Chino Experience Teen Center	\$150,000	Miller, Gary
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of East Palo Alto, East Palo Alto, CA	East Palo Alto Violence Prevention Initiative	\$200,000	Eshoo

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Gadsden, Gadsden, AL	Helping Families Program	\$250,000	Aderholt
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Garfield, Garfield, NJ	School Resource Officer Program (Cops In School)	\$100,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Hartford Police Department, Hartford, CT	Tuancy Reduction Program	\$300,000	Larson (CT)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Jacksonville, FL, Jacksonville, FL	At-Risk Youth Intervention Initiative	\$1,250,000	Crenshaw; Brown, Corrine
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Las Cruces, Las Cruces, NM	Juvenile Justice and Law Enforcement After School Program	\$250,000	Teague
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Long Beach, Long Beach, CA	Youth Career Academy	\$300,000	Richardson
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Pasadena, Pasadena, CA	Neighborhood Outreach Workers (NOW) Program	\$250,000	Schiff
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Philadelphia Mural Arts Program, Philadelphia, PA	Restorative Justice Project	\$100,000	Fattah
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Richmond, Virginia, Richmond, VA	Targeted High-Risk Youth Achievement Program, Richmond, Va	\$200,000	Scott (VA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Rochester, City of Rochester, NY	After-School Jobs Program for At-Risk Youth	\$200,000	Slaughter
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Sacramento, Sacramento, CA	City of Sacramento Attendance Centers	\$280,000	Matsui
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Victorville, Victorville, CA	Utturn Gang Prevention Strategy	\$82,000	McKeon
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City of Wilson, North Carolina, Wilson, NC	Wilson Gang Prevention Initiative	\$100,000	Butterfield
DOJ	OJP—JJ	City Parks Foundation, New York, NY	City Parks Foundation Park Activity Expansion	\$200,000	McMahon; Engel; Rangel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Community Connections, Inc., Bluefield, WV	Southern West Virginia Community Coalition Outreach and SADD Outreach Campaign	\$187,000	Rahall

DOJ	OJP—JJ	Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA), Monterey Park, CA	Court Appointed Special Advocates Recruitment for 38th District	\$200,000	Napolitano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Court Appointed Special Advocate, Monterey Park, CA	Court Appointed Special Advocate Program for Infants and Toddlers	\$375,000	Roybal-Allard
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Covenant House Georgia, Inc., Atlanta, GA	Covenant House Georgia Rights of Passage Transitional Living Program	\$100,000	Lewis (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Covenant House of Florida, Orlando, FL	Parent Education and Health Support Program	\$200,000	Grayson
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Crawford Counseling and Community Learning Center, Roberta, GA	Parenting and Life Choices Program/Employee Assistance Program	\$50,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	D.A.R.E. New Jersey, Inc., Cranbury, NJ	Middle School Drug and Safety Prevention Project	\$350,000	Smith (NJ); Pascrell; LaBiondo; Payne
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Dakota Boys and Girls Ranch, Minot, ND	High-Risk Youth Program	\$200,000	Pomeroy
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Dauphin County Human Service Director, Harrisburg, PA	Family Group Conferencing Community Expansion Project	\$300,000	Holden
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Delaware Mentoring Council, Newark, DE	Mentoring Initiatives for At-Risk Children and Youth	\$750,000	Castle
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Devereux Kids Florida, Ocala, FL	Devereux Kids abuse and neglect prevention program	\$200,000	Stearns
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Devereux, King of Prussia, PA	Program-Wide Positive Behavior Support for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000	Sestak
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Dooly County Community Coalition/Family Connection, Vienna, GA	Delinquency Prevention	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Dutchess Community College, Poughkeepsie, NY	At-risk Youth Education and Training Programs	\$400,000	Hinchey
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Economic Opportunity Council, Wyandanch, NY	Save Our Youth Violence Prevention After School Program	\$300,000	Israel

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Eden Housing, Hayward, CA	Digital Connectors At-Risk Youth Program Expansion	\$575,000	Stark, Lee (CA); Tauscher, McNeerney
DOJ	0JP—JJ	El Museo del Barrio, New York, NY	El Museo del Barrio's Bronx Educational Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$100,000	Serrano
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Enough is Enough, Great Falls, VA	Maryland Internet Safety 101: Empowering Parents Program	\$250,000	Bartlett; Rumpersberger; Sarbanes
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Fairfax Childhelp Center, Fairfax, VA	Providing specialized care and treatment for child abuse victims	\$200,000	Connolly (VA)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	FC Harlem, New York, NY	Lion Share Youth Development Program	\$100,000	Rangel
DOJ	0JP—JJ	First Gethsemane Center for Family Development, Louisville, KY	Reducing Barriers to Success for At-risk Youth	\$100,000	Yarmuth
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Florida Venture Foundation, Miami, FL	Youth Build Development Strategies	\$400,000	Diaz-Balart, Lincoln
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Focus: HOPE, Detroit, MI	Focus: HOPE Youth Media Initiative	\$100,000	Conyers
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Forever Family, Fort Lauderdale, FL	Forever Family Child Safety and Adoption Initiative	\$400,000	Hastings (FL); Wasserman Schultz; Wexler
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Forsyth County, North Carolina, Winston-Salem, NC	Gang and Delinquency Prevention Initiative	\$450,000	Watt
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Fountain Avenue Community Development Corporation, Brooklyn, NY	After school program	\$100,000	Towns
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Four Oaks Family Services & Benton/Iowa County Decategorization Project, Marengo, IA	Benton/Iowa County After-school Program and Functional Family Therapy Initiative	\$100,000	Boswell
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Gang Alternatives Program, Los Angeles, CA	Gang Alternatives Program for Southeast Los Angeles County	\$135,000	Sanchez, Linda

DOJ	OJP—JJ	Girl Scouts of Greater Los Angeles, Arcadia, CA	VIVA California	\$115,000	Becerra
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Girl Scouts of Southwest Texas, San Antonio, TX	VIVA Texas	\$350,000	Gonzalez; Green, Gene
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Girl Scouts of the USA, New York, NY	Girl Scouts Beyond Bars	\$1,350,000	Kennedy; Abercrombie; Rush; Ruppertsberger
DOJ	OJP—JJ	GMHC, New York City, NY	Protecting Health and Building a Prosperous Future for At-Risk Youth in New York City	\$1,500,000	Rangeli; Nadler (NY); Weiner; Towns; Meeks (NY); Engel; Clarke
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Good Shepherd Services, New York, NY	After-school programming for at-risk youth	\$250,000	Velazquez
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Governor's Prevention Partnership, Hartford, CT	Prevention Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$300,000	Larson (CT)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Green Bay YMCA, Green Bay, WI	Green Bay YMCA After-School Programs	\$600,000	Kagen
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Harlem RBI, Inc., New York, NY	Youth Development through Year-Round Sports and Teams	\$100,000	Rangel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Hartford Behavioral Health, Inc., Hartford, CT	The Stump the Violence Youth Leadership Institute	\$300,000	Larson (CT)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Highland Park Community Development Corporation, Brooklyn, NY	Values Education Through Cooperative Activities Program	\$300,000	Towns
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Hillside Family of Agencies, Greenbelt, MD	Hillside Family of Agencies, Hillside Work-Scholarship Connection	\$100,000	Edwards (MD)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Hillside Family of Agencies, Hillside Work-Scholarship Connection, Syracuse, NY	Hillside Work-Scholarship Connection (HW-SC) Syracuse Program Expansion	\$300,000	Maffei
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Hillside Family of Agencies, Rochester, NY	Workforce Development Initiative for Youth Aging-Out of Foster Care	\$100,000	Arcuri
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Hollenbeck Police Activities League, Los Angeles, CA	Hollenbeck PAL-Youth Crime Prevention	\$250,000	Roybal-Allard
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Holy Cross Children's Services, Clinton, MI	Edward J. Overstreet Center for Excellence in Children's Services	\$225,000	Kirpatrick (MI); Levin
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Hoops Express, Inc., Newburgh, NY	Helping Our People Excel Project	\$250,000	Hinchey

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	HoustonWorks USA, Houston, TX	The Juvenile Justice Initiative	\$400,000	Green, Gene; Jackson-Lee (TX); Green, Al
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Human Development Commission, Caro, MI	Generations	\$100,000	Kildee
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Improved Solutions for Urban Systems (ISUS), Dayton, OH	Improved Solutions for Urban Systems—21st Century Jobs for Disengaged Youth	\$860,000	Turner
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Institute for the Study and Practice of Non-violence, Providence, RI	Nonviolence Program	\$300,000	Langevin; Kennedy
DOJ	OJP—JJ	i-SAFE, Inc., Carlsbad, CA	i-SAFE e-Safety Education and Outreach Initiative	\$630,000	Shimkus; Kilroy; Young (AK); Kennedy; Pomeroy; Grijalva; Berkley
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Jackson County Court Appointed Special Advocates, Kansas City, MO	Legal Child Advocate Program	\$100,000	Cleaver
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Jobs for Ohio, Columbus, OH	Jobs for Ohio's Graduates Dropout Prevention Initiative	\$100,000	Sutton
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Juvenile Justice Center, Little Rock, AR	Child Maltreatment and Delinquency Project	\$200,000	Snyder
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Kentler International Drawing Space Inc., Brooklyn, NY	K.I.D.S. Art Education Program	\$100,000	Velazquez
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Kidsbridge, Trenton, NJ	Kidsbridge Life Skills, Gang Resistance and Violence Prevention	\$90,000	Smith (NU)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	KidsPeace, Altamonte Springs, FL	KidsPeace Florida Therapeutic Foster Care Program	\$200,000	Mica
DOJ	OJP—JJ	KidsPeace, Indianapolis, IN	KidsPeace Evansville Therapeutic Foster Care Program	\$200,000	Ellsworth
DOJ	OJP—JJ	KidsPeace, West Seneca, NY	KidsPeace Ithaca Therapeutic Foster Care Program	\$250,000	Hinchey

DOJ	OJP—JJ	KidsVoice, Pittsburgh, PA	Child Advocacy Program	\$500,000	Murphy, Tim; Doyle
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Klingberg Family Centers, Hartford, CT	Klingberg Child Abuse Treatment Services	\$400,000	Larson (CT)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	LA County—Department of Children and Family Services, Los Angeles, CA	Independent Living Program, San Gabriel Valley	\$250,000	Schiff
DOJ	OJP—JJ	LACER, Los Angeles, CA	At the Park After Dark	\$75,000	Becerra
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Laughlin Community Center, Inc., Wheeling, WV	Laughlin Community Center Science and Mathematics Excellence Program	\$70,000	Mollohan
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Long Beach Community College District, Long Beach, CA	Business Training for At-Risk Youth in Watts-Willowbrook	\$100,000	Sanchez, Linda
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, Lower Brule, SD	Lower Brule Sioux Tribe Boys and Girls Club	\$300,000	Herseth Sandlin
DOJ	OJP—JJ	M.L. Wilson Boys & Girls Club of Harlem, New York, NY	Career Launch/Club Service	\$100,000	Rangel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Main Line Chinese Culture Center, Inc. (MLCCC), Wynnewood, PA	Preparing Philadelphia	\$100,000	Brady (PA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Martin Luther King Jr. Freedom Center, Oakland, CA	Youth Non-Violent Leadership Program	\$250,000	Lee (CA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center, Inc., Bronx, NY	Mary Mitchell Family and Youth Center: Ongoing Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$250,000	Serrano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Maryland Department of Juvenile Services, Baltimore, MD	Maryland Civic Justice Corps	\$200,000	Sarbanes; Cummings
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Men Engaged in Nonviolence, Inc. Taos, NM	Men Engaged in Nonviolence Activities Program	\$24,000	Lujan
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Middlecreek Area Community Center, Beaver Springs, PA	Linkages Mentoring Program and Youth Aid Panel	\$100,000	Carney
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Miller County New Vision Coalition, Inc., Colquitt, GA	Too Good for Drugs After-School Program	\$80,000	Bishop (GA)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Montana Youth and District Court Services Bureau, Helena, MT	MONTS-Juvenile Justice System	\$600,000	Reinberg
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Museum of the City of New York, New York, NY	Out of School Time	\$350,000	Maloney; Rangel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	My Turn Inc., Brockton, MA	Out-of-School Youth Intervention Program	\$200,000	McGovern
DOJ	OJP—JJ	National Child Protection Training Center at Winona State University, Winona, MN	Child Protection Professionals Training	\$300,000	Walz
DOJ	OJP—JJ	National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Reno, NV	Judicial Training, Research & Technical Assistance	\$600,000	Heller; Honda; Grijalva; Conyers; McDermott; Berkley; DeLauro
DOJ	OJP—JJ	National Council on Crime and Delinquency (NCCD), Oakland, CA	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Center for Asian Pacific Islander Youth in Oakland	\$100,000	Lee (CA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	New Freedom Theater, Philadelphia, PA	Performing Arts Training Program (PATP)	\$100,000	Fattah
DOJ	OJP—JJ	New Mexico Sheriff and Police Athletic League, Albuquerque, NM	Anti-Gang Initiative and the Be a Fit Kid Health Mentorship Program	\$275,000	Lujan
DOJ	OJP—JJ	New Orleans Crime Coalition/New Orleans Business Council, New Orleans, LA	New Orleans Crime Coalition	\$1,500,000	Cao
DOJ	OJP—JJ	New York City Outward Bound Center, Inc., Long Island City, NY	At-Risk Students Program	\$200,000	Maloney
DOJ	OJP—JJ	New York Junior Tennis League, Woodside, NY	Tennis, Sports, Literacy, and Education Programs for High Risk New York City Young People from Low-Income Families	\$100,000	Clarke
DOJ	OJP—JJ	North Alabama Child Maltreatment Collaborative, Huntsville, AL	National Children's Advocacy Center	\$350,000	Griffith

	OJP—JJ	North Carolina Mentoring Partnership, Raleigh, NC	North Carolina Mentoring Partnership: Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$400,000	Price (NC); Watt
DOJ	OJP—JJ	North East Florida Education Consortium, Palatka, FL	North East Florida Education Consortium Dropout Prevention	\$450,000	Boyd
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Northern Forest Canoe Trail, Waitsfield, VT	Northern Forest Explorers Outdoor Program	\$300,000	Pingree (ME); Welch; Arcuri; McHugh
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Northwest Bronx Community and Clergy Coalition, Bronx, NY	Sistas and Brothas (S&B) United Youth Leadership Program	\$100,000	Serrano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ocean Tides School, Narragansett, RI	School to Work Program	\$200,000	Langevin
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Office of the Attorney General of Virginia, Richmond, VA	Gang Prevention Coordination Program	\$100,000	Scott (VA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ogden City School District, Ogden, UT	Ogden Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Initiative	\$375,000	Bishop (UT)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ohel Children, New York, NY	Ohel At-Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention program	\$250,000	Rangel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ohel Children's Home & Family Services, Teaneck, NJ	Ohel At-Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention Program	\$200,000	Rothman (NJ)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ohel Children's Home and Family Services, Brooklyn, NY	At Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention Program	\$350,000	Velazquez; Nadler (NY); Weiner; Engel; McCarthy (NY); Clarke
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ohel Children's Home and Family Services, Miami Beach, FL	At Risk Youth and Child Abuse Prevention Program	\$200,000	Klein (FL); Wasserman Schultz; Ros-Lehtinen
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ohio Assn of Police Athletic/Activities League, Euclid, OH	Ohio Police Assn of Police Athletic/Activities League Cops and Kids	\$200,000	Ryan (OH)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Old Bethel UMC, Charleston, SC	Bethel Community Outreach Program	\$300,000	Clyburn
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Olive Crest—Pacific Northwest, Tacoma, WA	Push to Permanence Project	\$500,000	Dicks
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Olive Crest Treatment Centers, Santa Ana, CA	Olive Crest Independent Living Skills for At-Risk Youth	\$500,000	Calvert; Sanchez; Loretta; Bono Mack

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Operation QT, Inc., Paradise Valley, AZ	Operation Quality Time After School Program	\$300,000	Pastor (AZ)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Orange Police Department, Orange, MA	Implementation of the Training Active Bystanders Program and Enhancing Community Policing, Athol, Orange, Fitchburg, Greenfield, Holyoke and Pittsfield, MA	\$620,000	Olver
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Orangeburg Consolidated School District Five, Orangeburg, SC	Alternative Youth Education Program	\$500,000	Clyburn
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Orlando Health, Orlando, FL	Orlando Health Orange County Children's Advocacy Center Programs	\$250,000	Grayson; Kosmas; Brown, Corrine
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Outright Vermont, Burlington, VT	Rural Vermont Bullying Initiative	\$100,000	Welch
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Overtown Youth Center, Inc., Miami, FL	At Risk Youth Program	\$100,000	Meek (FL)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	PACE Center for Girls, Inc., Jacksonville, FL	PACEWorks!	\$400,000	Wasserman Schultz; Brown, Corrine; Crenshaw
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Pasadena Symphony Association, Pasadena, CA	Music Access and Education for At-Risk Youth	\$75,000	Schiff
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Patrick Henry Community College, Martinsville, VA	At Risk Youth Program	\$300,000	Perriello
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Pawtucket Police Department, Pawtucket, RI	Pawtucket at risk youth program	\$100,000	Kennedy
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Pee Dee Boys and Girls Club, Florence, SC	At-risk youth intervention	\$400,000	Clyburn
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Pemi Youth Center, Plymouth, NH	Pemi Youth Center After School Program	\$125,000	Hodes
DOJ	OJP—JJ	PEOPLE TO PEOPLE, Newport News, VA	PEOPLE TO PEOPLE Neighborhood Center Pilot Project, Newport News, Virginia	\$200,000	Scott (VA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Philadelphia Teen Court, Philadelphia, PA	Teen Court Program	\$100,000	Fattah

DOJ	OJP—JJ	Phoenix Academy of Orange County, Santa Ana, CA	Strengthening Family and Substance Abuse Services for At-Risk Youth	\$230,000	Sanchez, Loretta
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Phoenix Academy, Lake View Terrace, CA	Strengthening Family and Mental Health Services for Underserved Youth in Los Angeles County	\$800,000	Sherman; Harman; Roybal-Allard; Berman
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Pinellas County Board of County Commissioners, Clearwater, FL	Pinellas County At-Risk Youth Diversion Prevention Project	\$300,000	Young (FL); Castor (FL)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Police Athletic League, Norristown, PA	Norristown Youth Program Support	\$90,000	Gerlach
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Police Athletic League, Toledo Ohio, OH	Police Athletic League Youth Center	\$300,000	Kaptur
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Polk County Sheriff, Bartow, FL	Polk County Gang Prevention Initiative	\$250,000	Putnam
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Project Avary (Alternative Ventures to At-Risk Youth), Novato, CA	Building Skills and Capacity of Children of Prisoners	\$125,000	Pelosi; Woolsey
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Project Goal, Providence, RI	Champions of Change/Project Goal	\$100,000	Kennedy
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Project Rebound, Inc., Columbus, GA	Juvenile Diversion Program	\$250,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Pulaski County Youth Services, Little Rock, AR	Youth Initiative to Encourage Learning and Development	\$350,000	Snyder
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Ramsey County Community Corrections, St. Paul, MN	Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (DAI)	\$560,000	McCollum
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Restoration Ministries, Harvey, IL	Project Intercept	\$200,000	Jackson (IL)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Resurrection Health Care, Broadview, IL	Multi-Systemic Therapy Program	\$210,000	Gutierrez
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Richmond Black Firefighters Association, Richmond, CA	Richmond Youth Academy	\$250,000	Miller, George
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Richmond Police Activities League, Richmond, CA	Changing Habits, Attitudes & Mind Program (CHAMP)	\$390,000	Miller, George
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Rocking the Boat, Inc., Bronx, NY	RTB Education Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$250,000	Serrano

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Safe Harbor Child Advocacy Center, Inc., Madison, WI	Child Abuse Victim Services	\$195,000	Baldwin
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Saint Joseph's University, Philadelphia, PA	Saint Joseph's University Institute for Violence Research and Prevention	\$200,000	Brady (PA); Gerlach
DOJ	0JP—JJ	San Fernando Valley Partnership, Inc., San Fernando, CA	Chicas in Control and Succeeding	\$450,000	Berman
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Santa Clara County of Education, San Jose, CA	Information Network for Student Success	\$400,000	Honda
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Save Our Future, Inc, Los Angeles, CA	Save Our Future/Mothers On the March After-School Program, Intervention & Enrichment	\$300,000	Watson
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Save the Children, Westport, CT	Arkansas Rural Literacy Program	\$300,000	Berry
DOJ	0JP—JJ	School Biz Match, Inc., Washington, DC	Pilot program to be run in various sites in the District of Columbia within the business sector, community-based operations and the school district, including charter schools when appropriate.	\$200,000	Norton
DOJ	0JP—JJ	School District of Palm Beach County, West Palm Beach, FL	Career Education for At-risk and Adjudicated Youth	\$200,000	Wexler; Hastings (FL)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Sea Research Foundation, Mystic, CT	Immersion Presents After School Program	\$100,000	Courtney; DeLauro
DOJ	0JP—JJ	SGA Youth and Family Services, Chicago, IL	Juvenile Justice Program	\$185,000	Gutierrez
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Sid Jacobson Jewish Community Center, East Hills, NY	Youth Action After School Program	\$200,000	Ackerman
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Siena College, Loudonville, NY	Siena College At-Risk Youth Service Initiative	\$100,000	Tonko

DOJ	OJP—JJ	Soundview Community in Action, Bronx, NY	Outreach Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$300,000	Crowley
DOJ	OJP—JJ	South Central Community Services, Inc., Chicago, IL	SCCS, Inc. At-Risk Youth program	\$300,000	Rush
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Southwest Georgia Humanitarian Rural Outreach, Bainbridge, GA	Rural Outreach Program	\$75,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Sports Foundation, Inc., Bronx, NY	SFI Anti-Drug Programs for At-risk Youth, Bronx, New York	\$125,000	Serrano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, Fort Yates, ND	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Child Protection Services	\$350,000	Herseth Sandlin
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Starfinder Foundation, Philadelphia, PA	Youth Soccer Gang Prevention Initiative	\$100,000	Fattah
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Starr Commonwealth—Van Wert, Van Wert, OH	Expansion of the Adolescent Delinquency Program	\$500,000	Latta
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Starr Commonwealth, Detroit, MI	Bridges to Responsible Adulthood	\$200,000	Conyers; Kilpatrick (MI)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Starr Commonwealth Battle Creek Campus, Battle Creek, MI	Expansion of Starr Commonwealth Battle Creek Parent-Referred Day Treatment Program	\$300,000	Schauer
DOJ	OJP—JJ	State of Maryland, Baltimore, MD	Gang Prevention and Intervention Services	\$500,000	Ruppersberger
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Suffolk University, Boston, MA	Juvenile Justice Center at Suffolk University	\$250,000	Lynch
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, San Jose, CA	Santa Clara County Delinquency Model Court	\$170,000	Honda
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Taylor County Family Matters Collaborative, Butler, GA	Taylor County After-School Program	\$145,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Team Focus, Inc., Mobile, AL	Birmingham Mentoring and Education Program	\$500,000	Bonner; Bachus
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Texas A&M Corpus Christi, Corpus Christi, TX	Texas A&M Adjudicated Youth Program	\$250,000	Ortiz
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The After-School Corporation, New York, NY	After School Apprenticeship Program (ASAP)	\$100,000	Clarke

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The ARISE Foundation, North Palm Beach, FL	Life-Management Skills Intervention/Re-entry Program for High Risk Youth	\$550,000	Hastings (FL); Kosmas; Diaz-Balart, Mario; Stearns; Wexler; Brown, Corrine; Wasserman, Schultz; Meek (FL); Klein (FL); Ros-Lehtinen; Diaz-Balart, Lincoln
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Armory Track & Field Foundation, New York, NY	Armory Prep	\$150,000	Rangel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Bronx Community Pride Center, Bronx, NY	BCPC: Youth Academic Programming	\$200,000	Serrano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The City of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, CT	Lighthouse After-School Program	\$350,000	Himes
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Community Foundation for Greater New Haven, New Haven, CT	Interventions for Children and Families Exposed to Violence and Trauma	\$500,000	DeLauro
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Emily Krzyzewski Center, Durham, NC	The Pioneer Scholars Program at the Emily Krzyzewski Center in Durham, North Carolina	\$200,000	Price (NC)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Family Center of Columbus, Inc., Columbus, GA	Family Disintegration Prevention and Assistance Project	\$200,000	Bishop (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Friendship Circle, West Bloomfield, MI	Self-Determination Anti-Bullying in LifeTown (SAIL) Project—Year 2	\$150,000	Peters
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Manor, Jonesville, MI	The Manor Children's Safety and Security Updates Project	\$200,000	Schauer
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Mount Sinai Adolescent Health Center, New York, NY	Violence and Risky Behaviors Prevention	\$100,000	Rangel
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The POINT Community Development Corporation, Bronx, NY	The POINT Community Development Corporation: Youth Development Program	\$150,000	Serrano
DOJ	OJP—JJ	The Women's Sports Foundation, Atlanta, GA	GoGirlGo! Atlanta Initiative	\$100,000	Lewis (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Town of Riverhead, Riverhead, NY	Anti-gang initiative for at-risk age groups	\$100,000	Bishop (NY)

DOJ	OJP—JJ	Turning the Hearts Center, Chula Vista, CA	Turning the Hearts Center Project	\$100,000	Filmer
DOJ	OJP—JJ	U.S. Soccer Foundation, Washington, DC	Champions of Change: Youth Soccer Gang Prevention Initiative	\$200,000	Roybal-Allard
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Uhlich Children, Chicago, IL	Violence Prevention and Youth Leadership Program	\$100,000	Quigley
DOJ	OJP—JJ	United Methodist Children, Selma, AL	Security and IT Improvements	\$150,000	Bachus; Aderholt
DOJ	OJP—JJ	United Way for Southeastern Michigan, Detroit, MI	United Way Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Program	\$250,000	Levin; Peters; Dingell
DOJ	OJP—JJ	United Way of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh, PA	Youth Futures Commission	\$275,000	Doyle
DOJ	OJP—JJ	United Way of North Central Massachusetts, Fitchburg, MA	Engaging Youth for Success	\$350,000	Olver
DOJ	OJP—JJ	University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO	Colorado Schools Safety Program (CSSP)	\$300,000	Polis
DOJ	OJP—JJ	University of Mobile, Mobile, AL	University of Mobile, AL RamKids Program	\$850,000	Bonner
DOJ	OJP—JJ	University of Montana, Missoula, MT	Montana Safe Schools	\$250,000	Rehberg
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Urban Dreams, Des Moines, IA	Urban Children are Really Essential (U-CARE)	\$200,000	Boswell
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., Allentown, PA	Adventure Challenge Education for Gang Prevention (ACE)	\$200,000	Dent
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Virginia Mentoring Partnership, Richmond, VA	Mentoring Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$200,000	Moran (VA); Nye
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Washington State Mentors, Issaquah, WA	Mentoring Initiative for At-Risk Youth	\$300,000	Inslee; McDermott
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Watson Children's Shelter, Missoula, MT	Western Montana Children's Safety Initiative	\$500,000	Rehberg
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Wayne County Department of Children and Family Services, Detroit, MI	Wayne County, Michigan Juvenile Mentoring Program	\$400,000	Conyers; Dingell
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Weid County Juvenile Assessment Center, Greeley, CO	Tuancancy Response and Intervention Program	\$245,000	Markey (CO)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Widener University, Chester, PA	Widener University Delaware County Violence Prevention Collaborative	\$200,000	Brady (PA)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	WNY Americorps, West Seneca, NY	WNY Americorps for Programs to Assist At-Risk Youth	\$200,000	Higgins
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Woodstock Film Festival, inc (WFF), Woodstock, NY	Woodstock Film Festival Youth Initiative / Youth at Risk	\$30,000	Hinchey
DOJ	0JP—JJ	World Vision, Philippi, WV	World Vision Appalachia at-risk youth programming	\$250,000	Mollohan
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Yakima County, Yakima, WA	Yakima County Gang Initiative	\$400,000	Hastings (WA)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	YMCA of Burbank, Burbank, CA	Youth Development and Government Program	\$45,000	Schiff
DOJ	0JP—JJ	York County Children's Advocacy Center, York, PA	SAFE Team	\$60,000	Platts
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Youth Advocate Programs, Inc. (YAP), Charleston, SC	South Carolina Gang Initiative	\$250,000	Brown (SC)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Youth and Tennis, Inc., Jamaica, NY	Juvenile Delinquency Prevention	\$150,000	Meeks (NY)
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice, Bronx, NY	Youth Ministries for Peace and Justice: Education Programs for At-Risk Youth	\$250,000	Serrano
DOJ	0JP—JJ	Youth Power Center, Washington, DC	Youth Power Center Tutoring and Mentoring Program Expansion	\$350,000	Norton
DOJ	0JP—JJ	YWCA Greater Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA	Project Empowerment	\$100,000	Watson
DOJ	0JP—JJ	YWCA Pasadena, Pasadena, CA	Development and Education Program for At-risk Girls	\$75,000	Schiff

DOJ	OJP—JJ	Zero to Three, Cherokee, NC	Cherokee Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$100,000	Shuler
DOJ	OJP—JJ	ZERO TO THREE, Douglasville, GA	ZERO TO THREE: Douglas County Court Team for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$100,000	Scott (GA)
DOJ	OJP—JJ	ZERO TO THREE, Hattiesburg, MS	Court Teams for Maltreated Infants and Toddlers	\$300,000	Taylor
NASA	CAS	Bay Area Houston Economic Partnership, Houston, TX	Bay Area Houston SATOP	\$1,000,000	Paul
NASA	CAS	Baylor University, Waco, TX	Advanced Composite Materials Research	\$400,000	Edwards (TX)
NASA	CAS	CORE Philly, Philadelphia, PA	CORE Philly Scholarship Program	\$1,000,000	Fattah
NASA	CAS	Drew University, Madison, NJ	Drew University Environmental Science Initiative	\$1,000,000	Frelinghuysen
NASA	CAS	East Stroudsburg University, East Stroudsburg, PA	Marine Science Consortium Student-Faculty Research Collaborative with NASA Goddard Wallops Flight Facility	\$450,000	Kanjorski
NASA	CAS	Educational Advancement Alliance (EAA), Philadelphia, PA	Math Science and Technology (MST) Program	\$1,000,000	Fattah
NASA	CAS	Embry Riddle Aeronautical University Prescott Campus, Prescott, AZ	NASA Educator Resource Center	\$200,000	Kirkpatrick (AZ)
NASA	CAS	FOTO, Los Angeles, CA	Science Education Programming	\$200,000	Schiff
NASA	CAS	Fulton-Montgomery Community College, Johnstown, NY	Center for Engineering and Technology at Fulton-Montgomery Community College—Phase Two	\$300,000	Tonko
NASA	CAS	Loma Linda University Medical Center, Loma Linda, CA, CA	Space Radiation Health Research Program	\$2,800,000	Lewis (CA)
NASA	CAS	MTSU, Murfreesboro, TN	Aviation Safety and Human Factors Research Using Emerging Technologies	\$700,000	Gordon (TN)

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE—Continued**  
**[Congressionally Directed Spending Items]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
NASA	CAS	National Youth Science Foundation, Charleston, WV	Youth Science Discovery Experience and science center curriculum	\$200,000	Mollohan
NASA	CAS	ScienceSouth, Florence, SC	Innovative Science Learning Center	\$500,000	Clyburn
NASA	CAS	Tennessee Tech, Cookeville, TN	Center for Teaching & Learning in Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM)	\$400,000	Gordon (TN)
NASA	CAS	Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX	Engineering support for extended human and robotic space flight missions—Texas Tech University	\$1,000,000	Neugebauer; Hall (TX)
NASA	CAS	The Foundation for Community Empowerment, Inc., Dallas, TX	Dallas Achieves—Transforming Public Education in Dallas, Texas, Through Math, Science and Technology Initiatives	\$500,000	Johnson, Eddie Bernice
NASA	CAS	The Thurgood Marshall College Fund, New York, NY	The Minority Science Initiative	\$100,000	Ruppersberger; Meek (FL); Cummings
NASA	CAS	The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL	Miniature Antennas for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	\$350,000	Aderholt; Bachus
NASA	CAS	Towson University, Towson, MD	The Baltimore Excellence in Science Teaching (BEST) Partnership	\$1,000,000	Ruppersberger; Sarbanes
NASA	CAS	University of Toledo, Toledo Ohio, OH	Advanced Photovoltaics Array Testing	\$500,000	Kaptur
NASA	CAS	University of Mississippi, University, MS	Innovative STEM Education	\$200,000	Childers
NASA	CAS	West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV	Aviation Safety Research and Design	\$500,000	Mollohan
NASA	CAS	Wheeling Jesuit University, Wheeling, WV	Great Science Online	\$250,000	Mollohan

**COMMERCE, JUSTICE, SCIENCE**  
**[Fiscal Year 2009 Project Reprogramming]**

Agency	Account	Recipient	Project	Amount	Requester(s)
DOJ	COPS Tech	Bedford County Sheriff, Bedford, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$75,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	Brunswick County Sheriff, Lawrenceville, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$50,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	Campbell County Sheriff, Rustburg, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$50,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	Cumberland County Sheriff, Cumberland, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$50,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	Greene County Sheriff, Stanardsville, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$50,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	Henry County Sheriff, Martinsville, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$75,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	Martinsville City Sheriff, Martinsville, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$75,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Tech	Pittsylvania County Sheriff, Chatham, VA	Southside Virginia Law Enforcement	\$75,000	Perriello
DOJ	COPS Meth	Etowah County Drug Enforcement Unit, Gadsden, AL	Anti-Methamphetamine Project	\$1,000,000	Aderholt
DOJ	OJP—JJ	Allegheny County Housing Authority, Pittsburgh, PA	Harrison Township Community Center After-School Program	\$400,000	Altmire

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL)  
AUTHORITY

The following table provides a detailed summary, for each department and agency, comparing the amounts recommended in the bill with fiscal year 2009 enacted amounts and budget estimates presented for fiscal year 2010:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE</b>					
International Trade Administration					
Operations and administration.....	429,870	449,704	444,504	+14,634	-5,200
Offsetting fee collections.....	-9,439	-9,439	-9,439	---	---
Direct appropriation.....	420,431	440,265	435,065	+14,634	-5,200
Bureau of Industry and Security					
Operations and administration.....	68,909	85,575	85,575	+16,666	---
CwC enforcement.....	14,767	14,767	14,767	---	---
Total, Bureau of Industry and Security.....	83,676	100,342	100,342	+16,666	---
Economic Development Administration					
Economic development assistance programs.....	240,000	246,000	255,000	+15,000	+9,000
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	150,000	---	---	-150,000	---
Subtotal.....	390,000	246,000	255,000	-135,000	+9,000
Salaries and expenses.....	32,800	38,000	38,000	+5,200	---
Total, Economic Development Administration.....	422,800	284,000	293,000	-129,800	+9,000
Non-emergency.....	(272,800)	(284,000)	(293,000)	(+20,200)	(+9,000)
Emergency.....	(150,000)	---	---	(-150,000)	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Minority business development.....	29,825	31,000	31,000	+1,175	---
Economic and Statistical Analysis.....	90,621	105,000	97,255	+6,634	-7,745
Bureau of the Census					
Salaries and expenses.....	233,588	259,024	259,024	+25,436	---
Periodic censuses and programs.....	2,906,262	7,115,707	7,115,707	+4,209,445	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	1,000,000	---	---	-1,000,000	---
Subtotal.....	3,906,262	7,115,707	7,115,707	+3,209,445	---
Total, Bureau of the Census.....	4,139,850	7,374,731	7,374,731	+3,234,881	---
Non-emergency.....	(3,139,850)	(7,374,731)	(7,374,731)	(+4,234,881)	---
Emergency.....	(1,000,000)	---	---	(-1,000,000)	---
National Telecommunications and Information Administration					
Salaries and expenses.....	19,218	19,999	19,999	+781	---
Public telecommunications facilities, planning and construction.....	20,000	---	20,000	---	+20,000
Broadband technology opportunities program, Recovery Act (emergency) (P.L. 111-5).....	4,700,000	---	---	-4,700,000	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Digital-to-analog converter box program, Recovery Act (emergency)(P.L. 111-5)	650,000	---	---	-650,000	---
Total, National Telecommunications and Information Administration	5,389,218	19,999	39,999	-5,349,219	+20,000
Non-emergency	(39,218)	(19,999)	(39,999)	(+781)	(+20,000)
Emergency	(5,350,000)	---	---	(-5,350,000)	---
United States Patent and Trademark Office					
Current year fee funding	2,010,100	1,930,361	1,930,361	-79,739	---
Offsetting fee collections	-2,087,000	-1,930,361	-1,930,361	+156,639	---
Total, Patent and Trademark Office	-76,900	---	---	+76,900	---
National Institute of Standards and Technology					
Scientific and technical research and services	472,000	534,600	510,000	+38,000	-24,600
(Transfer out)	(-9,000)	(-9,000)	(-9,000)	---	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5)	220,000	---	---	-220,000	---
Subtotal	692,000	534,600	510,000	-182,000	-24,600
Industrial technology services	175,000	194,600	194,600	+19,600	---
Manufacturing Extension Partnerships	(110,000)	(124,700)	(124,700)	(+14,700)	---
Technology Innovation Program	(65,000)	(69,900)	(69,900)	(+4,900)	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Construction of research facilities.....	172,000	116,900	76,500	-95,500	-40,400
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	360,000	---	---	-360,000	---
Subtotal.....	532,000	116,900	76,500	-455,500	-40,400
Working capital fund (by transfer).....	(9,000)	(9,000)	(9,000)	---	---
Total, National Institute of Standards and Technology.....	1,399,000	846,100	781,100	-617,900	-65,000
Non-emergency.....	(819,000)	(846,100)	(781,100)	(-37,900)	(-65,000)
Emergency.....	(580,000)	---	---	(-580,000)	---
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration					
Operations, research, and facilities.....	3,045,549	3,087,537	3,198,793	+153,244	+111,256
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	230,000	---	---	-230,000	---
(by transfer).....	(79,000)	(104,600)	(104,600)	(+25,600)	---
Promote and Develop Fund (transfer out).....	(-79,000)	(-104,600)	(-104,600)	(-25,600)	---
Coastal zone management transfer.....	3,000	3,000	3,000	---	---
Pacific coastal salmon recovery (transfer out) (budget amendment).....	---	(-50,000)	---	---	(+50,000)
Subtotal.....	3,278,549	3,090,537	3,201,793	-76,756	+111,256

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Procurement, acquisition and construction.....	1,243,647	1,391,279	1,409,148	+165,501	+17,869
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	600,000	---	---	-600,000	---
Subtotal.....	1,843,647	1,391,279	1,409,148	-434,499	+17,869
Pacific coastal salmon recovery.....	80,000	---	---	-80,000	---
Pacific coastal salmon recovery (by transfer (budget amendment).....	---	(50,000)	---	---	(-50,000)
Coastal zone management fund.....	-3,000	-3,000	-3,000	---	---
Fisheries finance program account.....	-4,000	-5,000	-5,000	-1,000	---
Total, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.....	5,195,196	4,473,816	4,602,941	-592,255	+129,125
Non-emergency.....	(4,365,196)	(4,473,816)	(4,602,941)	(+237,745)	(+129,125)
Emergency.....	(830,000)	---	---	(-830,000)	---
Departmental Management					
Salaries and expenses.....	53,000	64,000	60,000	+7,000	-4,000
Herbert C Hoover Building renovation and modernization	5,000	22,500	5,000	---	-17,500

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Office of Inspector General.....	25,800	27,000	27,000	+1,200	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	6,000	---	---	-6,000	---
Subtotal.....	31,800	27,000	27,000	-4,800	---
Total, Departmental Management.....	89,800	113,500	92,000	+2,200	-21,500
Non-emergency.....	(83,800)	(113,500)	(92,000)	(+8,200)	(-21,500)
Emergency.....	(6,000)	---	---	(-6,000)	---
Total, title I, Department of Commerce.....	17,183,517	13,788,753	13,847,433	-3,336,084	+58,680
Appropriations.....	(9,267,517)	(13,788,753)	(13,847,433)	(+4,579,916)	(+58,680)
Emergency appropriations.....	(7,916,000)	---	---	(-7,916,000)	---
(By transfer).....	(88,000)	(163,600)	(113,600)	(+25,600)	(-50,000)
(Transfer out).....	(-88,000)	(-163,600)	(-113,600)	(-25,600)	(+50,000)
(Mandatory).....	-3,000	-3,000	-3,000	---	---
(Discretionary, less emergencies).....	9,270,517	13,791,753	13,850,433	+4,579,916	+58,680

TITLE II - DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

General Administration

Salaries and expenses.....	105,805	178,488	118,488	+12,683	-60,000
National Drug Intelligence Center.....	---	44,023	44,023	+44,023	---
Justice information sharing technology.....	80,000	123,617	109,417	+29,417	-14,200
Tactical law enforcement wireless communications.....	185,000	205,143	205,143	+20,143	---
Total, General Administration.....	370,805	551,271	477,071	+106,266	-74,200

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Administrative review and appeals.....	270,000	300,685	300,685	+30,685	---
Transfer from immigration examinations fee account	-4,000	-4,000	-4,000	---	---
Direct appropriation.....	266,000	296,685	296,685	+30,685	---
Detention trustee.....	1,295,319	1,438,663	1,438,663	+143,344	---
Office of Inspector General.....	75,681	84,368	84,368	+8,687	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	2,000	---	---	-2,000	---
Subtotal.....	77,681	84,368	84,368	+6,687	---
United States Parole Commission					
Salaries and expenses.....	12,570	12,859	12,859	+289	---
Legal Activities					
Salaries and expenses, general legal activities.....	804,007	875,097	875,097	+71,090	---
Vaccine injury compensation trust fund.....	7,833	7,833	7,833	---	---
Antitrust Division, salaries and expenses.....	157,788	163,170	163,170	+5,382	---
Offsetting fee collections - current year.....	-157,788	-102,000	-102,000	+55,788	---
Direct appropriation.....	---	61,170	61,170	+61,170	---
United States Attorneys, salaries and expenses.....	1,836,336	1,926,003	1,934,003	+97,667	+8,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
United States Trustee System Fund.....	217,416	224,488	224,488	+7,072	---
Offsetting fee collections.....	-160,000	-210,000	-210,000	-50,000	---
Interest on U.S. securities.....	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	---	---
Direct appropriation.....	52,416	9,488	9,488	-42,928	---
Foreign Claims Settlement Commission.....	1,823	2,117	2,117	+294	---
Fees and expenses of witnesses.....	168,300	168,300	168,300	---	---
Salaries and expenses, Community Relations Service.....	9,873	11,479	11,479	+1,606	---
Assets forfeiture fund.....	20,990	20,990	20,990	---	---
Total, Legal Activities.....	2,901,578	3,082,477	3,090,477	+188,899	+8,000
United States Marshals Service					
Salaries and expenses.....	950,000	1,138,388	1,138,388	+188,388	---
Construction.....	4,000	14,000	14,000	+10,000	---
Total, United States Marshals Service.....	954,000	1,152,388	1,152,388	+198,388	---
National Security Division					
Salaries and expenses.....	83,789	87,938	87,938	+4,149	---
Interagency Law Enforcement					
Interagency crime and drug enforcement.....	515,000	537,507	528,569	+13,569	-8,938

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>Federal Bureau of Investigation</b>					
Salaries and expenses.....	3,310,115	7,617,675	7,617,675	+4,307,560	---
Overseas contingency operations.....	---	101,066	101,066	+101,066	---
Counterintelligence and national security.....	3,754,985	---	---	-3,754,985	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 110-252).....	82,600	---	---	-82,600	---
Subtotal.....	7,147,700	7,718,741	7,718,741	+571,041	---
Construction.....	153,491	142,796	132,796	-20,695	-10,000
Total, Federal Bureau of Investigation.....	7,301,191	7,861,537	7,851,537	+550,346	-10,000
Non-emergency.....	(7,218,591)	(7,760,471)	(7,750,471)	(+531,880)	(-10,000)
Emergency.....	(82,600)	---	---	(-82,600)	---
<b>Drug Enforcement Administration</b>					
Salaries and expenses.....	2,183,534	2,266,472	2,271,472	+87,938	+5,000
Diversion control fund.....	-244,450	-251,790	-251,790	-7,340	---
Total, Drug Enforcement Administration.....	1,939,084	2,014,682	2,019,682	+80,598	+5,000
<b>Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives</b>					
Salaries and expenses.....	1,054,215	1,114,772	1,105,772	+51,557	-9,000
Construction.....	---	6,000	---	---	-6,000
Total, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.....	1,054,215	1,120,772	1,105,772	+51,557	-15,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>Federal Prison System</b>					
Salaries and expenses.....	5,595,754	5,979,831	6,077,231	+481,477	+97,400
Buildings and facilities.....	575,807	96,744	96,744	-479,063	---
Federal Prison Industries, incorporated (Limitation on administrative expenses).....	2,328	2,700	2,700	+372	---
<b>Total, Federal Prison System.....</b>	<b>6,173,889</b>	<b>6,079,275</b>	<b>6,176,675</b>	<b>+2,786</b>	<b>+97,400</b>
<b>State and Local Law Enforcement Activities</b>					
Office of Violence Against Women: Prevention and prosecution programs.....	415,000	414,000	400,000	-15,000	-14,000
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	225,000	---	---	-225,000	---
<b>Subtotal.....</b>	<b>640,000</b>	<b>414,000</b>	<b>400,000</b>	<b>-240,000</b>	<b>-14,000</b>
<b>Office of Justice Programs:</b>					
Justice assistance.....	220,000	225,000	226,000	+6,000	+1,000
State and local law enforcement assistance.....	1,328,500	728,000	1,312,500	-16,000	+584,500
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	2,765,000	---	---	-2,765,000	---
<b>Subtotal.....</b>	<b>4,093,500</b>	<b>728,000</b>	<b>1,312,500</b>	<b>-2,781,000</b>	<b>+584,500</b>
weed and seed program fund.....	25,000	25,000	15,000	-10,000	-10,000
Juvenile justice programs.....	374,000	317,000	385,000	+11,000	+68,000
Salaries and expenses.....	---	213,388	213,388	+213,388	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Public safety officers benefits:					
Death benefits.....	110,000	61,000	61,000	-49,000	---
Disability and education benefits.....	9,100	9,100	9,100	---	---
Subtotal.....	119,100	70,100	70,100	-49,000	---
Total, Office of Justice Programs.....					
Non-emergency.....	4,831,600	1,578,488	2,221,988	-2,609,612	+643,500
Emergency.....	(2,066,600)	(1,578,488)	(2,221,988)	(+155,388)	(+643,500)
	(2,765,000)	---	---	(-2,765,000)	---
Community oriented policing services.....					
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	550,500	761,000	802,000	+251,500	+41,000
	1,000,000	---	---	-1,000,000	---
Subtotal.....	1,550,500	761,000	802,000	-748,500	+41,000
Salaries and expenses.....					
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	195,000	---	---	-195,000	---
	10,000	---	---	-10,000	---
Subtotal.....	205,000	---	---	-205,000	---
Total, State and Local Law Enforcement					
Activities.....	7,227,100	2,753,488	3,423,988	-3,803,112	+670,500
Non-emergency.....	(3,227,100)	(2,753,488)	(3,423,988)	(+196,888)	(+670,500)
Emergency.....	(4,000,000)	---	---	(-4,000,000)	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
=====					
Total, title II, Department of Justice.....	30,172,221	27,073,910	27,746,672	-2,425,549	+672,762
Appropriations.....	(26,087,621)	(27,073,910)	(27,746,672)	(+1,659,051)	(+672,762)
Emergency appropriations.....	(4,084,600)	---	---	(-4,084,600)	---
(Mandatory).....	(273,300)	(224,300)	(224,300)	(-49,000)	---
(Discretionary, less emergencies).....	(25,814,321)	(26,849,610)	(27,522,372)	(+1,708,051)	(+672,762)
=====					
TITLE III - SCIENCE					
Executive Office of the President					
Office of Science and Technology Policy.....	5,303	6,154	7,154	+1,851	+1,000
National Aeronautics and Space Administration					
Science.....	4,503,019	4,477,200	4,496,100	-6,919	+18,900
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	400,000	---	---	-400,000	---
Subtotal.....	4,903,019	4,477,200	4,496,100	-406,919	+18,900
Aeronautics.....	500,000	507,000	501,000	+1,000	-6,000
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	150,000	---	---	-150,000	---
Subtotal.....	650,000	507,000	501,000	-149,000	-6,000
Exploration.....	3,505,469	3,963,100	3,293,200	-212,269	-669,900
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	400,000	---	---	-400,000	---
Subtotal.....	3,905,469	3,963,100	3,293,200	-612,269	-669,900
=====					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Space operations.....	5,764,710	6,175,600	6,097,300	+332,590	-78,300
Education.....	169,200	126,100	175,000	+5,800	+48,900
Cross-agency support programs.....	3,306,387	3,400,600	3,164,000	-142,387	-236,600
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	50,000	---	---	-50,000	---
Subtotal.....	3,356,387	3,400,600	3,164,000	-192,387	-236,600
Construction and environmental compliance and remediation.....	---	---	441,700	+441,700	+441,700
Office of Inspector General.....	33,600	36,400	35,000	+1,400	-1,400
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	2,000	---	---	-2,000	---
Subtotal.....	35,600	36,400	35,000	-600	-1,400
Total, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.....	18,784,385	18,686,000	18,203,300	-581,085	-482,700
Non-emergency.....	(17,782,385)	(18,686,000)	(18,203,300)	(+420,915)	(-482,700)
Emergency.....	(1,002,000)	---	---	(-1,002,000)	---
National Science Foundation					
Research and related activities.....	5,116,100	5,665,240	5,574,110	+458,010	-91,130
Defense function.....	67,000	68,000	68,000	+1,000	---
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	2,500,000	---	---	-2,500,000	---
Subtotal.....	7,683,100	5,733,240	5,642,110	-2,040,990	-91,130

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Major research equipment and facilities construction..	152,010	117,290	114,290	-37,720	-3,000
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	400,000	---	---	-400,000	---
Subtotal.....	552,010	117,290	114,290	-437,720	-3,000
Education and human resources.....	845,260	857,760	862,900	+17,640	+5,140
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	100,000	---	---	-100,000	---
Subtotal.....	945,260	857,760	862,900	-82,360	+5,140
Agency operations and award management.....	294,000	318,370	299,870	+5,870	-18,500
National Science Board.....	4,030	4,340	4,340	+310	---
Office of Inspector General.....	12,000	14,000	13,000	+1,000	-1,000
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 111-5).....	2,000	---	---	-2,000	---
Subtotal.....	14,000	14,000	13,000	-1,000	-1,000
Total, National Science Foundation.....	9,492,400	7,045,000	6,936,510	-2,555,890	-108,490
Non-emergency.....	(6,490,400)	(7,045,000)	(6,936,510)	(+446,110)	(-108,490)
Emergency.....	(3,002,000)	---	---	(-3,002,000)	---
Total, title III, Science.....	28,282,088	25,737,154	25,146,964	-3,135,124	-590,190
Appropriations.....	(24,278,088)	(25,737,154)	(25,146,964)	(+868,876)	(-590,190)
Emergency appropriations.....	(4,004,000)	---	---	(-4,004,000)	---
(Discretionary, less emergencies).....	(28,282,088)	(25,737,154)	(25,146,964)	(-3,135,124)	(-590,190)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2009  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2010  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE IV - RELATED AGENCIES</b>					
Commission on Civil Rights.....	8,800	9,400	9,400	+600	---
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.....	343,925	367,303	367,303	+23,378	---
International Trade Commission.....	75,100	82,700	82,700	+7,600	---
Payment to the Legal Services Corporation.....	390,000	435,000	440,000	+50,000	+5,000
Marine Mammal Commission.....	3,200	3,000	3,300	+100	+300
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative.....	47,272	48,326	48,326	+1,054	---
State Justice Institute.....	4,100	5,131	5,131	+1,031	---
<b>Total, title IV, Related Agencies.....</b>	<b>872,397</b>	<b>950,860</b>	<b>956,160</b>	<b>+83,763</b>	<b>+5,300</b>

	FY 2009 Enacted	FY 2010 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE V - GENERAL PROVISIONS</b>					
Rescissions.....	-610,600	-367,000	-497,822	+112,778	-130,822
<b>Grand total.....</b>	<b>75,899,623</b>	<b>67,183,677</b>	<b>67,199,407</b>	<b>-8,700,216</b>	<b>+15,730</b>
Appropriations.....	(60,505,623)	(67,550,677)	(67,697,229)	(+7,191,606)	(+146,552)
Emergency appropriations.....	(16,004,600)	---	---	(-16,004,600)	---
Rescissions.....	(-610,600)	(-367,000)	(-497,822)	(+112,778)	(-130,822)
(By transfer).....	(88,000)	(183,600)	(113,600)	(+25,600)	(-50,000)
(Transfer out).....	(-88,000)	(-163,600)	(-113,600)	(-25,600)	(+50,000)
<b>Discretionary total.....</b>	<b>57,651,511</b>	<b>64,511,245</b>	<b>64,313,975</b>	<b>+6,662,464</b>	<b>-197,270</b>

## ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF JERRY LEWIS AND FRANK R. WOLF

We commend Chairman Mollohan and Chairman Obey for the even-handed and collaborative approach taken in assembling this bill providing appropriations for the Departments of Commerce and Justice, Science, and Related Agencies for fiscal year 2010. The bill includes important increases to programs that represent top national priorities, including counterterrorism, crime fighting, and scientific research and education. Both Chairman Mollohan and Chairman Obey have been open and responsive to issues and concerns raised by Republican Members and we appreciate their commitment to bipartisanship and regular order during consideration of this bill through the Committee process. We urge the majority to adhere to an open process as this and other fiscal year 2010 appropriations bills move forward and strongly believe that the Committee should not take actions that unnecessarily restrict the ability of Members to offer amendments, especially through onerous pre-printing requirements for floor amendments.

The allocation given to the Subcommittee is \$64.4 billion, which is \$6.8 billion or 11.7% above 2009, and \$197 million below the President's request. We believe that the Subcommittee could have met the most pressing funding needs by prioritizing within a lower allocation. With this very generous allocation virtually every agency and account in the bill receives a significant increase. This corresponds with the majority's overall budget blueprint which increases discretionary spending by \$77 billion above fiscal year 2009. Since the Democratic Party took control of Congress, discretionary spending has increased by 41 percent. Non-defense, non-Veterans Affairs discretionary spending has increased by a staggering 85 percent. Spending at this rate is unsustainable, and will exacerbate the burden of our deficits and debt, and prolong our economic downturn. As Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke testified to Congress earlier this month, large budget deficits threaten the long-term health of the economy, and restoration of fiscal balance is necessary to restoring and maintaining the confidence of the financial markets.

Materials posted to the Committee website by the Majority suggest that the bill terminates 11 programs and makes reductions to 33 additional programs. However, this information is misleading. Of the 11 listed program terminations, eight were one-time construction or acquisition projects which were completed with fiscal year 2009 funds and therefore had no ongoing funding requirements. Another of the program "terminations" was the Pacific Coastal Salmon Recovery program. This activity is not terminated in the fiscal year 2010 bill. The funding is continued at a reduced level under a different account.

Of the 33 programs identified as "reduced" in the bill, most involve reductions from the request, not from the amount appro-

priated in fiscal year 2009, and again, many of the so-called reductions represent one-time, non-recurring or phasing-out activities for which there is no continuing funding requirement. In fact, within the entire list of 33 programs, only four represent ongoing programs where the amount in the fiscal year 2010 bill is less than the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2009: the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program; NSF's Advanced Technology Education program; Missing and Exploited Children programs; and NIST's maintenance and repair account.

We commend the Chairman for including in the bill the full request for the salaries and expenses appropriation for the FBI, including an increase of over \$400 million in critical counterterrorism and other national security programs. The bill also includes a critically important \$35 million initiative for FBI and ATF to address the problem of violent gangs that plague our communities nationwide.

While the bill includes more than \$600 million above the request for State and local law enforcement accounts, the amount for the State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP) is reduced by \$100 million from the fiscal year 2009 level of \$400 million. It is a Federal responsibility to secure the border, yet the burden of costs for incarcerating criminal aliens falls overwhelmingly on States and localities. The rising costs incurred by local jurisdictions to incarcerate undocumented criminal aliens are putting enormous pressure on State and local budgets. We hope that, as the bill moves forward, SCAAP funding can be restored to at least the fiscal year 2009 level.

We also commend the Chairman for his initiative to provide increased funding for prisoner re-entry programs at the Federal, State and local level. We strongly support efforts to increase work opportunities for inmates, and reduce criminal recidivism, as well as innovative programs like Drug Courts that provide alternatives to the prosecution and incarceration of offenders with substance abuse problems.

The bill funds NASA at \$18.2 billion, but freezes funding at the current level for Exploration activities pending a blue ribbon Human Space Flight Review panel report on future options. This represents a cut of more than \$560 million to the request. The funding level in the bill will not be sufficient to sustain the current development schedule for the new generation of Constellation vehicles. In fact, the level in the bill is likely to be inadequate to sustain any option that the Human Space Flight Review panel may recommend. Therefore, we look forward to working to ensure that the final bill will include sufficient funds to continue the U.S. leadership role in space.

Finally, the bill includes important language limiting the use of funds by the Department to undertake activities related to the closure of the Guantanamo Bay Detention facility. The bill does not include the \$60 million requested by the administration to support these activities, in recognition that the administration has not submitted a plan that details how they will carry out the closure and resolve the disposition of individual detainee cases. The bill prohibits the use of funds to release detainees into the United States. The bill also prohibits the transfer of detainees to the United

States for detention or prosecution unless the President presents a plan to Congress that details the costs and risks. Finally, the bill prohibits the use of funds to transfer or release detainees abroad unless the President sends a report 30 days in advance that includes an assessment of risk.

We are deeply concerned by the releases and transfers of detainees by the administration in recent days. The administration continues to withhold information from the Congress and the American public, and appears to be racing to move detainees before the will of the Congress can be enacted into law. Director Mueller of the FBI recently testified before Congress that bringing detainees to the United States, including bringing them here for detention and trial, poses risks to national security, including providing financing, radicalizing others and undertaking attacks in the United States. Further, the Department of Defense has released findings that various former Guantanamo detainees are known to have re-engaged in terrorists activity associated with the Al Qaeda network, including facilitating the travel of terrorists into war zones, providing funds to Al Qaeda, and supporting and associating with known terrorists. This administration appears to be ignoring or disregarding those risks, as detainees are being released and transferred without justification to or oversight by the Congress.

In conclusion, while we have concerns with the overall spending in the bill, and the items mentioned above, we would like to again express appreciation to Chairman Mollohan and Chairman Obey for their openness and consideration. We are grateful for the cooperative approach, and look forward to working together as the process continues to produce a bill that funds critical national priorities.

JERRY LEWIS.  
FRANK R. WOLF.

